Case	2013CF001074	Document 561	Filed 02-13-2019	Page 1 of 373	FILED 02-13-2019 Clerk of Circuit Court Outagamie County
1	STATE OF W	ISCONSIN	CIRCUIT COURT	OUTAGAMIE	2013CF001074 E COUNTY
2	STATE OF W	ISCONSIN,			
3	7.7	Plainti	.ff,	Case No. 13-	-CE-1074
4	V.			Case No. 13-	-CF -10 /4
5	CHONG LENG	•			
6		Defenda	ant.		
7		JUF	RY TRIAL - DAY O	NE	
8					
9	BEFORE:	Circuit	BLE GREGORY B. G. Court Judge, Braie County Justic	canch IV	
11 12	DATE:	Appleto	on, WI 54911		
13	DAIL.	repruar	.y 24, 2010		
14 15	APPEARANCES	Distric	SCHNEIDER at Attorney ang on behalf of	the State	
16			MAIER and ALEXAI		
17		Assista	ant District Atto ng on behalf of	orneys	
18			· I VISHNY and EVA		
19			eys at Law .ng on behalf of	the Defendar	nt
20			ENG LEE		
21		Defenda Appeari	ant .ng in person		
22					
23					
24	Joan Biese	. 5	1		
25	Official Re Outagamie (eporter, Bra County	anch IV		

Τ	INDEX	
2		
3	VOIR DIRE BY THE COURT	3
4	VOIRE DIRE BY MS. SCHNEIDER	119
5	HEARING RE: PAUL LEE, represented by Attorney Groh	226
6	CONTINUED VOIR DIRE BY MS. SCHNEIDER	233
7	VOIR DIRE BY MS. VISHNY	266
8	JURY CHOSEN AND ANNOUNCED	367
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. My name is Gregory Gill. I am the circuit court judge for Outagamie County Branch IV. It is my pleasure to be able to welcome you here today. Likewise, I'd like to welcome you on behalf of the parties, the county, and all the individuals associated with this matter.

You are here as members of the potential jury in this case, and -- and quite frankly, you are the most important part of this process. You are the most important part of our legal system. Because without you, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we would not be able to have the legal system that we have. I'm not understating it when I say that -- that it is truly individuals such as yourselves that allow us to have the system of jurisprudence that we have. so, at the outset, I do want to again express my sincere appreciation as well as the appreciation on behalf of the parties for your willingness to be here and to serve as potential members of the jury.

One of the first matters that we will have today is to swear you in, and then we will begin the actual process of voir dire, and I will explain that a little bit more in detail to you as you go forward.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, what I want you to understand is as we go
through the questioning, first and foremost, if at
any point in time you're not able to hear myself, or
ultimately we will have the attorneys asking
questions, please let us know immediately because it
is it is your responses which will help the
parties to formulate who would be appropriate for
service on on this particular panel.

On that same token, as you are aware, we do have several people in the jury box. As we start out, you will be the individuals of whom the questions will be directed at and we will ask for responses to the various questions. For those in the gallery, that does not mean that you are able to pick up your favorite novel or read or chat to pass the time because what may happen, and what does happen from time to time, is I may release a member of this initial panel or this initial group in which case it is possible that you would be called up. My first question to you will then be, have you heard the questions I've asked up to this point and is there any question that you would have answered in the affirmative. And then we'll take our questions from there. So I would ask that all people keep their eyes and ears open to the questioning.

Inat said, even though I'm only expecting at
least responses from this initial group as we go
forward, if the individuals in the in what I will
call the gallery are unable to hear, please let us
know because even though I won't be expecting, or we
won't be expecting responses from you at the outset,
it is important that you're able to hear the
questions. So if you need us to speak up, or we do
have microphones that we can utilize, please raise
your hand and let us know. Because, again, you are
are the most important part of this process that
we have.
With that said, the first thing that I'd like to
do is ask that all of the potential jurors or all the
jurors stand and we will swear you in at this time.
THE CLERK: Please raise your right hands.
(Clerk administers oath to jury panel.)
THE COURT: All right. And you may be
seated.
Then at this time, even though we have seated
many of you in the jury box and in the seats in front

of the same, what I'm going to do is ask my clerk to formally call you. If you hear your name and you are out of place, please let us know because we're trying to keep -- keep track so that we're able to, when we

1	do have a question for you, we call on the right
2	person. So if there is out of placement, please let
3	us know and it will be easy enough that we can
4	shuffle around. So, Melissa.
5	THE CLERK: No. 7009, Joshua Nieman; No.
6	6572, Christ Elkendier; No. 7421, John Peterson; No.
7	6869, Michael Van Deurzen; No. 7138, Steven Kosinski,
8	No. 7362, Gregory Calmes; No. 403, Chris Parker; No.
9	6987, Kim Hankemeier; No. 622, Mary Steffen; No. 249,
LO	Janneen Meyer; No. 7102, Jason Wells; No. 7262, Dean
L1	Managan; No. 390, Nicholas Van Dalen; No. 7187, John
12	Eggers; No. 180, Dale Daelke; No. 6575, Patricia
13	Erickson; No. 6688, Cassandra Lee; No. 626, Garret
L 4	Green; No. 7164, Frank Bloomer; No. 488, Cassie
L5	Micke; No. 6750, Jonathan Nichols; No. 7366, Kenneth
L6	Keleske; No. 34, Emily Vandenberg, No. 7450, Jason
L7	Webster; No. 7481, James Buza; No. 6708, Gary
L8	Manderscheid; No. 7032, Christine Naumann; No. 352,
19	Gina Paul; first alternate, No. 7355, Nora Hermus;
20	second alternate, 6968, Adam Schueller.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And it appears that
22	everyone is still seated in the same order in which
23	they initially were sat so that at least we've done
24	our first thing right. So that's good.
) 5	At this time I would formally call to order the

Page 7 of 373

25

case of State of Wisconsin v. Chong Lee. 1 And Mr. Lee does appear in person, along with 2 his attorneys, Attorneys Deja Vishny and Evan Weitz. 3 And the State likewise appears in person by Outagamie 5 County District Attorney Carrie Schneider and Outagamie County Assistant District Attorney Andrew 6 Maier. 7 Now, at this point what will happen is we will 8 begin the questioning, and I will start out asking 9 the initial questions. And I -- I may ask from time 10 to time the attorneys to -- to chime in and assist in 11 12 questioning, and then, eventually, the attorneys will ask their own questions of you. Now, while we will 13 14 need you to be attentive, if you need to stand up and stretch, if at some point in time -- and we'll try to 15 -- I'll try to pay attention as best I can, but if 16 17 there gets to be a time where we need to take a break for restrooms or things of that nature, we will take 18 those measures because we certainly don't need this 19 to be a test in mental or physical fortitude for you. 20 So we'll do our best to make those accommodations for 21 you. And again, if you need to stand or stretch, 22 feel free to do so. You don't need my permission. 23 24 And you may see the attorneys through the course of

this, we may be going up and down as well.

24

25

As to Count 2, the above named defendant, Chong

Lee, on or about Sunday, December 8th, 2013, in the

City of Appleton, Outagamie County, Wisconsin, did

8

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

possess	а	firea	arm	as	a	 subsequent	to	the
convicti	Lon	for	a	crir	ne.			

As to Count 3, the above named defendant, Chong Lee, on or about December 12th, 2013, through February 12th, 2014, Outagamie County, Wisconsin, as a party to the crime, knowingly and maliciously did attempt to prevent and dissuade a witness, Paul Lee, from attending or giving testimony at a trial, proceeding or inquiry authorized by law where the act is committed by a person who is charged with a crime in connection with a trial, proceeding or inquiry for that crime.

Count 4: The above named defendant, Chong Lee, on December 12th, 2013, through February 12th, 2014, Outagamie County, Wisconsin, as a party to the crime, knowingly and maliciously did attempt to prevent or dissuade a witness, Joe Thor, from attending or giving testimony at a trial, proceeding or inquiry authorized by law where the act is committed by a person who is charged with a crime in connection with a trial, proceeding or inquiry for that crime.

The above named defendant, Chong Lee, on or about December 12th, 2013, through February 12th, 2014, Outagamie County, Wisconsin, as a party to a crime, knowingly and maliciously did attempt to

1	prevent or dissuade a witness, Melanie Thao, from
2	attending or giving testimony in a trial, proceeding
3	or inquiry authorized by law where the act is
4	committed by a person who is charged with a crime in
5	connection with a trial, proceeding or inquiry for
6	that crime.
7	Count 6: The above named defendant, Chong Lee,
8	on or about December 12th, 2013, through February
9	12th, 2014, Outagamie County, Wisconsin, as a party
10	to the crime, knowingly and maliciously did attempt
11	to prevent or dissuade a witness, Stephanie Thao,
12	from attending or giving testimony at a trial,
13	proceeding or inquiry authorized by law where the act
14	is committed by a person who is charged with a crime
15	in connection with a trial, proceeding or inquiry for
16	that crime.
17	Count 7: The above named defendant, Chong Lee,
18	on or about Saturday, January 25th, 2014, in the City
19	of Appleton, Outagamie County, Wisconsin, under oath
20	or affirmation advised another to orally make a false
21	material statement which the person believed not to
22	be true in an action or proceeding before a judge, to
23	wit: as related to Stephanie Thao.
24	THE COURT: Thank you very much, Attorney
25	Schneider.

At this point we're now beginning the formalized
process of voir dire, and to simply let you know,
voir dire is the selection of the jury.

Now, as I've mentioned, I'll be asking you some generalized questions about your qualifications and then the attorneys will have an opportunity to do the same. Now, the questions are not meant to — to pry into your personal affairs or most intimate details, they're not designed to embarrass you, they're simply to ensure that you have no personal vested interest in the outcome of this case and that you can be fair and impartial in reaching a verdict. If your truthful answer to a question is of a very personal or embarrassing nature, I'd ask that you simply let me know and we can deal with that in an individualized voir dire.

On that same token, just so that you understand, after all of the attorneys have asked their questions, I will come back with a follow-up question indicating is there any question that has been asked that you felt uncomfortable answering in a group setting; and if there is such a scenario, we can again address that in an intimate and a one-on-one or with just the attorneys and myself.

It is important that you listen carefully, as I

1	have mentioned. I may be required to excuse one or
2	more of you, in which case members of the jury who
3	are not seated in the panel at this point in time,
4	you may be called forward. And as I have mentioned,
5	my first question will then be, have you heard the
6	questions to this point and are there any questions
7	you would have then answered yes to.
8	Now, are there any any questions about
9	anything that I've said up to this point?
10	(No response.)
11	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
12	What I'm going to start out with is the
13	formalized questions, and the first question that I
14	have for you, is there anyone here who is not a
15	resident of Outagamie County?
16	(No response.)
17	THE COURT: Is there anyone in the panel
18	who has been convicted of a felony offense and has
19	not had their civil rights restored?
20	(No response.)
21	THE COURT: Now, as I've mentioned, Mr. Lee
22	is the defendant in this case. Is there anyone who
23	is familiar with Mr. Lee or his family?
24	(No response.)
25	THE COURT: Now, I've introduced the

Page 13 of 373

1	prosecutors who are directly handling this case, but
2	I'd also ask, Miss Schneider, if you could identify
3	the other attorneys in your office, and perhaps if
4	there are some instrumental staff that you feel would
5	be appropriate to identify as well.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So now if I don't name
7	everyone they're going to think that they're not
8	instrumental to my staff I guess.
9	Assisting us during the proceedings, and I'm
10	going to ask him to stand because he's seated behind
11	me, is Alex Duros. He is a prosecutor in my office
12	as well. My name is Carrie Schneider. I am the
13	District Attorney. Assisting me during this trial
14	also will be Andy Maier. He is also an Assistant
15	District Attorney in our office.
16	The other members of my staff include our Deputy
17	District Attorney, Melinda Tempelis, Robert Sager,
18	who is an Assistant District Attorney. I'm going
19	through the offices here. Kyle Sargent, an Assistant
20	District Attorney, Zak Buruin, an Assistant District
21	Attorney, Chuck Stertz, an Assistant District
22	Attorney, Margaret or Maggie Delain, who is also a
23	prosecutor and Assistant DA in my office. Darrin
24	Glad, an Assistant District Attorney, and then Daniel
25	Tombasco is an Assistant District Attorney in my

office.

1

2	I'm going to name my investigators because
3	they're the ones I think that are out and about in
4	the community, so if just the court is probably
5	going to ask if you've had any interaction with us.
6	We have two investigators in our office. They are
7	Paul Black and Bill Flood. The other more public
8	faces of my office would include Stephanie Jens, she
9	is my victim witness coordinator, and Carrie Kuepper,
10	our office manager.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. And is there anyone
12	who is either a close family friend or a relative of
13	any of the individuals who Attorney Schneider has
14	identified?
15	(No response.)
16	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anyone who has
17	had frequent or regular interaction with the District
18	Attorney's office such that it has formed any opinion
19	for you on whether or not you could be fair and
20	impartial in this case?
21	(No response.)
22	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Vishny, briefly
23	I'm going to ask that you introduce yourself and then
24	Attorney Weitz and then any members of your staff
25	that you feel are appropriate.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you.
2	Actually, I'd like to first introduce what I
3	think is one of the most important people. This is
4	Chong Lee.
5	THE COURT: I apologize. That was not
6	intended as an intentional oversight.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. This is Mr. Chong
8	Lee. I'm Attorney Deja Vishny. This is my
9	co-counsel
10	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: We're having trouble
11	hearing back here.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm Attorney Vishny.
13	This is Chong Lee, Mr. Chong Lee. Why don't you
14	introduce yourself.
15	ATTORNEY WEITZ: I'm Attorney Evan Weitz.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Evan Weitz.
17	MR. GATTON: I'm Solomon Gatton, intern
18	with Deja.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And this is Mr. Charles
20	Valdes who is an investigator.
21	THE COURT: And once we get into the more
22	formal questioning when I turn it over to the
23	attorneys, they'll have a microphone directly in
24	front of them.
25	So did everyone at least recognize visually the

_	individuals that hetoliney vishing has incloadeda.
2	(No response.)
3	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. And is there
4	anyone
5	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Judge, he's behind the
6	post so none of us could actually see him.
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry. We'll have you come
8	out center stage. All right.
9	Is there anyone who recognizes anyone, either
10	Attorney Vishny or alternatively Attorney Weitz or
11	or their staff?
12	(No response.)
13	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
14	Now, through the course of this matter it is
15	likely in fact we will hear from various witnesses,
16	and what I'm going to do for you, in no particular
17	order, is I'm going to let you know who the parties
18	believe may be witnesses. Now this is simply a
19	potential witness list. It may mean that we hear
20	from all of these identified individuals, we may hear
21	from some of them. But I'm going to go through the
22	list, and again I'll ask a similar question; and what
23	I'll do is because I have several names, I'll go
24	through groups of about five to six each time, ask a
25	question, and then continue to proceed in that

1	fashion.
2	The first potential witnesses or names that I'm
3	identifying are Kyle Anderson, Donte Beatty, Sara
4	Besaw, Alyson Blom, Daniel Campbell, Sarah Clemens,
5	Kasie Coel, C-O-E-L, Taylor Collar, Samantha
6	Delfosse, Officer Mallory DePetro.
7	Is there anyone from that list who believes they
8	may recognize one or more of those individuals as
9	either family members, close friends, or someone with
10	whom you've had regular interaction?
11	Okay. And, Mr. Nieman?
12	JUROR NIEMAN: Yes.
13	THE COURT: And of that list, whom was
14	that?
15	JUROR NIEMAN: Sara Besaw.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Miss Besaw. And do you
17	do you have a regular interaction with Miss Besaw?
18	JUROR NIEMAN: I met her a couple times.
19	Friend of a friend.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And give even though
21	that you may not have regular interaction with Miss
22	Besaw, do you have any concerns given that Miss Besaw
23	may testify about your ability to be fair and
24	impartial in this case?
25	JUROR NIEMAN: No.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Anyone else
2	who recognized any of those names?
3	(No response.)
4	THE COURT: Then what I'll do is I'll
5	continue on with the next listing of individuals.
6	Officer Lori Duven, Marissa Emenecker, Talisa
7	Farias, Lieutenant Michael Gostisha, Taylor Grady,
8	Dalinda Guzman, Dr. Douglas Kelley, Charles Kersten,
9	Daniel Kersten, Officer Joanna Kolosso.
LO	Of that group is there anyone again who
L1	recognizes a name as being a close family friend, a
L2	relative, or someone with whom you've had regular
L3	interaction?
L 4	(No response.)
L5	THE COURT: The next list, Amanda Krohn,
L6	Officer Sean Kuether, Sergeant Wang Lee, Hu Lee,
L7	Jenny Lee, Nhia Lee, Paul Lee, Phong Lee, Seng Lee,
L8	and Teng Lee.
L9	From that group, again, the same question, is
20	there anyone who recognizes any of those names as
21	being close family friends, relatives, or individuals
22	with whom you've had regular interaction?
23	(No response.)
24	THE COURT: Tom Lee, Tong Lee, Tou Shoua
) =	Ico Watou Ico Yong Ico Yia Ico Sorgoant Brian

1	Leitzinger, Sergeant Matt McQuaid, Sergeant Michael
2	Medina, Sergeant Cary Meyer.
3	And again the same question for you, from that
4	group is there anyone who recognizes any names as
5	being family friends, relatives, or regular
6	acquaintances?
7	(No response.)
8	THE COURT: Peter Moua, Officer Adam Nagel,
9	Jonathan Nielsen, Brittany Olson, Sergeant Todd
10	Peters, James Phimmachack, Jackie Pische, Officer
11	Larry Potter, Sergeant Chad Probst, Sergeant Neal
12	Rabas.
13	And again, from that group does anyone recognize
14	any names as being relatives, close family friends,
15	or regular acquaintances?
16	(No response.)
17	THE COURT: Jared Randall, Adam Richardson,
18	Sergeant Chad Riddle, Alex Schilling, Sergeant John
19	Schira, Officer Jay Steinke, Bobby Jo Stutzman,
20	Lisa Stutzman, Sergeant Daniel Tauber, Sergeant Chue
21	Thao.
22	And again, the same question for you from that
23	group, does anyone recognize anyone as being friends,
24	relatives, or close acquaintances?
25	(No response.)

1	THE COURT: Johnny Thao, Melanie Thao,
2	Mickey Thao, Ryan Thao, Stephanie Thao, Xai Thao,
3	Trent Thomas, Sergeant Kevin Thompson, Joe Thor,
4	Michael Thor.
5	Is there anyone who recognizes any names as
6	friends or relatives or acquaintances from that
7	grouping?
8	(No response.)
9	THE COURT: Officer Blaine VanderWielen,
10	Dia Vang, Keng Joseph Vang, Kong Vang, Noah Vang,
11	Michael Verheyden, Sergeant James Wall, Michael Wall
12	Letty Xiong, Trooper Ryan Zukowski.
13	Anyone from that group recognized as a family
14	friend, relative, or close acquaintance?
15	(No response.)
16	THE COURT: Amber Serwe, Avery Schneider,
17	Ellyn Thern, Jeffrey Decoster, Michael Xiong,
18	Mitchell Roepcke, Nicholas Almanza, Phillip Moore,
19	Tori Thern, William Peotter, James Trainum.
20	Does anyone recognize any of those names again
21	as being friends, relatives, or close acquaintances?
22	(No response.)
23	THE COURT: Now, you have heard me mention
24	several officers who may be involved as potential
2 =	witnesses in this case. Is there anyone who is

1	related by blood or marriage to an individual
2	associated with any law enforcement agencies?
3	Okay. And that would be?
4	JUROR PARKER: Chris Parker.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Parker? Okay. And, Mr.
6	Parker, whom is it that you have a relationship with?
7	JUROR PARKER: My son works for Brillion
8	Police Department.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And understanding that
10	the Brillion Police Department is not involved in
11	this case, do you believe that the fact that your son
12	is involved in law enforcement would have an ability
13	have an effect on your ability to be fair and
14	impartial in this case, sir?
15	JUROR PARKER: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Kosinski?
17	JUROR KOSINSKI: My wife works for Appleton
18	Police Department.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And you may have
20	recognized some of the names as Appleton police
21	officers. Do you feel that the fact that your wife
22	works for the Appleton Police Department would have
23	an effect on your ability to be fair and impartial in
24	this case?
25	JUROR KOSINSKI: No, sir.

1	THE COURT: And I suppose I should ask,
2	because I imagine the parties would ask, in what
3	capacity does your wife work for the Appleton Police
4	Department?
5	JUROR KOSINSKI: She's a crossing guard.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. I apologize.
7	And that would be Mr is it Bloomer?
8	JUROR BLOOMER: Yes. I'm not sure if it
9	my wife works for the office of corrections.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And what does she do
11	with that office?
12	JUROR BLOOMER: She she's handled a lot
13	of paperwork. She's not a an agent or anything.
14	She's handled paperwork.
15	THE COURT: And do you feel that that
16	relationship would affect your ability to be fair and
17	impartial in this case?
18	JUROR BLOOMER: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
20	Now, expanding the scope of the question a
21	little bit more, and I've asked about about family
22	or relatives, is there anyone who has a very close
23	friendship with someone who is involved in law
24	enforcement?
25	(No response.)

THE COURT: Okay. And I see I see your
hand raised. I thank you for paying attention. If
you get called, I will certainly ask you about that.
Now, on that on that same question,
understanding that we have potential law enforcement
individuals testifying, is there anyone who feels
that they would, simply because of the profession of
the individuals testifying, that they would give more
credibility to that witness simply because they are
law enforcement officers?
(No response.)
THE COURT: Okay. Now, is there anyone who
has been a victim of a crime?
Okay. And that would be Mr. Schueller?
JUROR SCHUELLER: Yup.
THE COURT: Okay. And what was the nature
of that offense, sir?
JUROR SCHUELLER: Can it's personal.
THE COURT: Sure. Sure. Let me ask the
first question. Do you feel that that would affect
your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
JUROR SCHUELLER: Not at all.
THE COURT: And so what we may do is we may

follow up then with you in an individual voir dire

situation, but I -- I thank you for that disclosure.

1	Did I miss anyone else? That would be Miss
2	Meyer?
3	JUROR MEYER: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And do you feel comfortable
5	disclosing that or would you like to do that again in
6	a more personal
7	JUROR MEYER: Yup. Personal.
8	THE COURT: Okay. First first question
9	I have for you, however, is do you feel that you
LO	would be able to be fair and impartial and listen to
L1	the facts of this case based simply on the facts of
L2	the case?
L3	JUROR MEYER: Yes.
L 4	THE COURT: Okay. Did we miss anyone?
L5	(No response.)
L6	THE COURT: Okay. Now and I suppose I
L 7	should expand that question. Is there anyone who has
L8	had a close family friend or a close relative who's
L9	been a victim of a crime such that you feel it would
20	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this
21	case?
22	(No response.)
23	THE COURT: Okay. Now, you've heard a
24	little bit about this case from from Attorney
2.5	Schneider who read the information to you. There may

1	have been at some point in time there may have
2	been some news coverage in the form of the newspaper
3	or television. You've heard me identify the case.
4	Is there anyone who has any information about this
5	case that they have garnered outside of this case?
6	Have you read any newspaper articles, have you
7	watched any television stories about this? And
8	that's not to suggest that there have been or haven't
9	been, I'm simply asking have any of you seen
10	anything, read anything that has has caused you to
11	go, oh, I think I know a little bit about this case?
12	Okay. Let me just hold on. Mr. Kosinski.
13	Okay. And then I also had Mr. Buza?
14	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: No. Manderscheid.
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Manderscheid.
16	My apologies.
17	All Right. Mr. Eggers?
18	JUROR EGGERS: Yup.
19	THE COURT: And Mr. Van Dalen?
20	JUROR VAN DALEN: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Anyone else that we have
22	missed?
23	Oh, okay. Mr. Daelke.
24	Okay. Now, what I'm going to do at this time,
25	because of that, just so that you understand, is I'm

going to ask that we conduct individual voir dire;
and the reason is because in a group setting, I'll be
asking questions, and I don't want the answers to
have anyone else go, oh, wait a minute, this now
gives me information about the case. So what we're
going to do is I'm going to ask the attorneys and
myself, what we will do is we're going to go into a
separate jury room.
I'd ask that you, as members of the jury, that
you stay in the courtroom but feel free to stand up.
For those in the jury, just make sure you find your
seats again. Okay? And then what we'll do is we'll
call you one at a time back, ask our individual
questions, have you come back into the courtroom, and
then eventually we will then resume as our group
setting again. Okay?
ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Are we going to take
the two both topics of prior victim of a crime and
the second?
THE COURT: I think that's the most
efficient way to do it, yes.
Okay. And what I'll do what I'm going to ask
is, because it's logistically easier, why don't we
have the parties, we'll go out through this back door
and that way we can go directly into the courtroom.

1	And I'll lead the group out here.
2	(Proceedings held outside the presence of
3	the jury panel.)
4	(Mr. Schueller enters.)
5	THE COURT: Mr. Schueller, you had
6	identified a subject that you were the victim of a
7	crime that you felt uncomfortable answering in a
8	group setting. This is at least a smaller group.
9	JUROR SCHUELLER: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: So what would you what would
11	you like to share with us, sir?
12	JUROR SCHUELLER: When I was younger I was
13	sexually abused as a child.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	JUROR SCHUELLER: And that was in
16	Washington County.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And was that case
18	ultimately prosecuted?
19	JUROR SCHUELLER: It was outside of the
20	courtroom. They made a deal.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And would that
22	experience, understanding that these types of charges
23	are entirely different from that, do you feel that
24	you would be able to be fair and impartial in this?
25	JUROR SCHUELLER: I do.

Page 28 of 373

25

(Mr. Schueller exits; Miss Meyer enters.)

1	THE COURT: All right. Miss Meyer, how are
2	you?
3	JUROR MEYER: Good.
4	THE COURT: So you had raised your hand to
5	a question that you wanted to answer in a smaller
6	setting?
7	JUROR MEYER: Yes. About 2014 my
8	children's father had choked my husband in the
9	hallway, and I believe you were now that I think
10	back, you were on the case for that.
11	THE COURT: And that experience first
12	I'll ask about just the experience itself. Do you
13	feel that and again, understanding that those
14	those charges are much different than what we have
15	today
16	JUROR MEYER: Yes.
17	THE COURT: do you feel that you would
18	be able to look at this case fairly and impartially?
19	JUROR MEYER: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And the next question, because
21	if I understood correctly, the Outagamie County
22	District Attorney did prosecute the case?
23	JUROR MEYER: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Do you feel that that would
25	affect your ability to look at this case fairly and

1	impartially?
2	JUROR MEYER: Yes well, no. I shouldn't
3	say that wouldn't affect me at all. No.
4	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay.
5	Attorney Schneider?
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: It's a case that was
7	handled by Assistant DA Duros?
8	JUROR MEYER: I believe so, yes.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And that's his, I
LO	think, recollection too. That case did not proceed
L1	to trial though, correct?
L2	JUROR MEYER: It did not.
L3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is there anything
L 4	about that experience, whether it's because you
L5	likely got court notices, you probably had to come,
L6	we probably canceled your subpoenas for trial at
L7	times, is there anything about that process
L8	JUROR MEYER: Yeah. There are things that
L9	were missed. He was supposed to have certain
20	counseling and other things. He got basically a slap
21	on the wrist considering he had done that to me in
22	the past and it got dismissed back in '97, '96.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. But is there
24	anything about that that's going to impact your
>5	ability to sit

1	JUROR MEYER: No.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: That's all we ask.
3	Thanks, Miss Meyer.
4	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny?
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Nothing.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very
7	much.
8	(Miss Meyer exits; Mr. Kosinski enters.)
9	THE COURT: Mr. Kosinski?
10	JUROR KOSINSKI: Yes.
11	THE COURT: You had raised your hand about
12	having some familiarity with the case. What can you
13	tell us that you're familiar with?
14	JUROR KOSINSKI: It's mostly what I read in
15	the newspaper.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	JUROR KOSINSKI: I remember reading about
18	I believe it was the Luna Lounge, that he went in
19	and shot a guy. Or I don't remember all the details
20	of it, but that's what I
21	THE COURT: And when did you read those
22	articles or see
23	JUROR KOSINSKI: There was just one
24	recently that I read last week I think, but mostly it
25	was from around the time when it happened.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And having having
2	read those articles, has that formulated any opinion
3	for you on how you think you would view this case?
4	JUROR KOSINSKI: Yeah. Probably.
5	THE COURT: Do you and again,
6	understanding that a newspaper only has part of the
7	story, do you think you would be able to set aside
8	those ideas and look at this fairly and impartially
9	and with an open mind?
10	JUROR KOSINSKI: I think I could. Yes.
11	THE COURT: Attorney Schneider.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you kind of to
13	summarize, there were probably some articles closer
14	to when it happened that you recall reading?
15	JUROR KOSINSKI: Yes.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then you said one
17	recently?
18	JUROR KOSINSKI: Yeah.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you remember
20	anything about the content of it other than Luna
21	Lounge shooting that you previously mentioned?
22	JUROR KOSINSKI: No. I knew that the trial
23	was coming up.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
25	JUROR KOSINSKI: But beyond that, not

Page 33 of 373

JUROR KOSINSKI: Yes.

23

24

25

ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And there is nothing wrong with your feeling, I just want to say that. Okay? And, you know, people come to this

1	world with opinions, and because you believe that, is
2	it fair to say that that is an opinion you've held
3	for quite some time, you know, since the original
4	arrest?
5	JUROR KOSINSKI: I would say yes, that's
6	fair to say.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And did the recent
8	article you read in the newspaper, did that make your
9	opinion stronger that he's guilty?
10	JUROR KOSINSKI: It didn't really change
11	it.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. But do
13	you remember the details about what you read recently
14	in the newspaper?
15	JUROR KOSINSKI: The recent one?
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes.
17	JUROR KOSINSKI: No, just that it's when
18	it said when he was going to be on trial and it just
19	kind of I just kind of read it and
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So given that you think
21	that he's guilty, is it your feeling that that's the
22	lens you're going to look at this trial through, you
23	know, I think the guy did it and I'm going to watch
24	them prove it. And again, there's nothing wrong with
25	that.

1	JUROR KOSINSKI: To be completely fair to
2	him, I would have to say probably yes.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. If you were in
4	this situation and it was your loved one who was on
5	trial, okay, and I'm just going to be real out there
6	with this, do you think it would probably be better
7	if you weren't on that jury with those views? Do you
8	see what I'm trying to ask you?
9	JUROR KOSINSKI: I do.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. Would you want
11	yourself on the jury for
12	JUROR KOSINSKI: Probably not.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Probably not. And given
14	your feelings about this, is it your opinion that it
15	is probably more fair to Mr. Lee that you don't sit
16	on the jury?
17	JUROR KOSINSKI: I would say yes.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And, you know,
19	it's okay to have a deeply held belief, but the fact
20	that we tell you to change your mind, I know that
21	that's not going to make you change your mind, right?
22	JUROR KOSINSKI: Right.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you so much for
24	sharing your feelings with us. I appreciate it.
25	THE COURT: And just to follow up, sir, and

1	I I know I asked you, and I and again, I'm glad
2	Attorney Vishny clarified that, I think everybody
3	says I really want to be fair and impartial and
4	open-minded; as we've asked a few more questions,
5	what is your honest sentiment about you're able to
6	your ability to look at this case essentially with a
7	clean slate?
8	JUROR KOSINSKI: I would probably say that
9	I can't.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	JUROR KOSINSKI: I mean to be completely
12	fair to him, which I want to do, I would say that I
13	probably would not be a good choice for that.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And there is nothing
15	wrong with that, we just want you to be open and
16	honest about what your feeling is. My brother would
17	never be a good juror, so I just that's fine.
18	THE COURT: And there is and again, I'm
19	glad the attorneys have mentioned this, there is no
20	wrong answer. We so there is nothing to feel bad
21	about your answers. The honest answers are the right
22	answers. So that is perfectly fine. Okay? All
23	right. Thank you.
24	(Mr. Kosinski exits.)
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So here's what my

1	feeling is, I'm going to move to strike him for
2	cause.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I don't
4	THE COURT: I was going to do it sua
5	sponte.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I know, but what I would
7	like to do is to not have that told to anybody. Is
8	there a possibility that we can not do that until
9	after we ask them about Luna, because I'm worried
10	jurors will say I'd like to be on that trial.
11	THE COURT: I think what we do, and I
12	should have told Melissa doesn't know this. We're
13	going to sit him back down and then what we'll do is
14	after we're done asking all the questions, then I'll
15	say, at this point in time I'm going to release A, B,
16	C and D.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So that's going to be
18	after we're done, something
19	THE COURT: No, after I'm done asking about
20	the Luna questions. We'll do Luna, we'll bring
21	everybody back, and we'll figure out all the group
22	that we need to remove.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Got it.
25	(Mr. Parker enters.)

1	THE COURT: Mr. Parker, how are you, sir?
2	JUROR PARKER: Good.
3	THE COURT: So, Mr. Parker, you had
4	answered yes to a question about some familiarity
5	with this case, and can you share with us what that
6	what that knowledge is?
7	JUROR PARKER: Actually, I did not. I
8	answered the question that my son was a police
9	officer.
10	THE COURT: I apologize.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: See, I didn't think he
12	flinched. You both said you thought he did.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I wrote the word news
14	down.
15	THE COURT: I had my X circled.
16	JUROR PARKER: I think there was a guy in
17	front of me that did.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: There is.
19	THE COURT: Yes. Okay.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Well we got you a few
21	steps in for the day.
22	JUROR PARKER: Facial expression I should
23	have made?
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No. We can't see all the
25	way back there.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I think we can send
2	him back then.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
4	(Mr. Parker exits; Mr. Van Dalen enters.)
5	THE COURT: Mr. Van Dalen, how are you,
6	sir?
7	JUROR VAN DALEN: Good.
8	THE COURT: So you had you had answered
9	yes to the question that you had some familiarity
10	about the case?
11	JUROR VAN DALEN: Yup.
12	THE COURT: And can you let us know what is
13	it what information do you believe you've garnered
14	through the media and where the source of that was?
15	JUROR VAN DALEN: I probably heard it on
16	the radio. I listen to a lot of radio, news radio.
17	I believe it had I heard about the incident
18	happened on College Avenue, I think it was the
19	nightclub Wet, the parking lot; and then I heard this
20	morning that the trial was going to start today and
21	be about an eight-day trial. I heard that on the
22	news this morning.
23	THE COURT: And any any other specifics
24	that you can recall about this case?
25	JUROR VAN DALEN: No. not that I can think

1	of, no.
2	THE COURT: Now, you did identify some of
3	the some familiar aspects or what you felt were
4	familiar aspects of it. Do you feel that that is in
5	any way going to affect your ability to be fair and
6	impartial in this case?
7	JUROR VAN DALEN: No, I don't think so.
8	THE COURT: Have you formed any
9	preconceived ideas about this case or the outcome?
10	JUROR VAN DALEN: No, not really, no.
11	THE COURT: Attorney Schneider.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you think you heard
13	some of those news stories when it happened or more
14	recently or both?
15	JUROR VAN DALEN: Probably both. I do
16	remember it when it happened. I do remember hearing
17	about it then, yeah.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I don't have
19	anything else.
20	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny?
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Since you've heard about
22	it both, is there any feeling that you're and I
23	just want to make sure, there is no right or wrong
24	answers here.
25	JUROR VAN DALEN: Sure.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So was there any feeling
2	like, yeah, they caught the guy, they got the right
3	guy? Do you have any feeling like that?
4	JUROR VAN DALEN: No. I guess I couldn't
5	really say that because I wouldn't know if they have
6	the right guy.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. Very
8	good. Thanks.
9	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
10	(Mr. Van Dalen exits; Mr. Eggers enters.)
11	THE COURT: Mr. Eggers, how are you, sir?
12	JUROR EGGERS: Good. How are you?
13	THE COURT: Great.
14	You had answered yes to the question about
15	feeling like you had some familiarity based on media
16	coverage or something of that nature?
17	JUROR EGGERS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: And can you share with us what
19	you what you believe you heard and sort of where
20	and when you heard those things as well?
21	JUROR EGGERS: I remember the incident.
22	I'm an avid listener of the news so I watch all the
23	time. I grew up a half a block from here, which is
24	now a parking lot, but I used to go to that place
25	that used to be the Viking Theatre, which was a

1	theatre at the time, so I used to always go there,
2	and I just remembered it that way. And and, you
3	know, I just felt bad that it happened because so
4	close to Christmas.
5	THE COURT: Sure.
6	JUROR EGGERS: And I guess that's all I
7	really have to say.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Have you seen anything
9	recently in the media or was it largely early on
10	or
11	JUROR EGGERS: I did hear from someone at
12	work that there's a case coming up, that you remember
13	that murder case at the old Viking Theatre, and that
14	was the last I've heard of it.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Have you have you,
16	based on what you've heard and perhaps seen, have you
17	formulated any opinions about this case?
18	JUROR EGGERS: No. I can honestly say that
19	I understand that the defendant is innocent until
20	proven guilty, and I don't feel that this would cause
21	my judgment to sway any way.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Schneider.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I don't have any
24	follow-up. Thank you.
25	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny?

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So I'm gathering
2	you didn't like say, oh, good, they got the guy, it's
3	the right guy. Did you feel that way when you read
4	about the arrest or about it?
5	JUROR EGGERS: If I recall it took a few
6	days, and I was hoping at least at least a few
7	days to apprehend an individual, but I was hoping
8	that it would he would be caught and brought to
9	justice.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So since you're
11	hoping the person who did it I mean we all by
12	the way, I want to just tell you there is no right or
13	wrong answers here at all. I mean we all hope when
14	something horrible happens that the right person is
15	brought to justice, so obviously the police made an
16	arrest and a crime has been charged.
17	JUROR EGGERS: Um-hum.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do you come into this
19	feeling like you know they got the right guy, I'm
20	really glad, I think he's guilty at all?
21	JUROR EGGERS: No.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So, all right.
23	Thank you. Just thought I'd mention that. Thank you
24	very much.
25	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

Case 2013CF001074

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
2	(Mr. Eggers exits; Mr. Daelke enters.)
3	THE COURT: Mr. Daelke, how are you, sir?
4	JUROR DAELKE: Oh, not too bad.
5	THE COURT: We had seen that you raised
6	your hand on being familiar with some of the media
7	coverage.
8	JUROR DAELKE: Yup.
9	THE COURT: And can you let us know what
10	you've seen and when you've seen it and any specifics
11	about that.
12	JUROR DAELKE: I thought I saw it when it
13	first happened on the television and later reports on
14	it, so, you know, what happened there.
15	THE COURT: Anything have you seen
16	anything recently or is this largely when it
17	happened?
18	JUROR DAELKE: This morning when I was
19	coming in here they had it on the radio that there
20	was going to be a jury selection today.
21	THE COURT: And now, based on what you've
22	heard and what you may have seen, have you formulated
23	any opinions about this case?
24	JUROR DAELKE: Yup.
25	THE COURT: And what opinions have you

1	iormulated?
2	JUROR DAELKE: Well, according to what I
3	heard, he's guilty.
4	THE COURT: And let me let me ask you
5	let me preface this. Attorney Vishny has often
6	mentioned this and I'll steal some of her thunder,
7	there is no wrong answer here, so you all the
8	only right answer is an answer you give, and so I
9	don't want you to feel that I'm expecting you to
10	answer one way or the other. All we want is an
11	honest answer. That's the only answer.
12	Now, you had mentioned that you thought you've
13	already formulated an opinion.
14	JUROR DAELKE: Yup.
15	THE COURT: And it is and so can you
16	expand on what what are your thoughts right now?
17	JUROR DAELKE: Right now I think he did,
18	according to what, you know, what I all heard on the
19	television and read in the paper about it.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And do you do you
21	think that you would be able to set that aside and
22	look at this with a clean slate or do you think that
23	would be pretty difficult for you to do based on what
24	you've seen and heard?
25	JUROR DAELKE: Be kind of difficult.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Schneider.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it something, Mr.
3	Daelke, that when you first heard some of the news
4	reports you formed that opinion or has that developed
5	only recently?
6	JUROR DAELKE: Right away when I first
7	heard it, the information that they gave, you know,
8	they caught him or whatever.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And is it something
10	that even if the court were to instruct you you
11	should ignore those news articles or the TV, do you
12	think you would still hold that opinion if you had to
13	go back and deliberate?
14	JUROR DAELKE: Well, I don't know how I
15	could, but, you know, once you hear it, it's kind of
16	hard to forget it or, you know, say something
17	different.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: That's perfect. We
19	just want you to answer us honestly rather than not
20	and get up there and think of something else.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Judge, do I need to ask
22	any questions?
23	THE COURT: No. I think Attorney Schneider
24	has covered most of them.
) E	ATTODNEY VICHNY. All right

1	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. I'll ask you that.
4	If I already think that you think for cause, I'm not
5	going to ask anymore questions.
6	THE COURT: I appreciate that.
7	(Mr. Daelke exits; Mr. Manderscheid
8	enters.)
9	THE COURT: Mr. Manderscheid, how are you,
10	sir?
11	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: Good.
12	THE COURT: Good. So we had asked the
13	question about whether you had some familiarity based
14	on media or television, and what we'd like to know
15	and I'll preface this; and again, I only know the
16	question to ask because counsel has asked this before
17	me, but the only answer the only thing you have to
18	worry about is being honest. There is no wrong
19	answer as long as it's honest. That's all we ask.
20	Don't don't have any I don't want you to have
21	any notion that we expect a certain answer one way or
22	the other.
23	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: Okay.
24	THE COURT: So can you start out by telling
25	us what the media is you've seen and when you've seen

1	the media?
2	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: Okay. This is the
3	case from that happened at the Luna Lounge?
4	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
5	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: Basically covered it
6	in the newspaper, all the articles, kind of
7	fascinated by it, read through basically all of them
8	that were in the paper and anything I seen on the TV
9	news.
10	THE COURT: Have you seen anything
11	recently?
12	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: Not very recently, no
13	Been a while.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And so you have a
15	general idea of what the case is about. Have you
16	have you formulated an opinion at this point the
17	police have arrested the right person, whether they
18	have the wrong person, do you have a clean slate,
19	where do you feel?
20	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: Based on everything I
21	read and seen, yes, he did.
22	THE COURT: And do you think you would be
23	able to set that aside and look at this with a clean
24	slate or do you think that, by way of example, if we
25	were to begin, or you were to begin deliberations,

1	that in the back of your mind you would already be
2	started with the idea of I think he's guilty?
3	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: I I think I have
4	kind of a strong feeling on what I feel.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: So I do think it would
7	be hard.
8	THE COURT: It would be hard for you to
9	overcome that sentiment?
LO	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: I don't I can't
L1	say, obviously, until it starts, but I I did
12	follow quite closely and I did form an opinion.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And even if I were to
L 4	instruct you and say, Mr. Manderscheid, I want you to
15	set all that aside
L6	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: I mean I can't say
L 7	that I couldn't, but I I can't say that I could.
L8	THE COURT: Okay. And again, I'll I'll
L9	steal counsel's thunder on this. If, by way of
20	example, someone you loved and cared about were on
21	trial, understanding that that you have opinions,
22	would you want you to be on that jury panel? Does
23	that question make sense?
24	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: Yeah. You're saying
) 5	if my family member were in the were on trial and

1	somebody in the jury had had already formed an
2	opinion?
3	THE COURT: Or knowing your opinions as you
4	have, would you feel comfortable saying, okay, I'd
5	want someone just like me on the panel?
6	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: Probably not.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. And again,
8	those are all right answers. There is no wrong
9	answer here.
LO	Attorney Schneider, do you have any questions?
L1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And, Mr. Manderscheid,
L2	it might just be that you read the paper and are
L3	interested in the local news. Was it was there
L 4	any particular reason why you were reading the
L5	articles; or I think you said you were kind of
L6	fascinated by it?
L 7	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: Just I watch a lot of
L8	court shows and, you know, interested in that sort of
L9	thing.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I don't have
21	any other questions. Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't have anything.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
2.5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you very much, sir.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I think that's it. So
2	I think what we do is go back and say I'm just going
3	to give you a little bit of more information that may
4	give you some more facts and details about what
5	you're going to hear about, you'll hear the shooting
6	happened at the Luna Lounge, downtown Appleton.
7	THE COURT: Right.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And give them a little
9	bit and say, now, having given you that information,
10	is there anyone else. I don't know if you have a
11	different way you want it phrased but
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: What were you going to
13	say?
14	ATTORNEY WEITZ: I was just thinking, after
15	giving them some additional facts, rather than go,
16	now does anyone feel like they already know about
17	this case.
18	THE COURT: I think that's a good way.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: But I think he's going to
20	mention Luna.
21	ATTORNEY WEITZ: I know.
22	THE COURT: That's the tie-in.
23	ATTORNEY WEITZ: But after that just
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then just say,
25	having said that, does that does anyone now have a

Τ	memory of reading of hearing anything about this
2	case.
3	THE COURT: All right. That's fine.
4	(In open court.)
5	THE COURT: Again, I appreciate everyone's
6	patience.
7	The next question is it seemed a little more
8	appropriate to give a little more information about
9	the case. You heard Attorney Schneider give you some
10	generalized information about the case, but it may be
11	helpful for you to know this the incident for
12	which we are here today took place at the Luna Lounge
13	which is in downtown Appleton. It is I believe it
14	is now Nakashima's restaurant is what I believe it
15	is. Oh, Katsu Ya. I hope it's the same owner.
16	Katsu Ya. But in any event, I thought that that
17	might be helpful for you because you heard the date,
18	which was in early of late 2013, early 2014, but
19	hearing the name of the location may be able to give
20	you some more insight into whether or not you
21	recalled the incident in any capacity, and so now
22	having that little bit of detail, does that shed any
23	additional light for you in terms of whether you
24	recall any media information or whether you recall
25	any specifics about this case?

Т	Okay. Let me get my pen out here. So we have
2	Miss Hermus?
3	JUROR HERMUS: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And then Mr. Elkendier and Miss
5	Hankemeier, correct?
6	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Correct.
7	THE COURT: Anyone else?
8	Okay. And that would be Mr. Van Deurzen,
9	correct?
10	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Wonderful. Anyone
12	JUROR PARKER: I saw it on the news.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Parker. So we have
14	Mr. Elkendier, Mr. Van Deurzen, Mr. Parker, Miss
15	Hankemeier, correct, and Miss Hermus. Did I miss
16	anyone?
17	(No response.)
18	THE COURT: Okay. Wonderful. So what
19	we're going to do is we're going to conduct the same
20	type of individual inquiry. I should mention, and I
21	apologize I did not mention this before, if anyone
22	needs to use a restroom break, that's absolutely
23	fine. So feel free to do that. Feel free to get up
24	and stretch. We'll continue with our individual
) E	discussions and then we should be back memontarily

1	Okay.
2	(Proceedings held outside the presence of
3	the jury panel.)
4	(Miss Hermus enters.)
5	THE COURT: Hi, Miss Hermus. How are you
6	today?
7	So once I had given the reference to the
8	location, that may have triggered some familiarity
9	with the case. And what can you what do you
10	believe you recall or and then can you let us know
11	when you may have seen this in print or paper or
12	JUROR HERMUS: I just remembered a couple
13	of years ago I remember seeing the things on TV and
14	in the newspaper; and the name of the lounge is what
15	triggered my memory about it.
16	THE COURT: And do you based on that
17	coverage, have you formed any particular opinions
18	about this case?
19	JUROR HERMUS: I don't even remember any of
20	the details about it, I just remember the Luna
21	Lounge.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have have you
23	formulated any now that you know where it took
24	place and things, have you formulated any specific
25	opinions about this case, meaning did the police

1	arrest the right person?
2	JUROR HERMUS: No.
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good.
4	Miss Schneider, any questions?
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And, Miss Hermus, all
6	your recollection is to articles or TV that you saw
7	around the time it happened?
8	JUROR HERMUS: Um-hum.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Nothing more
10	recent?
11	JUROR HERMUS: Actually, I and I don't
12	know if it said that in the paper, but about some
13	evidence that was maybe witnesses, evidence that
14	was destroyed. My husband was telling me, he said he
15	saw it in the paper. I don't know if it had to do
16	with this or not.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
18	JUROR HERMUS: But other than that
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And you didn't read
20	that article?
21	JUROR HERMUS: I skimmed over the paper. I
22	didn't really pay that much attention to it.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
24	JUROR HERMUS: I don't read the paper like
25	he does.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I don't have any other
2	questions then.
3	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny, any questions?
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Based on the
5	totality, stuff from the past, where it happened,
6	anything your husband told you, you know, do you have
7	any feeling like, well, the police got the right guy,
8	he must be guilty?
9	JUROR HERMUS: I don't really have an
10	opinion on it. I don't know. I didn't really know
11	that much about it. I just heard about it.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Sure. Okay. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: One minute. All right.
15	I don't mean to be prying, but and just, there is
16	no right or wrong answer, but do you mind sharing
17	with us what the conversation was with your husband
18	about whatever it was he read in the paper?
19	JUROR HERMUS: He just knew that I was
20	coming up for jury duty and he says, well this says
21	that it might be starting this week and it's going to
22	take a week-and-a-half and that's how long you're on
23	jury duty, so he's like, you know, I don't know if
24	this is it or not but
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay, All right, That

Page 57 of 373

avoid us going into a second week do you want to

start going later some nights, would you have that

night, I've sometimes had the judge ask the jury, to

23

24

1	ability, and kind of let the jury decide. They came
2	back and said they wanted to start going until seven
3	at night.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't know if I have
5	the stamina. I'm going to be I'm being serious
6	about that.
7	THE COURT: That's fine.
8	(Mr. Elkendier enters.)
9	THE COURT: So, Mr. Elkendier, the question
10	is you had raised your hand about some familiarity
11	based on the case, and can you can you let us know
12	what have you seen, whether it's print, television,
13	what what if any opinions you formed on the case?
14	And I also want you to know, and I know that the
15	attorneys have fully endorsed this idea, is there is
16	no wrong answer. The
17	JUROR ELKENDIER: Sure.
18	THE COURT: We just want you to be honest
19	and so don't think we have any expectations.
20	JUROR ELKENDIER: Okay.
21	THE COURT: The floor is yours.
22	JUROR ELKENDIER: Okay. So you want me to
23	start talking?
24	THE COURT: Sure.
25	JUROR ELKENDIER: Okay. I'm familiar with

1	the case. I read about it, familiar with it. Saw it
2	on television. And have basically formed an opinion,
3	and my opinion being that I didn't want to say
4	anything to taint any of the other jurors, but I felt
5	that the suspect is definitely guilty, and I feel
6	that in his best interest, I don't see why he did not
7	cop a plea and hope for possibly getting down the
8	road an opportunity of getting parole instead of
9	going through a trial process. That's my opinion.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And would it be fair to
11	say that even if I were to instruct you and say, look
12	at this with a clean slate, is it fair to say that
13	you would have a very difficult time if impossible
14	time doing that?
15	JUROR ELKENDIER: Your Honor, I would say
16	it would not be impossible, but deep down inside of
17	me in my heart, it's there is no question that
18	in my mind that the suspect is guilty; but, yes, I
19	would do my best to sit in the jury box with an open
20	mind, even though it would be difficult.
21	THE COURT: And let me ask this, and again
22	I'm borrowing the question from counsel, if someone
23	you loved were on trial today and there was the
24	possibility of you serving on that jury, having the
25	sentiment that you feel, do you feel that you would

1	be a good person for this jury or would you prefer
2	not to have someone with sort of your ideas on that
3	jury panel?
4	JUROR ELKENDIER: Probably someone not.
5	THE COURT: Thank you very much. Those are
6	the only questions that we have.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. I don't have any
8	questions. Thank you for just being open and honest
9	and very frank with us.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you.
12	JUROR ELKENDIER: Thank you for your time.
13	(Mr. Elkendier exits.)
14	THE COURT: I'm assuming nobody felt
15	strongly on asking more questions?
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No. He was right out
17	there.
18	(Ms. Hankemeier enters.)
19	THE COURT: Miss Hankemeier, I think?
20	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Miss Hankemeier, you had raised
22	your hand to the question about some familiarity, and
23	all we'd like, first of all, is there is no wrong
24	answer.
25	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Um-hum.

1	THE COURT: There is no right answer. It's
2	whatever we want you to simply let us know what is
3	on on your heart and your experiences.
4	And can you let us know what first of all,
5	what media or what information
6	JUROR HANKEMEIER: The newspaper.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And is that recent
8	readings, is it old readings?
9	JUROR HANKEMEIER: When it first
10	happened.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Based on that, have you
12	have you formulated any opinions on this case?
13	JUROR HANKEMEIER: So I think that he
14	might be guilty because of not wanting witnesses,
15	witness tampering.
16	THE COURT: And understanding that it is
17	the burden is on the State, it is the State's
18	obligation to prove someone guilty, and understanding
19	that the paper does not always get the full story
20	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Um-hum.
21	THE COURT: Do you feel that are you
22	very strong in your sentiments at this point? I mean
23	are you do you feel have you already made up
24	your mind?
25	JUROR HANKEMEIER: 50/50. Is that a fair

can you explain what you mean by that?

25

Page 62 of 373

Page 63 of 373

1	JUROR HANKEMEIER: I don't know all the
2	details of it, I'm just going on the fact of what I
3	read in the newspaper and what I heard in the
4	courtroom.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	JUROR HANKEMEIER: And the mother, you
7	know.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: The mother of the
9	deceased?
10	JUROR HANKEMEIER: I see how much pain
11	she's in.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. And we all do.
13	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Um-hum.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So I'm going to ask a few
15	questions about that. So, first of all, you know,
16	you got your jury summons, you know the case is going
17	on, you walk in the courtroom and you're like, this
18	is the one. Can I ask you what came to your mind
19	right away when you realized that?
20	JUROR HANKEMEIER: The case or when I saw
21	everyone?
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: When you thought, oh, my
23	God, this is the one I'm being called for. Since you
24	read about it, what were you thinking?
25	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Well, again, I there

1	is witnesses that he didn't want here so I kind of
2	think that there is a reason.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. So is it fair to
4	say that because of that you came in and as the
5	judge said, absolutely no right or wrong answers here
6	at all.
7	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Um-hum.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Nothing you say is going
9	to offend anyone. But did you say, well, this guy
10	must be guilty, I think he's guilty?
11	And to be perfectly fair, if you had a loved one
12	who was on trial, would you want somebody with your
13	thinking to be on the jury for your loved one? Do
14	you understand my question?
15	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Yeah. No, I wouldn't.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You wouldn't. So
17	probably it's better you think that you wouldn't sit
18	on the case?
19	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Yeah.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. Thank
21	you.
22	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
23	JUROR HANKEMEIER: Thank you.
24	(Ms. Hankemeier exits.)
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Judge, I figured I needed

1	to keep going.
2	THE COURT: I should have cleared up on
3	that 50/50. That's my fault.
4	(Mr. Van Deurzen enters.)
5	THE CLERK: Michael Van Deurzen.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Van Deurzen, how are you?
7	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Fine.
8	THE COURT: Good. And so we had a couple
9	questions for you. You had raised your hand on the
10	question about the being familiar with the case.
11	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Um-hum.
12	THE COURT: And what we'd like to know is
13	can you tell us, you know, what what you've seen
14	in terms of media coverage, television, paper, and
15	then when did you see that, is it recently, is it
16	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: At the time. It was on
17	TV. I don't remember all the specifics, but I
18	believe like shot in the hallway or the stairway or
19	something.
20	THE COURT: And based on your familiarity
21	with that case, have you formulated any sorts of
22	opinions about this case?
23	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: I don't know. I didn't
24	really think about it that much for the last year or
25	whatever however long it's been.

1	THE COURT: And do you do you think that
2	and again, you had heard me ask, do you think you
3	could be fair and impartial on this case? Do you
4	think, understanding I'm going to give you an
5	instruction that says, essentially, come in with a
6	clean slate, do you think even though you've seen
7	media reports that you'd be able to make any
8	decisions should you be called to make a decision
9	based on just the evidence that you hear in the
10	courtroom?
11	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yeah. I would think
12	so.
13	THE COURT: One of the and again, this
14	isn't my original thought, it's been asked, but if
15	someone you loved and cared about were on trial
16	today, do you think that you or someone with your
17	sentiments would be a good person for this panel?
18	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Miss Schneider, any
20	questions?
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I just want to ask you
22	a little bit, Mr. Van Deurzen, so you read about it
23	or saw it on TV?
24	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Kind of around the

1	time it happened?
2	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What do you remember,
4	other than you said it happened shooting maybe in
5	the hallway?
6	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes. I think white
7	male got shot in the hallway or the stairway, one of
8	the two, I don't remember what it was.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And you don't remember
10	anything more recent than things you heard right
11	after?
12	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: No.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And when you
14	got your summons for jury duty, you didn't try to do
15	any looking around to see what case you were going to
16	come in on?
17	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: No, actually, I
18	didn't.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I don't have
20	any other questions then.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: When you walked in the
23	courtroom and, you know, or whatever moment it was
24	that it came that you realized this is the case that
25	I've read about, what were your thoughts?

1	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: I was kind of surprised
2	actually.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do you have any feeling,
4	you know, when you read about what happened, oh, I'm
5	really glad they got the guy, they've got the right
6	guy, they have the right person on trial?
7	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Again, that was a while
8	ago. I don't know.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I just noticed before,
LO	you know, before you answer, it just might be your
11	personality because I don't know you, that sometimes
12	you hesitate a little bit.
L3	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Um-hum.
L 4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And I do that sometimes
L5	myself too, maybe not enough, but, you know, so I was
L6	just wondering if there is something you're feeling
L 7	that you might feel uncomfortable saying? Because
L8	it's okay, anybody can say anything in here.
L9	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yeah. I have a I
20	had a son. He died Sunday it will be a year and
21	five months ago. And it's pretty hard to bury your
22	kid.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right.
24	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: So I don't know if that
>5	would influence me at all that somebody out there

Page 69 of 373

evidence. I haven't heard anything really.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And then the other
2	thing is given your recent loss, is there any feeling
3	that you have that maybe going through a trial where
4	somebody lost their life is too emotional an
5	experience for you at all?
6	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: I'm thinking it may be
7	emotional, but I'm thinking I can be impartial
8	because I I don't think I think I can be.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
10	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yeah. It would be
11	it can be emotional.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And it would be normal.
13	I mean we all tune out sometimes and have other
14	thoughts, and, you know, going through trauma can
15	make it worse, you know, and I'm and it's hard to
16	sit in a courtroom and listen to all of us all day,
17	so, you know, if you feel uncomfortable with that,
18	feel you couldn't handle it, it's just better to tell
19	us, that's all.
20	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yeah. I mean I was
21	fine so far today, but again, it hasn't been a whole
22	trial so
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. So you
24	would be okay with doing it you think?
25	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: I think.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: If not, just make sure to
2	raise your hand and say, Judge, there's something I'd
3	like to tell you, and I'm sure the judge can always
4	have you come back in here. Because the process is
5	going to take a while.
6	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Right. Right.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	(Mr. Van Deurzen exits; Mr. Parker enters.)
LO	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You're back.
11	JUROR PARKER: I'm back. Didn't ring a
L2	bell until I heard you say the rest of it.
13	THE COURT: No. Nice to have you back.
L 4	So now my question is, now that you you know
15	a little bit more, does the can you let us know
16	what what television, what newspapers, what media
L7	you've seen, and then when you saw it, and then has
L8	it caused you to formulate any opinions.
19	JUROR PARKER: I just recall seeing the
20	incident that had happened on the news and that was
21	way back when. I don't remember any names or
22	anything, I just remember that the incident
23	happened.
24	THE COURT: And has have you now, you
) =	understand that there's been an arrest made

1	obviously. Based on your recollection of the
2	newspaper or media and then understanding that we're
3	here today, have you formulated any opinion that the
4	police officers have the right person or have you
5	have you come to any opinions or conclusions on that
6	end?
7	JUROR PARKER: I have not. I really
8	haven't thought about it since then, so no.
9	THE COURT: Okay. You've heard me ask this
10	before, any concerns about your ability to be fair
11	and impartial and make any decisions that you might
12	be called to make based solely on the evidence that
13	you would hear?
14	JUROR PARKER: None.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Schneider?
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And you don't think
17	anything was anymore recent than around the time it
18	happened?
19	JUROR PARKER: No. It was it was a long
20	time ago; and I it might have been one or two
21	times on the news that I saw.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I don't have anything
23	else then.
24	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny?
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Is there any feeling when

1	you heard someone was arrested, good, I'm glad they
2	have the guy, or any feeling like the right guy is on
3	trial?
4	JUROR PARKER: No. I just was kind of
5	like, oh, my gosh, it was downtown Appleton kind of
6	thing. That was about it. And like I said, I really
7	didn't think anymore about it even after.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. Thank you.
9	JUROR PARKER: Yup.
10	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
11	(Mr. Parker exits.)
12	THE COURT: So I think that's everybody.
13	So can we just confirm before we go back in there,
14	and Melissa has probably got notes, it would be No.
15	2, Mr. Elkendier; No. 5, Kosinski; No. 8, Hankemeier;
16	No. 15, Daelke?
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. 26,
18	Manderscheid.
19	THE COURT: Yes. So we have those five.
20	So then so we move Hermus and Schueller into
21	Hermus will go into Elkendier. Okay. So Elkendier
22	will be Nora Hermus, then Mr. Schueller will become
23	Mr. Kosinski. Then do you have the I don't have
24	it with me.
25	THE CLERK: One thing.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I do. I have it in a
2	different way. I am sorry. It's right here. Steven
3	Fleming.
4	THE COURT: Steven Fleming is going to
5	become Miss Hankemeier. Steven Fleming did you say?
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: F-L-E-M-I-N-G. Then
7	Dennis Shea, S-H-E-A.
8	THE COURT: Will become Mr. Daelke, right?
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Um-hum.
10	ATTORNEY WEITZ: Yes.
11	THE COURT: What was his first name?
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Dennis.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How do you spell Shea?
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: S-H-E-A. And the next
15	one is female, Jo Anne, spelled J-O capital A-N-N-E,
16	Elbe, E-L-B-E is the last name.
17	THE COURT: That's Mr. Manderscheid?
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yes.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Wait. I've got I'm
20	totally messed up.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. I don't think so.
22	Okay.
23	ATTORNEY WEITZ: What's Schueller's first
24	name?
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. 26 is Jo Anne

1	Elbe. The on deck, the next person, would be Laurie,
2	spelled L-A-U-R-I-E, Dey, D-E-Y. And then the next
3	on deck, Mannie, M-A-N-N-I-E, Maas, M-A-A-S.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Do we have a third
5	one of these yet? We're going to do one when we get
6	in court. It's too many. I got too many cross outs
7	now so
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: If you want a blank
9	one at lunchtime.
LO	THE COURT: Do we have
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do we have another blank
L2	one?
L3	THE CLERK: One thing the bailiff Wendy
L 4	mentioned to me, she said Juror John Peterson
L5	mentioned to her that he thinks he knows the
L6	defendant's mother, so he just wanted to
L7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: John Peterson? Maybe we
L8	should just bring him in while we're here.
L9	ATTORNEY WEITZ: So I have another
20	question. These new people that are going to be
21	filling in for who we excused, they haven't been
22	asked the question about the media, so are we going
23	to have to come back with them, is there an easier
24	way to
) E	THE COURT. I think what wo'ro I moan

1	unfortunately, what we're going to they'll come
2	out what they'll do is come out, I will
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Fill the spots.
4	THE COURT: fill the spots, say you've
5	heard my questions up to this point, are there any
6	questions you would have answered to, and then if
7	it's with the exception of the media question.
8	Then I'll ask them
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: What about Mr. Peterson,
10	that he knows my client's mother? We just realized
11	that.
12	THE COURT: Do you want to just bring him
13	back here since we're all here?
14	(Mr. Peterson enters.)
15	THE COURT: Mr. Peterson, how are you, sir?
16	JUROR PETERSON: I'm all right.
17	THE COURT: Good. The it was our
18	understanding that you may have mentioned to the
19	bailiff that you thought you may have known the
20	mother; is that correct?
21	JUROR PETERSON: Pretty sure.
22	THE COURT: And how do you think you know
23	that person?
24	JUROR PETERSON: I worked with her for
2.5	coveral vears

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	JUROR PETERSON: I believe.
3	THE COURT: Do you think that will affect
4	your ability to judge this case and to be fair and
5	impartial?
6	JUROR PETERSON: Not at all. I just wanted
7	to make sure. One of the nicest ladies you ever want
8	to meet.
9	THE COURT: Very good. I appreciate you
10	sharing that. And that's exactly the types of things
11	that we want you to feel comfortable going, well, if
12	it's close and you think you should disclose it, we
13	would rather hear that.
14	Attorney Schneider, any questions?
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you remember her
16	first name?
17	JUROR PETERSON: I don't.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
19	JUROR PETERSON: But I'm just seeing her, I
20	think that's her sitting there out there, so I just
21	kind of put it together so I kind of know about the
22	case then.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You think it's Mr.
24	Lee's mom?
25	JUROR PETERSON: Yes.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And where was that
2	that you worked with her?
3	JUROR PETERSON: McCain Foods.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you ever have any
5	kind of role where you were a supervisor to her or
6	vice versa?
7	JUROR PETERSON: No.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you think it would
9	be hard for you to sit on this jury I mean I'm
10	just going off your comment, Mr. Peterson, you said
11	one of the nicest ladies you ever worked with, and
12	obviously this is a very significant matter and her
13	son's on trial, do you think it would be hard for you
14	to hold those or take those personal feelings and put
15	them aside when you're serving as a juror? And there
16	is no right or wrong answer, we just want you to tell
17	us what your gut is telling you.
18	JUROR PETERSON: If I was chose, I would be
19	able to a hundred percent proceed with it. But she
20	was always talkative, got along with everybody, so
21	it's just a nice little lady.
22	THE COURT: Now, you had Attorney
23	Schneider, I apologize if I'm interrupting.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No, that's fine.
25	THE COURT: You mentioned you knew a little

1	bit about the case, is that from media or is it
2	JUROR PETERSON: Just like different rumors
3	from through the plant.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: The McCain rumor mill?
5	JUROR PETERSON: Correct, that the mother
6	of one that, and I do remember hearing things
7	about that on TV.
8	THE COURT: And have you have you
9	formulated any opinions based on what you heard, you
10	know, through work or
11	JUROR PETERSON: Absolutely not.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Vishny.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. I have a question
14	which is this. If the State proves this case to you
15	beyond a reasonable doubt, okay, and after hearing
16	all the evidence you think beyond a reasonable doubt
17	that Mr. Lee is guilty, will you be able to vote
18	guilty even though you used to work with his mother?
19	JUROR PETERSON: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. Thank
21	you.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
23	(Mr. Peterson exits.)
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So we'll go in.
25	THE COURT: At this time what I'll do is

1	I'll say, at this time I'd ask, you know, Mr.
2	Elkendier, Mr. Kosinski, et cetera, please stand,
3	I'll thank them for their service, let them know that
4	they're excused, we'll call up our next five people
5	and ask that.
6	ATTORNEY WEITZ: And then are you
7	specifically going to ask them about the media thing?
8	I know you said you were going to just ask them
9	general.
10	THE COURT: Are there any questions that
11	you would have answered yes to; and then I'll and
12	then I'll say, other than the media question, can you
13	tell us what question you would answer to, and then
14	if it's the media question, we'll come back. I wish
15	there was a more efficient way, but I think this is
16	as good as it gets.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I think if you say
18	yes, which topic is it.
19	(In open court.)
20	THE COURT: Okay. Again, I appreciate
21	everyone's patience.
22	At this time, Mr. Elkendier, I'd like to thank
23	you for your service, sir, and you are excused at
24	this time.

25

JUROR ELKENDIER: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
2	THE CLERK: No. 7355, Nora Hermus.
3	THE COURT: So, Miss Hermus, if you'll take
4	Mr. Elkendier's spot please.
5	Mr. Kosinski, likewise, I would like to thank
6	you for your service today, sir, and you are excused
7	at this time.
8	THE CLERK: No. 6968, Adam Schueller.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Schueller, if you would
10	please take Mr. Kosinski's spot.
11	Mrs. Hankemeier, at this time you are excused
12	from your duty. I thank you for your service.
13	THE CLERK: No. 472, Steven Fleming.
14	THE COURT: Actually, Mr. Fleming, if you
15	would take the second row.
16	Mr. Daelke, sir, at this point in time, I
17	appreciate your service and you are excused.
18	JUROR DAELKE: Thank you.
19	THE CLERK: No. 6831, Dennis Shea.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Manderscheid, at this point
21	in time, sir, you are relieved of your duties. I
22	thank you for your service.
23	JUROR MANDERSCHEID: You're welcome.
24	THE CLERK: No. 430, Jo Anne Elbe.
25	First alternate, 6559, Laurie Dey; second

Τ	alternate, 6947, Mannie Maas.
2	THE COURT: And this first series of
3	questions is directed to our newest additions to the
4	group. And you have heard me ask various questions
5	of the panel up to this point, and the first question
6	I'd asked, or I will ask of you, are there any
7	questions that I have asked up to this point that you
8	would have answered in the affirmative to?
9	(No response.)
10	THE COURT: And again, on that same
11	subject, is there anyone who has, after having heard
12	my questions, after having had additional time to
13	reflect, is there anybody who's had more time to
14	think about this case and say, well, I do recall
15	maybe hearing or reading or seeing something about
16	this case?
17	(No response.)
18	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
19	Now, the next subject matter, and again now we
20	return to the group in its entirety, is there anyone
21	who has formed an opinion about this case and what
22	the outcome should be?
23	(No response.)
24	THE COURT: Is there anyone who feels that
25	they may have some some inherent biases in this

1	case? We will we will hear from males and
2	females, and we have different racial compositions in
3	terms of potential witnesses, is there anyone who is
4	concerned that they may have some inherent biases
5	that will prevent them from being able to be fair and
6	impartial today?
7	(No response.)
8	THE COURT: Now, is there anyone who has
9	such a strong feeling about this type of case, and
10	again, understanding that the burden of proof is on
11	the State, is there anyone who has such a strong
12	feeling about this type of case that they are of the
13	opinion that it would simply be impossible for them
14	to render a verdict regardless of what that verdict
15	would be?
16	(No response.)
17	THE COURT: Is there anyone who has any
18	and I won't expect you to disclose the specifics of
19	this, but is there anyone who has any physical
20	conditions, mental conditions or cognitive conditions
21	that makes you be of the opinion, Judge, I just don't
22	know that I could sit on this panel?
23	Okay. And that would be Mr. Bloomer?
24	JUROR MANAGAN: Managan.
25	THE COURT: Managan. My apologies. And is

1	that let me ask you this. Is this something that
2	you would like to discuss in back in a more intimate
3	setting or do you feel comfortable disclosing at this
4	point?
5	JUROR MANAGAN: I think I'd rather discuss
6	it in private.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And so we can retake
8	that issue up momentarily.
9	And did anyone else raise their hand?
10	(No response.)
11	THE COURT: Okay. Now, as you've been made
12	aware, this jury trial is expected to last
13	approximately approximately eight days. Now we
14	will do everything in our power to be as efficient as
15	possible, but it's always better that we expect the
16	unexpected, and so the trial may go a little bit
17	longer, it may go right on time. In an effort to
18	keep it on track, if it means we go a little bit
19	later, again, assuming that it's acceptable to you,
20	ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we will look at
21	doing that. We will do everything we can, and I
22	would not expect this, but we will I'm not
23	anticipating that we would use our weekends.
24	Is there anyone who says, Judge, I absolutely
25	have a commitment that I have to be there for, I

Page 85 of 373

1	can't make it for the entire week or eight days, two
2	weeks. Is there anyone who is of that opinion? And
3	just so that you're understanding sort of the level
4	of commitment I'm thinking, if you have if you
5	have, by way of example, an appointment to wash your
6	dog, that's not what I want to hear about. What I'm
7	interested in is, Judge, I have a ticket to the
8	Bahamas tomorrow and I won't be able to be here and
9	it's a non-refundable ticket. So that's to give
10	you a frame of reference as to the level of
11	commitment that we're talking about, is there anyone
12	who has that type of a commitment that they say,
13	Judge, I got to let you know about this because it's
14	a commitment I can't adjust?
15	Okay. Fortunately, we're already going to be
16	speaking.
17	JUROR MANAGAN: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: All right. Anyone else?
19	JUROR HERMUS: Are you talking past eight
20	days?
21	THE COURT: And again, I'm hoping we'll
22	stay on track, but to be safe, I'm saying let's look
23	at two weeks and even maybe a couple
24	JUROR HERMUS: My daughter gets married
2 =	March 12th

1	THE COURT: Who gets married March 12th?
2	JUROR HERMUS: My daughter.
3	THE COURT: We'll be done by March 12th.
4	JUROR HERMUS: I just wanted to let you
5	know.
6	THE COURT: Although my congratulations in
7	advance.
8	Okay. Very good. Is there anyone let me ask
9	this and again, I want you to understand I will do
10	my best to give you routine breaks, to allow to you
11	take lunches. We will we will do everything to
12	make this as accommodating as possible so that you
13	are able to devote all of your attention to the
14	matters at hand. Understanding that, is there anyone
15	who, again, may be going through something in their
16	life where they say, Judge, this has got me
17	distracted? And again, we can deal with this in an
18	intimate setting. Is there anyone who says, Judge,
19	there is something, and it's been on my mind, it's
20	eating at me, that you'd like to share with us,
21	again, in a more private setting?
22	Okay. Mr. Peterson. Okay. And then, Mr.
23	Managan, we're already going to be speaking. All
24	right. Very good.
25	That is, by and large, all of the questions that

1	I have for you. What we will do and I think what
2	may be a good time is we will meet with Mr. Managan
3	and then Mr. Peterson briefly, and then I believe
4	and so what we'll do is I'll ask you to stay here,
5	but I'm expecting that we will have lunch for you,
6	and so when we come back what we'll be able to do is
7	we'll be able to I think break at which point in time
8	then I'm going to turn the questioning over to the
9	to the attorneys for our continuation.
10	Okay? We all set?
11	All right. We'll take a
12	(Proceedings held outside the presence of
13	the jury panel.)
14	(Mr. Managan enters.)
15	THE COURT: Mr. Managan, I appreciate you
16	raising your hand. Again, there is no right or wrong
17	answers.
18	JUROR MANAGAN: Sure.
19	THE COURT: But you wanted to share with us
20	some additional concerns that you had and so please
21	feel free to do so.
22	JUROR MANAGAN: Well, I sometimes have a
23	hard time hearing soft voices and particularly female
24	voices. I got like tinnitus.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	JUROR MANAGAN: So I don't know if I could
2	hear everything, you know, that goes on.
3	THE COURT: And we do have and today is
4	not a good example because we've had to rearrange the
5	courtroom. We will and we do have the ability to
6	have all of us utilize microphones. We can I
7	think we do have I don't know that it's a hearing
8	aid but something that would be able to magnify if
9	necessary. Understanding those potential options, do
_0	you feel that you would be able to, you know, listen
L1	and to hear things? And again, if at any point in
_2	time say, Judge, we just need to make an adjustment,
L3	we can do that.
L 4	JUROR MANAGAN: Okay.
L5	THE COURT: Do you do you think under
L6	those circumstances that it would be okay?
L 7	JUROR MANAGAN: Yeah. I just don't want to
L8	delay, you know, saying could you repeat that, you
L9	know, because I didn't quite hear it.
20	THE COURT: Sure.
21	JUROR MANAGAN: I just, you know
22	THE COURT: Understandable.
23	Attorney Schneider, do you have any questions?
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We can also do I'm
) E	thinking of we could git you in a snot closest to the

1	witness that would be on the witness stand too, so
2	there is some things about the logistics of the
3	courtroom we could do. Is it something that like
4	recordings are easy for you to hear or does that make
5	it difficult as well?
6	JUROR MANAGAN: I struggle probably more
7	with recordings, and, you know, like even when I
8	called in I had my wife, you know, put it on speaker,
9	can you please listen to this with me so I can make
10	sure that I got everything down. I heard it clearly.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Good.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I don't have any other
13	questions for that topic.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Vishny?
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Should I ask questions?
16	I do have one but
17	THE COURT: You can ask. Go ahead. You
18	can ask the question.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm going to get up for a
20	minute here. Mr. Managan, can you hear me right now?
21	JUROR MANAGAN: Yeah.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Thank you.
23	THE COURT: So let me ask you this, Mr.
24	Managan, is it now is it something that the
25	that the sometimes you're able to hear, sometimes

1	you're not, or is it
2	JUROR MANAGAN: Yeah. It's more, you know,
3	and I I've never been in a courtroom so I don't
4	know, but like I know like if I go out and there's a
5	lot of people talking or whatever that I have a hard
6	time with that, and I have a hard time, you know,
7	where there is other, you know, like papers rustling
8	or something like that, that's where it's probably
9	the worst.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Do you think
11	and let me and again, there is no there is
12	no right or wrong answer.
13	JUROR MANAGAN: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Understanding there will be
15	audio recordings, understanding there will be
16	testimony, and we do have microphones, but the
17	understanding that you may be one of twelve people
18	making a very significant decision, and we will
19	accommodate you however we can, understanding we have
20	certain limitations, but what is your opinion, do you
21	feel that you could do this or do you not feel that
22	you could do it? And again, I appreciate your
23	service no matter what. So there is no wrong answer.
24	What is your sentiment?
25	JUROR MANAGAN: I just you know, and I

1	appreciate the opportunity or whatever, I think this
2	but I just think there would be probably more
3	qualified people that could maybe hear better and,
4	you know, maybe help the trial go. I don't, you
5	know, want to have to burden, you know, the plaintiff
6	or the defendants. I just want everything to be
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And we appreciate your
8	openness and your honesty, and I think if you want to
9	ask him the question that we've been using, like if
10	this was his family member, because then he may
11	THE COURT: I think that's a great I
12	didn't think of this question, but I get to ask it
13	now. But so if someone you loved and cared about
14	were on trial today, would you or someone sitting in
15	your shoes, would you want them to be on this panel
16	today?
17	JUROR MANAGAN: You mean if I had a loved
18	one would I want somebody like myself sitting on a
19	panel?
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: With the hearing
21	issues, would you feel confident about having that
22	person sit on the jury?
23	JUROR MANAGAN: I'd say yeah, you know, I
24	don't know what how much of this case is going to
25	be

1	THE COURT: And let me I probably didn't
2	ask that as clear as I could. I'm not I'm not
3	concerned about from you being able to look at this
4	saying I can be fair and impartial, that's not the
5	issue to me, what I want the way I'm asking you
6	that question is you've told us and only you know
7	the extent of how the hearing would affect you. If
8	if there were someone that had the identical
9	condition as you and a loved one was on trial, would
10	you feel comfortable with that person being on the
11	jury panel or would you rather have someone else on
12	that jury panel?
13	JUROR MANAGAN: I think if I was sitting
14	where Mr. Lee, I think I would go with somebody
15	else.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	JUROR MANAGAN: Just, you know, so that
18	they got every aspect of the trial. I wouldn't want
19	something to be missed or something and that's why I
20	wanted to kind of bring that up.
21	THE COURT: And I greatly appreciate that.
22	And and again, the issue to me here, it has
23	nothing to do with whether or not you could be fair
24	and impartial.
25	JUROR MANAGAN: Yeah.

1	THE COURT: I that's really not at
2	issue, it's just a matter of you need to feel
3	comfortable and that's the most important thing
4	because you if you were chosen you would be tasked
5	with a very substantial decision. And so I
6	appreciate your candor, very much so. Okay?
7	All right. Thank you very much. And then if
8	you could just go sit in the jury room then I'll come
9	back and address everyone in a moment.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr.
11	Managan.
12	(Mr. Managan exits.)
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And we're paying
14	attention
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: He's gone?
16	THE COURT: He's gone. That last question,
17	he's gone.
18	(Mr. Peterson enters.)
19	THE COURT: Mr. Peterson, how are you?
20	JUROR PETERSON: I'm all right.
21	THE COURT: All right. So I did see you
22	raised your hand, and we've already touched on kind
23	of a sensitive subject and maybe you've had more time
24	to think or maybe there was something additional, but
25	feel free to share with us what you would like to.

1	JUROR PETERSON: All right. Thank you.
2	And I apologize and thanks for the time.
3	THE COURT: No need to apologize.
4	JUROR PETERSON: Brought it up when I was
5	in here, but when you asked the next question, last
6	Tuesday I got two older children, 22, 20. My
7	boy's in Waupaca County right now serving some time,
8	some new charges. I got a daughter that is actually
9	due back in court in Outagamie tomorrow. She's
10	facing a lot of different charges. So, I guess, just
11	happened in the last couple weeks so I just my
12	mind and my focus is just it's the timing is
13	just that's all I've been thinking of. I will,
14	you know, if chosen do a hundred percent I can,
15	but
16	THE COURT: And I greatly appreciate that.
17	And I'm I I'll formally announce it when we go
18	outside so I would ask that you be quiet until I
19	formally announce it. I greatly appreciate your
20	candor. I think that, quite frankly, Mr. Peterson,
21	under those circumstances anyone would have a
22	difficult time paying attention, and that is not to
23	suggest that on a different panel at a different time
24	you may not be a wonderful candidate, but I think
25	that in fairness to you and in fairness to this trial

1	I think that it is certainly more than appropriate to
2	release you from your duty, and so I appreciate your
3	first of all your willingness to serve today and
4	equally if not more so your candor with us. So thank
5	you very much, sir. Certainly. And I know I speak
6	on behalf of everyone, our sympathies to you and wish
7	you the best of luck.
8	So what I'll do is we're going to, just like we
9	do before, I'll have you go in, I'll release you
10	individually, so I ask that you just not say
11	anything, and then we'll go from there.
12	JUROR PETERSON: All right. Thank you
13	everybody.
14	(Mr. Peterson exits.)
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: The next one is Susan
16	Giesen, G-I-E-S-E-N.
17	THE COURT: So then what would happen is
18	we'll just make sure, Melissa, we have this right. I
19	think
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: G-I-E-S-E-N?
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So Laurie Dey would
22	move into No. 3 where Mr. Peterson was.
23	THE COURT: So we're just worried about the
24	two alternates.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then Mannie Maas

1	would go into No. 12.
2	THE COURT: So Dey is now Mr. Peterson?
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yup.
4	THE COURT: Mannie becomes Mannie Maas
5	becomes No. 12?
6	ATTORNEY WEITZ: So who fills Dey?
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Dey is Bridget
8	Plamann. Bridget, B-R-I-D-G-E-T, P-L-A-M-A-N-N.
9	THE COURT: And then Susan Giesen,
10	G-I-E-S-E-N, becomes Alternate No. 2. And what were
11	the first names of those?
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Bridget Plamann, Susan
13	Giesen. And I can forecast if Bridget Plamann makes
14	it into the pool we'll need to come back. She her
15	sister-in-law was a victim of a homicide that I
16	handled. I can't foresee and it went on for
17	several years.
18	THE COURT: We're going to have to because
19	she's already going to be part of the questioning.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: But I'm just telling
21	everyone that my guess is if she moves back into the
22	bigger pool or when you ask her that
23	ATTORNEY WEITZ: Should we do it now?
24	THE COURT: Why don't we just do it right
25	now rather than have us go back in for one person and

1	then bring her back. In fact, why don't we bring
2	them both back.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: That might not be a
4	bad thought because then we Bridget Plamann.
5	THE COURT: They're the only two that we
6	have haven't been in the pool to ask questions
7	of.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Oh, okay.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Sure. That makes
LO	sense.
11	THE COURT: I'd rather do that than go out,
L2	find out and come back. So can you bring back
L3	Bridget Plamann.
L 4	THE CLERK: Somebody in the back of the
L5	courtroom is texting.
L6	THE COURT: Is it Alyson Derr from the
L7	Post-Crescent? She's sitting in the back. From
L8	where I'm seated, back far right corner.
L9	THE CLERK: I'm not sure. I can check.
20	THE COURT: Get on who that is.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Find out if it's Holly
22	Yamahiro. If so, it's my daughter and I forgot.
23	(Ms. Plamann enters.)
24	THE COURT: Okay. You can have a seat

here.

25

Page 98 of 373

1	Miss Plamann, how are you?
2	JUROR PLAMANN: Fine, thanks.
3	THE COURT: So you are ultimately going to
4	be seated for questioning.
5	JUROR PLAMANN: Okay.
6	THE COURT: And so in an effort to expedite
7	that, I thought we would have you come back and you
8	could answer some questions for us.
9	Now, you've have you been able to hear all of
10	my questions?
11	JUROR PLAMANN: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Are there any questions
13	that you would have answered yes to?
14	JUROR PLAMANN: Yes. The knowing District
15	Attorney and some of the office staff.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And would that affect
17	your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
18	JUROR PLAMANN: No.
19	THE COURT: Do you believe that you would
20	be able to look at this case based solely on the
21	evidence, and if you were tasked with making a
22	decision, make a decision based solely on that
23	evidence?
24	JUROR PLAMANN: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Okay. I'd also asked, and I

1	I'll single this question out over the other ones,
2	but have you seen any media coverage on this case in
3	any capacity that you're aware of?
4	JUROR PLAMANN: Not that I recall.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Are there any other
6	questions that I asked that maybe would have caused
7	you to think or to take a pause?
8	JUROR PLAMANN: Not that I recall.
9	THE COURT: Okay. I'll turn it over to the
10	attorneys, they can ask some things.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Miss Plamann, because
12	I know the facts behind your case, and it's a
13	question I will ultimately ask in front of the larger
14	pool, I just think it's fair to share that it was
15	your sister-in-law, but I do not know if you were
16	married to Casey at the time.
17	JUROR PLAMANN: Yes.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So your sister-in-law
19	was killed, and obviously you heard this case
20	involves a death too, and I know your family spent a
21	lot of time going through your own experiences with
22	the loss of Laura. Do you think because you're going
23	to hear about that, do you think you would be able to
24	keep a fair mind, impartial, and serve as a juror in
2 =	this case?

1	JUROR PLAMANN: Yes.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
3	THE COURT: Any other questions, Attorney
4	Schneider?
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. And probably the
6	other staff you would know would be Stephanie Jens,
7	my victim/witness coordinator?
8	JUROR PLAMANN: Yes.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: But I don't think you
10	had any interaction with my investigators.
11	JUROR PLAMANN: No, not the names
12	mentioned.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Deputy DA Tempelis too
14	would have been in hearings at times?
15	JUROR PLAMANN: Yes. Okay.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Mindy.
17	JUROR PLAMANN: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. I'm going to ask,
20	were you disappointed in the outcome of that case as
21	it exists to date with what happened with that
22	prosecution?
23	JUROR PLAMANN: It never completely made it
24	to trial and that was a family decision.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. I don't know

1	anything really about the case. Everyone knows way
2	more than me. It was a family decision that your
3	family decided?
4	JUROR PLAMANN: Due to circumstances that
5	arose, the family chose at this point in time to, I
6	don't know if that's a correct terminology, to not.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: There is no right or
8	wrong answers or terminology or anything, just
9	whatever you say.
LO	JUROR PLAMANN: It didn't go all the way,
11	there was never a full trial, it never made it to the
L2	jury selection process, that part never happened.
L3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right.
L 4	JUROR PLAMANN: So
L5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Were you upset about that
L6	at all?
L 7	JUROR PLAMANN: It wasn't
L8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Just you're not going
L9	to hurt anybody's feelings.
20	JUROR PLAMANN: No. I just want to make
21	sure I answer truthfully and correctly. I it's
22	not the outcome we were hoping for, but at this time
23	it still is an opportunity that we have saved as a
24	family to attempt another day if it presents itself
>5	that way.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. If there is other
2	evidence.
3	JUROR PLAMANN: Correct.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So one of the things, you
5	know, sometimes when somebody has had a loss like
6	that which is so traumatic, and it's a murder case,
7	and it can you know, my question is will it have
8	you thinking about the loss you have suffered, you
9	know, and that your family has suffered if you were
10	to be sitting on this trial?
11	JUROR PLAMANN: I think after the fact I
12	think I would be able to pay attention.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
14	JUROR PLAMANN: Due to it being not very
15	recent.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So it's clear to
17	me that if I'm wrong, you tell me, okay, but I'm
18	surmising that even though the case didn't proceed
19	against some people, you know, when your loved one
20	was killed, that, you know, your opinion is that they
21	were guilty and did it, maybe it just maybe
22	someday in the future there will be more evidence or
23	something like that. Am I reading that right?
24	JUROR PLAMANN: Correct.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So given that you felt,

1	you know, that the right people were prosecuted in
2	that particular case, I have no comment on that or
3	opinion one way or the other, but is there a feeling
4	that you have coming into this trial, well, they
5	caught the guy, they have the right person, he must
6	be guilty?
7	JUROR PLAMANN: I don't know an answer to
8	that until I know what evidence is presented.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And as you know,
10	there is this requirement that a case has to be
11	proven beyond a reasonable doubt, and apparently in
12	that other case there was some feeling there wasn't
13	evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, you know, in that
14	case, which of course is totally separate from this
15	case, but is there any feeling you would have like,
16	you know, I definitely think it's the right guy, you
17	know, other jurors might say no, there's reasonable
18	doubt, that you would just say no, gotta be it, this
19	person is guilty, not based on what goes on in the
20	jury room or in the courtroom but based on your own
21	personal experiences or, you know, contacts with the
22	District Attorney's office or the police?
23	JUROR PLAMANN: I feel that I would be able
24	to be objective to the information presented.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Thank you.

1	JUROR PLAMANN: But without the information
2	being presented
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No. No. Right.
4	We're not going to give a summary of the trial here
5	or anything, but we're just trying to explore your
6	feelings because it's an unusual situation.
7	JUROR PLAMANN: Agreed.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So okay. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very
10	much.
11	(Ms. Plamann exits; Ms. Giesen enters.)
12	THE CLERK: This is Susan Giesen.
13	THE COURT: Hi, Miss Giesen. How are you?
14	JUROR GIESEN: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Good. So have you been able to
16	hear all the questions that I asked up to this point?
17	JUROR GIESEN: Yup.
18	THE COURT: And are there any questions
19	that you would have raised your hand to answer?
20	JUROR GIESEN: I can't remember them now.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Well let me I can ask
22	you some of them. So have you ever been convicted of
23	a felony?
24	JUROR GIESEN: No.
25	THE COURT: All right. You do live in

Page 105 of 373

1	Outagamie County?
2	JUROR GIESEN: Yup.
3	THE COURT: You heard me you heard me
4	introduce all of the parties?
5	JUROR GIESEN: Yup.
6	THE COURT: And did you recognize any of
7	them?
8	JUROR GIESEN: No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Did you recognize any of
10	the witnesses who I named?
11	JUROR GIESEN: No.
12	THE COURT: Now, are you related by blood
13	or marriage or do you have any close friends who are
14	involved in law enforcement?
15	JUROR GIESEN: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever have
17	you ever been a victim of a crime?
18	JUROR GIESEN: No.
19	THE COURT: Have you ever had a close
20	friend who's been a victim of a crime that you're
21	aware of?
22	JUROR GIESEN: No.
23	THE COURT: Now, I had I had mentioned
24	to you that, you know, this where this incident
25	took place was at the Luna Lounge which is in

25

that's going to testify and the police are always

1	right and so I'm going to believe what they say or,
2	you know, we might have male witnesses and you might
3	say, well I would never believe what a male says
4	because they lie. Do you think you have any biases
5	there?
6	JUROR GIESEN: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. You've heard what the
8	charges are?
9	JUROR GIESEN: Yes.
10	THE COURT: And what's being alleged. Do
11	you have any any strong feelings about this type
12	of crime that it would prevent you from being able to
13	make a decision if you had to?
14	JUROR GIESEN: Can you run that by me
15	again?
16	THE COURT: Sure. So these are and
17	these are serious charges, and even though they're
18	serious charges, do you think you would be able to
19	listen to the evidence and make a decision based on
20	the evidence as you hear it?
21	JUROR GIESEN: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Do you do you have
23	any any conditions, whether it's a medical
24	condition, a physical condition or a cognitive
2.5	condition that you think would make it difficult for

1	you to be a part of this jury if you were selected?
2	JUROR GIESEN: Only if they're you know,
3	in the beginning people were raising hands saying
4	they couldn't hear what was going on, but then you
5	said that they're going to be speaking with a
6	microphone.
7	THE COURT: Yup.
8	JUROR GIESEN: Then I would be able,
9	because once in a while I can't hear if somebody is
10	talking really low.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And was part of the
12	problem because you were in back?
13	JUROR GIESEN: Well, I was in the middle.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Do you think if you were
15	up front and in the jury box you would be able to
16	hear better?
17	JUROR GIESEN: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any any
19	big obligations in the next couple weeks that you
20	go
21	JUROR GIESEN: Only the Friday I have The
22	Price Is Right.
23	THE COURT: Tickets for the PAC?
24	JUROR GIESEN: But that's at night at
) E	7.30

1	THE COURT: Okay. We'll have you out of
2	here by 7:30.
3	JUROR GIESEN: And then on the weekend of
4	March starting like March 4th, that nighttime,
5	until March 7th, we're a get-away weekend.
6	THE COURT: So you would be back Monday
7	morning?
8	JUROR GIESEN: No, I'll be back Tuesday
9	morning.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And where is where is
11	that?
12	JUROR GIESEN: Wherever my husband wants to
13	go for his birthday.
14	THE COURT: So is that something that could
15	be rescheduled if you had to?
16	JUROR GIESEN: Yeah. We could.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	JUROR GIESEN: If we had to.
19	THE COURT: Attorney Schneider, any
20	questions?
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: In the news articles
22	you heard, you think that was a long time ago but
23	then something more recent?
24	JUROR GIESEN: Well, I remember hearing
25	about it two years ago, and then when it came in

1	my husband showed me the article in the paper from
2	Sunday, he said this is what you're going to be
3	doing. I said really. So
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What do you remember
5	from Sunday's article, Miss Giesen?
6	JUROR GIESEN: Just about some witness
7	tapes were being deleted. That's about it. And then
8	where the place was, I always thought it was at what
9	used to be right across from PC building, was it
10	wasn't it that place?
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Over by the
12	Post-crescent?
13	THE COURT: No, not the
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: The PAC?
15	JUROR GIESEN: Yeah, the PAC. That used to
16	be that lounge or not?
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yes. You are thinking
18	of the right corner, the right intersection.
19	JUROR GIESEN: That's the only thing I I
20	told my husband, well, that's that place.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	JUROR GIESEN: That's the only thing I
23	thought about.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I don't have
25	any other follow-up.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So I want to ask, so you
3	read the articles, you read about tapes being
4	deleted, how does that affect your opinion of this
5	case?
6	JUROR GIESEN: I have to hear listen to
7	everything, you know, that was involved in it, not -
8	why did they delete them and what was the purpose of
9	it.
LO	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
L1	JUROR GIESEN: I know it said so in the
L2	papers, but what they say in the paper is one thing
L3	compared to what people say is another.
L 4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So is there any
L5	feeling like, oh, gee, you know, what was deleted
L6	must mean that the person on trial, the accused is
L 7	guilty? Do you feel that way?
L 8	JUROR GIESEN: No, because I don't know
L9	what I would have to hear everything, you know,
20	why he deleted it. See, I don't know what was in
21	those tapes.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. Well, does it
23	give you any negative feelings towards police?
24	JUROR GIESEN: No.
2.5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So I'll just be

1	honest and say, what if you can't hear why it was
2	deleted? What if it's never brought up in the trial?
3	Okay? So if you're listening to a trial and nobody
4	says a word about it, all right, when you go into the
5	jury room to make a decision, are you going to be
6	thinking, you know
7	JUROR GIESEN: Why didn't they bring it up?
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. Why didn't they
9	bring it up, something is missing.
10	JUROR GIESEN: Isn't that what I'm supposed
11	to be asking?
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So the rule is you can
13	only decide based on what actually happens in the
14	courtroom.
15	JUROR GIESEN: Yup.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So and just so you
17	know, there is no right or wrong answer here, nothing
18	is right or wrong and the only wrong answer is a
19	dishonest answer. Okay? So, I mean, I just want you
20	to be honest, because if it's never brought up at all
21	in the courtroom and you go back and you have this
22	extra piece of information, you know, do you think
23	you'll base your decision then partly on the fact
24	that you have an extra piece of information that you
25	read about in the paper?

1	JUROR GIESEN: I don't know what to say to
2	that.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Well, tell me why
4	you don't know what to say to that.
5	JUROR GIESEN: Well, sometimes, you know,
6	people will say something and they didn't really mean
7	to say it and somebody else can interpret it the
8	wrong way or the right way.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And if you're on
10	the jury and you're thinking about that, you know,
11	you might get an instruction that you can't tell
12	anyone else on the panel about it, right, because
13	everybody is supposed to only decide on the court and
14	a lot of people don't know about it. How do you feel
15	about that? Would you be able to keep it to yourself
16	because that's the rule? People can only decide
17	based upon what happens in court.
18	JUROR GIESEN: No. Because if I have to
19	keep it to myself, I would keep it to myself.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. So the
21	fact that you've read about this, you think you can
22	only base this on what happens in the courtroom?
23	JUROR GIESEN: I think so.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. When you say you
25	think so

1	JUROR GIESEN: No, I believe so.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm going to ask you are
3	you sure. Okay? Still saying believe. I know I'm
4	really hard on you there, and I don't mean to be
5	rude, I'm just kind of a probing person, but I just
6	want to make sure, because if you're not, it's
7	perfectly okay.
8	JUROR GIESEN: Yup. I'm sure I can.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. Thank
10	you.
11	THE COURT: Any additional questions?
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No.
14	THE COURT: All right. Miss Giesen, thank
15	you very much.
16	(Ms. Giesen exits.)
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I just want to talk
18	about timing. Yeah. We're going to go to lunch
19	until one I'm assuming for us.
20	THE COURT: That's fine.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. He's done. I
22	probably have and I will probably way
23	underestimate, I don't know, I'm going to say I have
24	at least an hour I'm thinking, and it depends, I
25	don't know.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Depends now much they
2	talk.
3	THE COURT: Right.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: But some of the topics
5	I'm going to ask I'm expecting to get responses from.
6	How so I'm thinking at least an hour, I don't know
7	what you're thinking.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We'll go the rest of the
9	afternoon because I've got some pretty probing
10	subjects, and unless they're very quiet
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: For planning purposes,
12	I have people I know she probably thought I was
13	crazy, but I had people on hold for this afternoon
14	because I just want to if we get that far.
15	THE COURT: I like your optimism.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I'm going to cancel
17	them I think at this point because we can go through
18	the prior crimes, the charts, I know Alex has come up
19	with a new chart. I don't think we sent it to you
20	yesterday because you guys would not have gotten
21	it.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We'll get everything by
23	e-mail.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And I hadn't thought
25	to tell him that.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We have laptops.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I'm just in then my
3	preference would be not to do openings. I don't
4	think we'd even have the time for that.
5	THE COURT: Let's just plan on openings at
6	8:30 tomorrow.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right.
8	THE COURT: We'll finish up voir dire. No
9	matter where we finish it up, it may very well go to
10	the end of the day. We'll take care of the criminal
11	charges, priors, and then that will be it today.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then we probably
13	should bring Mr. Paul Lee down at some point. They
14	did locate him so he is now upstairs.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So let me ask this
17	first of all. Do I need to call my office to tell
18	them they need to get a lawyer for Paul Lee?
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I don't know.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I mean they are prepared
21	to appoint a private lawyer.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Probably a good idea
23	to get a hold of Padgham and ask him. Jon.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'll get a hold of
2 5	somehody Should they send because they have to

21

22

1	appoint a private bar lawyer.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Right. That's right.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: They have some people on
4	call, I mean
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yup. We had this
6	happen in July. I would have
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: What time do we want to
8	say, because that will be the first call I make.
9	Well, could we do this
10	THE COURT: Why don't we do it after we
11	select the jury. We can do it on our afternoon
12	break.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Then at least for
14	courtesy sake that attorney is not sitting.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: But they also might need
16	time to call somebody.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So do we want to say
18	4:00?
19	THE COURT: I was thinking what we would do
20	is we'll come back at one, start up at one, let's

ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yup. 23 ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't want to prejudge 24 anything, but if this is in Milwaukee the guy would 25

break at around three because we're two hours in.

ATTORNEY VISHNY: So 3:00 have counsel?

1	be staying in jail until he testified, so assuming
2	that that occurs here, that means you would probably
3	call him tomorrow, right, or not?
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Well, we have people
5	we have to get on tomorrow. That's what I have to
6	assess.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We can ask in the
8	afternoon.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I got to check with
10	Stephanie on that.
11	THE COURT: So we're letting let me just
12	make sure. We're letting Mr. Peterson go, we're
13	letting Mr. Managan go. Okay.
14	THE CLERK: Just those two.
15	THE COURT: So then we'll just do it the
16	same way we did, and then we'll call up our last two.
17	(In open court.)
18	THE COURT: Again, I appreciate everyone's
19	indulgence.
20	Mr. Peterson, at this time I thank you very much
21	for your service, sir. Your service is completed.
22	THE CLERK: No. 6559, Laurie Dey.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Managan, likewise, I thank
24	you very much for your service today, sir. You are
25	excused.

1	JUROR MANAGAN: Thank you.
2	THE CLERK: No. 6947, Mannie Maas; No.
3	6775, Bridget Plamann; No. 571, Susan Giesen.
4	THE COURT: And, ladies and gentlemen, at
5	this time I have completed my questioning. As I have
6	indicated to you previously, there will be questions
7	asked by both sets of counsel.
8	However, at this time I'd like to break for
9	lunch. We do have food for you in Branch III, which
10	is the courtroom where you had initially gathered
11	prior to coming in. We will be then in recess until
12	1:00. I would ask that you return at 1:00.
13	As for those members who are part of the panel,
14	I would ask that when you return that you take the
15	same seats as you are currently located in. Okay?
16	And with that, then, we will be in recess until
17	1:00.
18	(A lunch recess was taken.)
19	THE COURT: As I'd indicated, I completed
20	my initial questioning for you so at this time I'd be
21	turning it over to the respective parties.
22	Attorney Schneider, are you prepared to proceed
23	at this time?
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I am, Judge.
25	We have a little different configuration than

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're used to in this courtroom, so bear with us, we're trying to do the best with all of us on this side of the room and not block anybody's view.

If anyone has any difficulty at any time hearing me, please let me know. And that still continues for those of you in the back because, as you've seen, just because you had a seat in the back doesn't mean we're not going to have some musical chairs here and you might get called up. So please, again, listen to all the questions. If you can't hear me, please let me know.

Judge has already kind of indicated to you this process, it's called voir dire, and he kind of used words I often use. We're not trying to pry into your lives, we're not going to try to ask a question that's going to make you uncomfortable, but we're really trying to find out about your life experiences and how those life experiences might relate to your service as a juror in this case.

For example, and obviously you know this is not the case, if this was a car accident where someone was injured and we were going to have a trial over that, I would want to know if any of you had been in a car accident or if you had been injured so I do have some -- a series of questions related to some of

Т	the facts and some of the frems that you if hear over
2	the next few days as we continue this case.
3	I want to also tell you, if I ask a question and
4	you don't understand me, please raise your hand.
5	It's likely I asked a bad question, and I'd rather
6	have you ask me to clarify or rephrase it than not
7	get the meaning of what I was trying to get to.
8	Okay?
9	Same thing, if you're in the middle of
10	something, I'm talking about Luna Lounge, which we're
11	going to talk about, and you remember something
12	either the judge asked early this morning or
13	something I brought up earlier and you remember it,
14	interrupt me. I'd much rather have us make sure we
15	address that thing you remember or that item you want
16	to talk about than you think, oh, I'll wait, and ther
17	later you forget what it was you wanted to bring up.
18	So please feel free to interrupt me. We just want to
19	kind of try to get all the answers out.
20	You will see we also have a court reporter, so
21	try not to talk on top of me if you can. I promise I
22	will try not to talk on top of you, but it's also why
23	we always identify you by your name before I get an
24	answer from you, just to assist the court reporter in
25	her duties.

The judge has indicated to you that this case is
currently set for the balance of this week and all of
next week. And I know there was an opportunity when
you were sent your original voir dire paperwork and
packet from the clerk's office to fill out if you had
vacation or some other issue, but now that you're
actually here, I just want to ask anyone whether you
have something, whether it's a work related item, a
project that, you know, you think you have to get due
or that is due, or something in a personal life. We
know, Miss Hermus, March 12th is yours. Okay? But
it can be anything. I had a man whose wife was ten
months pregnant, didn't think to mention anything
I'm sorry, she was seven months pregnant, didn't
think to mention anything, we picked him, went out,
his wife started labor early. So anything like that,
we would much rather have you talk about so we can
try to assess your schedules. It could be that
you're thinking, oh, I can probably get back to work
next Wednesday or Thursday. We can't guarantee that.
And we might have to go into that Monday, March 7th
or Tuesday the 8th. So does anyone right now as I
ask that think of anything either in your work,
personal life, some issue that either you feel like
you have to attend to or, on the other side.

1	something that's going to distract you because you're
2	going to be thinking about whatever that other item
3	is?
4	(No response.)
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. We will take
6	our best to take breaks and to accommodate you, but I
7	also often ask jurors this question, because
8	sometimes when we get in the courtroom it's afternoon
9	and it's getting warmer, it gets hot, sometimes
10	people get drowsy. Some people might be on
11	medications where that might be a side effect. Is
12	there anyone on a medication or have some other issue
13	where you have concerns about that at all?
14	(No response.)
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We're in the middle of
16	the cold and flu season and sometimes those things
17	don't work too well if you're trying to pay attention
18	as opposed to stay focused.
19	Has anyone on the panel ever served on a jury
20	before?
21	Okay. And raise your hands and then I'll
22	identify everybody for the record and then I'll come
23	back to you. So it's Mr. Shea? And just let me
24	finish up. And then Ms. Elbe?
25	JUROR ELBE: I didn't have to do the jury.

1	We got there but then they canceled it out. So
2	JUROR PAUL: Yeah. Same here.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Let me go back to Mr.
4	Shea first and then I'll come to you ladies here in
5	the front row.
6	Mr. Shea, when was that?
7	JUROR FLEMING: Actually I'm Steve
8	Fleming.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry. I'm in the
10	wrong row. Mr. Shea, you're looking at me like,
11	hello. Sorry. That's one of those raise your hands,
12	say wrong guy.
13	Mr. Fleming, when was that?
14	JUROR FLEMING: I don't remember the exact
15	time, probably eight years ago, eight or ten years
16	ago.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Was it here in
18	Outagamie County?
19	JUROR FLEMING: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you actually serve
21	on the jury?
22	JUROR FLEMING: Yes.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you remember what
24	kind of case it was?
25	JUROR FLEMING: It was an industrial

1	accident.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did it get to the
3	point where the jury had to reach a verdict?
4	JUROR FLEMING: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you remember who
6	you who the verdict was reached for?
7	JUROR FLEMING: It was awarded to the
8	plaintiff.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: The plaintiff. The
10	moving party.
11	JUROR FLEMING: (Nodding.)
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you serve as the
13	foreperson at all?
14	JUROR FLEMING: No.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And that's your one
16	time for jury experience, Mr. Fleming?
17	JUROR FLEMING: Yes.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
19	Then I'm going to move to what I'd call the
20	third row and then I'm going to come to the front
21	row. I'll come to you, Miss Giesen, in just a
22	second.
23	So it's Miss Elbe?
24	JUROR ELBE: Um-hum.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Am I saving that

1	right?
2	JUROR ELBE: Yes, correct, thank you.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you were called for
4	jury duty, and I think you said that you didn't
5	actually have to serve?
6	JUROR ELBE: That's right. They had taken
7	and did the outstanding, you know, prior to going in
8	to the point of jury.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Was that here
10	in Outagamie County?
11	JUROR ELBE: Yes.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And do you remember
13	when, if you know?
14	JUROR ELBE: It would have been at least
15	four years ago. Um-hum.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
17	And then I'm going to forget from this morning,
18	is it Giesen or Giesen?
19	JUROR GIESEN: Giesen.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Miss Giesen, when did
21	you have jury duty?
22	JUROR GIESEN: About 15, 17 years ago.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Where?
24	JUROR GIESEN: Here.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do vou remember what

1	type of case it was?
2	JUROR GIESEN: It was a house party.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Were there criminal
4	charges because of the party?
5	JUROR GIESEN: Apparently somebody hit
6	somebody's car and two hours within the trial we were
7	called out and it was settled.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you remember if it
9	was criminal charges were brought in that case?
10	JUROR GIESEN: After we got into the trial,
11	they called us out and
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: It settled so you
13	don't know?
14	JUROR GIESEN: No.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: All right. Thank you.
16	Anyone else prior jury experience?
17	Okay. Miss Paul. When was that and where?
18	JUROR PAUL: Winnebago County about 25
19	years ago. I don't remember the case.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
21	JUROR PAUL: I never got to serve. They
22	didn't choose me.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Anyone else?
24	Okay. Mr. Keleske?
25	JUROR KELESKE: Correct.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When was that, sir?
2	JUROR KELESKE: Twice, once in Racine
3	County probably, I'm just guessing, back in the early
4	'90s, and then four to six years ago here. I didn't
5	get chosen for either place.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Neither one. Thank
7	you, Mr. Keleske.
8	I have started asking this question, the next
9	question I'm going to ask, based on an experience I
10	had where, unbeknownst to me, I had a mother-in-law
11	on the panel with her son-in-law. Different last
12	names; would not have known that. But one of the
13	things we will ask you to do if you're selected as a
14	juror is at the end you go back and deliberate. So
15	just the group up here, look around amongst
16	yourselves, does anyone recognize anyone else?
17	So it always comes up someone does. Mr. Van
18	Dalen?
19	JUROR VAN DALEN: Yup.
20	THE COURT: Who else do you know?
21	JUROR VAN DALEN: Gentlemen on the end. I
22	don't know his name but our kids go to the same
23	school. He jump started my car one time.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You call him jumper
25	cable guy already or something?

1	JUROR VAN DALEN: Okay.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And it's Mr. Nieman?
3	JUROR NIEMAN: Nieman.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Obviously you didn't
5	recognize Mr. Van Dalen until
6	JUROR NIEMAN: Now I do.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: It sounds like - I'll
8	keep my questions on Mr. Nieman - kids just go to the
9	same school. Would that cause you any concern if you
10	had to serve on the jury with Mr. Van Dalen?
11	JUROR NIEMAN: No.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Same question to you
13	Mr. Van Dalen.
14	JUROR VAN DALEN: No.
15	THE COURT: Anyone else in the group of you
16	up here.
17	(No response.)
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
19	Judge has kind of started to talk about the
20	different types of witnesses you're going to hear in
21	this case, and I know he asked about experience, if
22	anyone had a family member or a close friend who was
23	employed in law enforcement.
24	I think, Mr. Parker, you talked about your son
25	who is in Brillion?

1	JUROR PARKER: Yes.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: How long has he been
3	an officer?
4	JUROR PARKER: He started out as
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Like a CSO?
6	JUROR PARKER: Right.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Community service
8	officer.
9	JUROR PARKER: For a few years, and I'd say
10	probably four years now.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you talk to him
12	about his work?
13	JUROR PARKER: Not too often. He's pretty
14	tight-lipped about everything. He was like that
15	since he was a kid.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Now, you're
17	obviously going to hear from several officers who are
18	witnesses in this case, and what the judge is going
19	to instruct you is you should weigh their testimony
20	as equal as anyone else who comes in. Having a son
21	who is an officer, do you think you're going to apply
22	that principle of law that the judge gives to you?
23	JUROR PARKER: Yes.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I think judge
25	asked about close family members or friends but I

1	don't think he asked if anyone on the panel had
2	anyone ever themselves been employed in law
3	enforcement. I want to make sure I cover that in
4	case that wasn't clear in the questions the judge had
5	asked.
6	(No response.)
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No one has a family
8	member or friend other than Mr. Parker?
9	(No response.)
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Judge has instructed
11	you, and I want to just remind you of this and make
12	sure I ask the question again, the first charge I
13	read to you in this case is a charge of a homicide.
14	It is a very significant case and a very significant
15	request we make of you to come in and serve as
16	potential jurors. There are other charges I also
17	read to you about other crimes we will elicit
18	testimony about that the defendant committed, but as
19	a juror, I just want to make sure you understand your
20	role is to act ultimately as the judge and return a
21	verdict in this case. It's one of the reasons you'll
22	see when you come in and out of the courtroom, but
23	for this morning, because we're kind of in an odd
24	layout, we rise for you because we give you that same
25	respect that we give the judge when he enters the

1	courtroom. Sometimes people have personal reasons,
2	sometimes people have moral or religious reasons
3	about judging another. I'd rather have you raise
4	those now than think, well, I'm one of how many, I
5	might not get picked. So does anyone at this time
6	think you would have any concerns about being asked
7	to return a verdict in a homicide case?
8	(No response.)
9	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Fleming. I'm going
10	to get it right this time. Is it something you want
11	to talk about in private or are you comfortable
12	talking about it here?
13	JUROR FLEMING: Probably in private.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: In private. Okay.
15	Anyone else have those same kind of concerns or
16	issues you'd like to discuss with us?
17	(No response.)
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I don't know if
19	you want me to continue and see if there is anyone
20	else or if we want to take a break and take Mr.
21	Fleming back now.
22	THE COURT: Why don't we take just we'll
23	take a couple more questions just to make sure that
24	you don't have anyone else that would like to go back
2 5	and then we'll take a short break

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I also want to
2	just explain to you that because this is a homicide
3	case you will learn that the victim Mr. Richards was
4	shot in the head. We're going to limit graphic
5	images, photographs, but you're still going to hear
6	that testimony. Does anyone think it would be
7	difficult for them to be a juror when that type of
8	testimony or those types of matters are discussed?
9	(No response.)
LO	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Judge has already
L1	talked about the location of where the incident
L2	occurred back in December of 2013. I just want to
L3	talk a little bit about that area. It is now, as the
L 4	court reporter corrected the judge, it's a location
L5	that's now at the corner of College and Division,
L6	across from the Performing Arts Center, the PAC.
L7	That business has been, I'm going to go back, and
L8	some of you will remember, Viking Theatre at one
L9	point, then it was a bar I think called Route 66 for
20	a while. I think for a while it might have been a
21	bar called Wet. Most recently it was a bar called
22	Luna Lounge, and then above it was another bar that
23	was Drinks Incorporated. Anyone on the panel, I'm
24	going to ask it this way, not familiar with that area
) 5	of Appleton or College Avenue at all?

1	(No response.)
2	JUROR KELESKE: I'm not.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: That's okay. So, Mr.
4	Keleske, just not familiar with that area at all?
5	JUROR KELESKI: No.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. That's
7	perfectly fine.
8	Anyone else on the panel? Okay. I'm going to
9	come to you. Mr. Green?
10	JUROR GREEN: Yes.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Not familiar with the
12	Avenue, downtown Appleton?
13	JUROR GREEN: No.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Now I'm going to ask
15	the reverse question but a little bit more
16	specifically. For those of you who are familiar with
17	that area, how many have ever went to the
18	establishment when it was Luna Lounge?
19	Okay. So in the back it's Mr. Nieman. I'll ask
20	you this. About how many times in a month, in a
21	year, do you think you might have went there?
22	JUROR NIEMAN: Probably two times total.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Oh, okay. And when
24	was the last time you might have visited there?
25	JUROR NIEMAN: Three years ago maybe.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
2	Nieman.
3	And then in the back row, Mr is it Calmes?
4	JUROR CALMES: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Familiar from with
6	just going out downtown Appleton?
7	JUROR CALMES: Yes.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Same kind of
9	questions I asked Mr. Nieman about how often, when?
10	JUROR CALMES: Twice. And the last time
11	was exactly three years ago.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
13	Calmes.
14	Then anyone else in the back row?
15	(No response.)
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then, in the
17	second row, is there anyone who raised their hand?
18	(No response.)
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: All right. And then
20	in the row starting with Mr. Shea, anyone familiar or
21	have visited Luna Lounge?
22	Okay. First it's Miss Erickson?
23	JUROR ERICKSON: Yes.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When would have you
25	visited there?

1	JUROR ERICKSON: About three years ago,
2	like the other gentlemen said, and just a few times a
3	year, just pop in usually.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then anyone else
5	in that row? Okay. So I'm going to pop up, I'm
6	going to move my sheet here. Mr. Webster?
7	JUROR WEBSTER: Yes.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When would have that
9	been?
10	JUROR WEBSTER: Probably like four years
11	ago, used to go there every weekend.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was there a group of
13	friends that you would typically visit that
14	establishment with?
15	JUROR WEBSTER: That was our hangout back
16	in the day.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. So you're
18	familiar with kind of the layout and how there is a
19	lower bar and then I would say like the upper bar
20	area?
21	JUROR WEBSTER: Yeah. Usually remodel
22	remodel like every two years.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yeah. They go quite a
24	bit.
25	Okay. And then anyone else in the front row?

1	Okay. Miss Vandenberg?
2	JUROR VANDENBERG: Um-hum.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Just from going out
4	downtown?
5	JUROR VANDENBERG: Yeah. I was there once
6	two years ago.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: All right. Thank you
8	all.
9	Anyone work in a capacity or in a job where you
10	might have had contact with Luna in that capacity?
11	(No response.)
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And you have to bear
13	with me. If I see somebody kind of move or I'm going
14	to try to see, I want to make sure I don't miss a
15	hand. But I just saw you, Mr. Keleske, so I had to
16	quick look at you.
17	How many people so I'm going to expand it
18	from Luna to just kind of the general downtown
19	College Avenue area. How many people frequent that
20	to either go out to the drinking establishments, many
21	of the eating restaurants we have downtown or the
22	PAC? How many people would say they do that on a
23	monthly basis?
24	Okay. So Mr. Parker?
25	JUROR PARKER: Yes.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Just to go downtown;
2	is there a particular restaurant that's of interest?
3	JUROR PARKER: We go to the Bad Badger bar
4	for Badger games and stuff like that. And then The
5	Bar on occasion and Katsu Ya occasionally and the
6	PAC.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you been to the
8	new Katsu Ya or the old?
9	JUROR PARKER: No, not yet.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
11	And then anyone else before I get in front of
12	the bar? Was it you, Miss Paul?
13	JUROR PAUL: (Nodding.)
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And where do you
15	typically go when you go out downtown?
16	JUROR PAUL: Restaurants, Katsu Ya, Cena.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: There is another
18	establishment on College Avenue as well that you will
19	hear referenced during the course of this trial, and
20	it's had a series of names, much like Luna has. I'm
21	going to not get the first name when it was a pool
22	hall, but for a while it was known as Sharks
23	Billiards or Sharks Pool Hall. I think right now
24	it's KK Billiards is the name of it. But it's always
) E	hoon in the same area. It's within Park Control If

1	I say that, some of you might know that. Has anyone
2	ever been at Sharks Pool Hall before?
3	Okay. I'm going to start in the back and then
4	work my way up. Mr. Calmes? Just a lot, a little,
5	sometimes they have leagues, so that's why I'm just
6	wondering.
7	JUROR CALMES: Yeah. I've probably been
8	there ten times in my life.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And when do you think
10	the last time was that you were there?
11	JUROR CALMES: I was there this November.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. All right.
13	Thank you.
14	And then anyone else in the back row?
15	(No response.)
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And I'm going to move
17	up a row. I thought I saw a hand. Was it Mr. Wells?
18	JUROR WELLS: Yup.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. How often do
20	you think you have been to Sharks?
21	JUROR WELLS: Two or three times maybe.
22	I've been when it was Route 66, I was there as
23	well.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
25	JUROR WELLS: I've been in there one time

1	upstairs.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Wells.
3	Anyone else in that row or the question about
4	Sharks?
5	(No response.)
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Then the row in front
7	of the bar. I thought I saw a few hands. Miss
8	Erickson?
9	JUROR ERICKSON: Went there too many times
10	to count about three to five years ago.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Were you in a pool
12	league?
13	JUROR ERICKSON: No. Just went there a
14	lot.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it something where
16	you and friends would go?
17	JUROR ERICKSON: Correct.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: On a somewhat
19	consistent basis?
20	JUROR ERICKSON: Correct.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then, Miss Lee,
22	did you raise your hand as well?
23	JUROR LEE: Yes.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. How often do
25	you think you've been to Sharks?

1	JUROR LEE: Very little, but very long ago.
2	I'm thinking maybe like nine years ago.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What did you say?
4	JUROR LEE: Like ten years ago.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: All right. Thank you,
6	Miss Lee.
7	Anyone else in that row? I thought I did see
8	your hand. Micke?
9	JUROR MICKE: Yeah. Cassie Micke.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Micke. Okay. Miss
11	Micke, when was that?
12	JUROR MICKE: About 15 years ago once or
13	twice.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
15	Mr. Nichols?
16	JUROR NICHOLS: Yeah. I was there once
17	with some friends. Would have been like ten, twelve
18	years ago.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr.
20	Nichols.
21	Anyone else in anyone in the front row? Mr.
22	Webster?
23	JUROR WEBSTER: About four years ago.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Do you think
25	you went to Sharks more or Luna more?

1	JUROR WEBSTER: Bar hopping around that
2	area.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
4	Anyone else that I might have missed?
5	(No response.)
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You will also hear at
7	one point in this case a reference to the 1700 block
8	of North Harriman Street in the City of Appleton. I
9	sometimes mention that because if people live in that
10	area you might be more familiar with it or if you
11	work in that area. Anyone ever now or in the past
12	live or work in that area?
13	Okay. Mr. Schueller?
14	JUROR SCHUELLER: Yes. I deliver for Fed
15	Ex so I'm through there quite often.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. But no
17	particular familiarity with that area probably than
18	any of the other spots you deliver to?
19	JUROR SCHUELLER: No.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
21	Schueller.
22	Anyone else?
23	(No response.)
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You will learn through
25	testimony that the day of the incident the defendant

1	wellt alld visited a milton noter in Milwaukee. Has
2	anyone ever stayed at a Hilton in Milwaukee before?
3	Everyone is trying to think, I see that.
4	(No response.)
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. No one's I'm
6	going to assume no one has recently stayed at this
7	Hilton in Milwaukee by the responses.
8	As you've already heard, the incident with the
9	defendant and Mr. Richards, the victim, occurred at
10	Luna and it occurred at approximately 1:50 in the
11	morning. Has anyone ever worked at a bar or worked
12	as a bouncer, a bartender at a bar?
13	Okay. So, Miss Meyer, yes or no?
14	JUROR MEYER: I didn't work as a bouncer or
15	bartender. I ran volleyball.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Did you ever
17	have an occasion where you were running that program
18	where any hopefully not volleyball was that
19	competitive, but where any fights or issues started?
20	JUROR MEYER: Not with volleyball, no.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
22	And then it's Mr. Maas?
23	JUROR MAAS: Um-hum.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Mr. Maas, did
25	you work at a bar?

1	JUROR MAAS: I leased a bar for two
2	years.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: For two weeks?
4	JUROR MAAS: Two years.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Two years. That's
6	what I thought. Two weeks. What bar was that?
7	JUROR MAAS: (Unintelligible) in Oneida.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was it something, Mr.
9	Maas I'm going to assume that you were there
10	probably almost every day?
11	JUROR MAAS: I was.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was the bar large
13	enough that you had other staff also?
14	JUROR MAAS: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was it a situation,
16	Mr. Maas, where you ever had fights or had to address
17	fights that were occurring in the bar?
18	JUROR MAAS: I did, yes.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you ever have to
20	call the police for assistance?
21	JUROR MAAS: Yes.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then is it a
23	situation, Mr. Maas, from that where you ever had to
24	provide testimony about what you saw?

JUROR MAAS: No, ma'am, I didn't.

25

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
2	Maas.
3	Anyone else then ever work at a bar?
4	Okay. I'm going to come to the middle row.
5	Miss Lee?
6	JUROR LEE: Yes.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What bar was that,
8	Miss Lee?
9	JUROR LEE: The Bar on Lynndale.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And when did you work
11	there?
12	JUROR LEE: Late '90s, '97, '98 or
13	something like that.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Were you working there
15	full-time or part-time?
16	JUROR LEE: Part-time. Just bartended.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Had you had the
18	occasion where there were fights that broke out while
19	you were bartending?
20	JUROR LEE: Not not when I worked.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Did The Bar on
22	some of the occasions, maybe more on the weekends,
23	have extra security staff that would work?
24	JUROR LEE: Yeah. They had bouncers.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Miss Lee.

1	And then anyone else in that row?
2	Okay. First Mr. Nichols, then I'll get to you,
3	Miss Paul.
4	JUROR NICHOLS: I worked as a
5	doorman/bouncer at a bar in southern Wisconsin.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. When was that,
7	Mr. Nichols?
8	JUROR NICHOLS: What was that?
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When was that?
10	JUROR NICHOLS: That would have been around
11	'99, 2000, somewhere in there.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Part-time?
13	JUROR NICHOLS: Yeah.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Worked probably
15	most weekends?
16	JUROR NICHOLS: Um-hum.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Not knowing where it
18	was, and you don't need to disclose, but was it a
19	situation where you would have fights at the bar
20	occasionally?
21	JUROR NICHOLS: There were a couple, yeah.
22	I never had to deal with jury duty. We did call the
23	police after. We escorted them out though.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you did call the
25	police at times but never had to come in and testify?

1	JUROR NICHOLS: Correct.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Ever have a situation
3	where someone had a gun in the bar and you didn't
4	know?
5	JUROR NICHOLS: Not a gun.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Other weapons, though,
7	I'm guessing by your answer?
8	JUROR NICHOLS: A pruner.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: A pruner. Okay. Now
10	I have to know what bar it was. Threw me off there.
11	And that was the only bar you had worked at?
12	JUROR NICHOLS: Yes, I believe so.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
14	Nichols.
15	And then finally the front row. Is there
16	anyone?
17	Miss Paul. What bar was that?
18	JUROR PAUL: Fox Valley Lanes in Neenah.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Sure. And how long
20	did you work there?
21	JUROR PAUL: Only a few months.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And when was that?
23	JUROR PAUL: Last year, last winter,
24	2015.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Bowling ever get so

1	ieisty
2	JUROR PAUL: No.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: that you had any
4	fights break out?
5	JUROR PAUL: Not really.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone ever work in a
7	profession in the past where you worked security?
8	I'm going to use that word broadly, it could be a
9	bouncer at a different type of facility, it could be
10	at some of our community events where you're there
11	kind of as security kind of watching what's going on,
12	we have a lot of church festivals where some of the
13	members have to take on that role, or it could be a
14	security at a different type of facility or event.
15	Anyone ever have a job where they worked in that kind
16	of capacity or volunteered, I should say, too.
17	Okay. So I'm going to come to you. Mr.
18	Bloomer?
19	JUROR BLOOMER: Correct.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When was that?
21	JUROR BLOOMER: I've worked security a good
22	part of my life so it could be I worked for
23	Securitas, Pinkerton.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Sure.
25	JUROR BLOOMER: So you see quite a bit of.

1	I'm not sure you mean fights, yes, you you just
2	bring a calm to that sort of thing.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it your role in
4	those situations, Mr. Bloomer, where you're kind of
5	always paying attention or looking out for that in
6	those roles?
7	JUROR BLOOMER: Yes, that's yes.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And I'm guessing you
9	probably weren't always able to be that calming force
LO	and had to call the police at some point?
L1	JUROR BLOOMER: I've called the police a
L2	few times, but not well, yes, I did call for once
L3	shots fired and once fight.
L 4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I want to explore that
L5	shots fired. Do you remember where you were working
L6	at the time?
L 7	JUROR BLOOMER: Modesto, California.
L8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And what type of
L9	establishment was that at?
20	JUROR BLOOMER: A coffee shop was being
21	robbed.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And were you providing
23	some security near that coffee shop or was this just
24	you as a citizen heard that?
25	JUROR BLOOMER: Yeah. There was a there

1	was a a grocery store that was being renovated and
2	so the back of it was open, and around the corner was
3	a coffee shop and someone robbed it, and then the
4	girl was on the phone calling and he came out and
5	told her stop. She ran down the alley, and we me
6	and one other person grabbed her and brought her
7	inside.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When did that happen,
9	Mr. Bloomer, if you know?
10	JUROR BLOOMER: It was
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Twenty years ago, 15,
12	10, more than that?
13	JUROR BLOOMER: That was '98, a long time.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And is this a
15	situation, Mr. Bloomer, based upon how you're
16	describing it, you can still kind of close your eyes
17	and remember a lot of what happened; is that correct?
18	JUROR BLOOMER: Correct.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Is that a
20	situation where you ever saw or encountered the
21	person who fired the shots or who robbed her?
22	JUROR BLOOMER: (Shaking head back and
23	forth.)
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. We just have to
25	say wes or no for the court reporter.

1	JUROR BLOOMER: No.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: She's pretty good at
3	picking it up but in case she missed it. All right.
4	And then you said you also called for just random
5	fights at times?
6	JUROR BLOOMER: Backup. Somebody I was
7	threatened so they threatened me then so I called
8	the police. And they were always real good about
9	it.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: How and again, I
11	don't mean to pry, how were you threatened?
12	JUROR BLOOMER: Once again, back at that
13	same place, the whole back of the grocery store was
14	wide open pretty much, except for (unintelligible).
15	There was people that came in and said that I
16	shouldn't do this or shouldn't do that, and so I'm
17	not going to listen to that, and so then there was a
18	an officer that was coming by and I got a hold of
19	him and he said he would swing by more often. They
20	never came back.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it a situation, Mr.
22	Bloomer, where you were ever threatened with an item
23	at all? When I say item, because it could be a
24	pruner now, but I mean a gun, a pipe, anything where
25	you were threatened or was it more just verbal?

1	JUROR BLOOMER: I think it's always pretty
2	much verbal.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Verbal. Thank you,
4	Mr. Bloomer.
5	JUROR BLOOMER: You're welcome.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone else then I
7	have been asking the question about working in
8	security or in that role?
9	Okay. Mr. Nichols.
10	JUROR NICHOLS: Like I said I was a
11	bouncer/doorman at the bar.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
13	Anyone else then in the front row?
14	Okay. Mr. Webster first.
15	JUROR WEBSTER: I used to do cage
16	fighting.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Cage fighting. And so
18	would you have to work security at some of those
19	events?
20	JUROR WEBSTER: Yeah. Usually the other
21	opponent loses, their friends kind of altercate with
22	us, the other side of the room.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So like Round 2 of the
24	fight maybe?

JUROR WEBSTER: Yes.

25

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And is that a
2	situation where you ever had to call the police?
3	JUROR WEBSTER: No. Usually take care of
4	it ourselves.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you. And then
6	Mr is it we haven't said your last name yet,
7	buza, Mr. Buza?
8	JUROR BUZA: For a couple weeks when I was
9	in the Army I had security duty at the Army prison
10	and I had to be up in the guard tower, that was my MO
11	and all that good stuff.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Ever have an encounter
13	where you had to call for other assistance?
14	JUROR BUZA: Not there.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Any other security
16	jobs or roles you've had?
17	JUROR BUZA: No.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
19	Buza.
20	As you can imagine, the Mr. Shea?
21	JUROR SHEA: I have a a situation that's
22	not exactly security. I'm an EBD teacher, emotional
23	behavioral disability, and I have had to restrain
24	students and I have had to call the police.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. No. Thank you.

1	That's all informative for us to know.
2	Is that something, Mr. Shea, because of that
3	experience and uniqueness of that, kind of not only
4	are you teaching and trying to engage the students
5	but you're always kind of probably scanning to see if
6	something is building up, would that be correct if I
7	said that?
8	JUROR SHEA: That would be very correct.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I was starting to say
10	that the offense happened Saturday night at Luna,
11	people were out drinking. Is there anyone on the
12	panel, for personal reasons, sometimes it's medical
13	reasons, at times it might be your age, does not
14	consume alcohol at this time?
15	I expected Mr. Green to raise his hand, and
16	that's because of your age?
17	JUROR GREEN: Yes.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then was it Miss
19	Steffen? Okay.
20	JUROR STEFFEN: You're asking if you don't?
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do not drink alcohol.
22	And is that a personal choice?
23	JUROR STEFFEN: Not really, more medical.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Is it something
25	where it developed that at one point in your life you

1	could drink but just now you can't?
2	JUROR STEFFEN: Right.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. So maybe this
4	is a good time for us to take Mr. Fleming back for a
5	question, and then we can let
6	THE COURT: I think that is a good idea.
7	(Proceedings held outside the presence of
8	the jury panel.)
9	(Mr. Fleming enters.)
10	THE COURT: Mr. Fleming, you had if I
11	understood correctly, there was a question asked by
12	Attorney Schneider about your comfort level in making
13	a decision in this case or being required to stand in
14	judgment. Could you expand on that for us?
15	JUROR FLEMING: Yeah. I I guess I have
16	a son very near that age that experienced a very
17	traumatic time in his life a couple years ago, and I
18	guess as far as when it comes right down to the
19	verdict, I might have trouble making a decision.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And is it something as
21	it relates to your son that you feel comfortable
22	sharing with us?
23	JUROR FLEMING: Our son is doing really
24	well now.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	JUROR FLEMING: He didn't go to jail, not
2	that he didn't do anything illegal to go to jail,
3	let's put it that way, but I guess my thing is he was
4	given a lot of support and a lot of help and now he's
5	in a real good direction.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And would I be
7	correct, and I certainly don't mean to jump to
8	conclusions, but was it mental health issues
9	related issues, is that
10	JUROR FLEMING: Yes, mental health, and
11	there was drug and alcohol involved, but he's very
12	he's on a great path now and I think it was the
13	support back then.
14	THE COURT: Sure. And let me ask this.
15	Your your job, if you were selected, would be to
16	render a verdict as to guilty or not guilty. That
17	would be the extent of your job. In terms of in
18	terms of what any sort of sentence would be as a
19	byproduct of that verdict, if we were to get to that
20	point, that is my responsibility. That is not
21	that is not something or a burden that we place upon
22	you. And I would I would use the criterion that
23	I'm to use to determine what is what if any result
24	is appropriate. And so with that understanding,
25	understanding that your job is not to determine what

1	if any punishment is appropriate, your job would
2	simply be again to listen to the evidence and listen
3	to my instructions on how to weigh that evidence,
4	make a determination as to, again, whether or not a
5	verdict of guilty or not guilty is appropriate. And
6	with that understanding, do you feel that you would
7	be able to sit in that position and listen to the
8	evidence and make a or be a vote, you wouldn't
9	make that decision solely, but make a vote towards a
10	verdict in this case?
11	JUROR FLEMING: That's what I'm questioning
12	myself.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Schneider, do
14	you have any questions?
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And, Mr. Fleming,
16	again, what I said at the beginning, we don't mean to
17	pry but we do appreciate you being very open about
18	this. Is this something I can kind of tell, based
19	on your reaction, it's something that you put a lot
20	of time and effort into addressing in your own life
21	and your son's life, correct?
22	JUROR FLEMING: Yes.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: That's a yes. And
24	it's something do you think that you would be
25	thinking about some of those things during the course

1	of this trial?
2	JUROR FLEMING: Possibly, you know, that's,
3	I guess, kind of that's why I'm bringing this up
4	now.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So we have used this
6	question in a variety of ways to try to get a good
7	assessment, and I'll use your son as an example
8	because you might understand. Let's assume your son
9	was on trial and he was the person charged with a
LO	crime. If there was someone like you that holds this
L1	feeling about working with your son and getting
12	through issues that was on the jury, would you want
13	that person to be on the jury or do you think they
L 4	would have hesitation, if your son was the one that,
15	you know, they're deliberating on your son's case?
L6	JUROR FLEMING: I'm sorry. I did not
L 7	understand your question.
L8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. You have this
L9	feeling that you spent a lot of time addressing your
20	son's issues, okay, and that's giving you pause,
21	right? So we're trying to ask you in a roundabout
22	way, I'm not going to make it your son, make it a
23	different family member, a loved one, okay, and there
24	was a juror on that panel who had the same kind of
25	beliefs you have, where they've helped and supported

1	their son and kind of struggled maybe with the
2	criminal justice system as a whole. Would you want
3	that person to sit on the jury for your loved one or
4	do you think that person might have hesitation about
5	doing it where they shouldn't serve?
6	JUROR FLEMING: In a case like that, yes
7	yeah, I would, but I guess my point is I'm kind of
8	struggling in my mind about the whole the whole
9	process I guess. I mean that's what it is.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And that's okay. Some
11	people, you can't explain it. It's a very
12	significant, serious thing we ask. And so you're
13	just telling us you have some gut reaction to having
14	to potentially sit in that room and render a
15	verdict.
16	JUROR FLEMING: Possibly. You know, I'm
17	not afraid to, you know, hear things, and it's not
18	like I'm going to have flashbacks or anything like
19	that, that's not what I'm going through, but I guess
20	my thing is just I'm thinking, boy, I'm glad it's
21	not my son.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. All right. I
23	don't have any other questions right now, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny, any questions?
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. I have a few

1	questions.
2	All right. I know that you've been through this
3	really traumatic period with your child, but, you
4	know, my question is this, what's going on in this
5	case really has nothing to do with that, obviously we
6	all know that, so are you able to judge what's
7	happening in this case, and your decision is did the
8	prosecutor prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr.
9	Lee committed the crimes he's been accused of. So
10	that's that's the function of a jury. Do you
11	think that you can be fair in making that decision?
12	JUROR FLEMING: I think so.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Based upon the facts of
14	the case. And you could be fair to both sides
15	whether your verdict has to be either guilty beyond a
16	reasonable doubt or not guilty beyond a reasonable
17	doubt, either side you could be fair?
18	JUROR FLEMING: Yes, I guess I mean,
19	beyond a reasonable doubt, excuse me, a reasonable
20	doubt.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's the legal
22	standard. The judge I'm not going to go through
23	jury instructions, the judge does that.
24	JUROR FLEMING: Yeah.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right.

1	Nothing further.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is there
4	THE COURT: So
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You're hesitating. Is
6	there more?
7	JUROR FLEMING: No. I mean, I'm just I
8	definitely wanted to bring that up. I'm not saying I
9	don't want to necessarily do my duty or the
10	process.
11	THE COURT: No. And we don't
12	JUROR FLEMING: I just wanted to bring it
13	up that there might be I just, you know, I don't
14	want my mind to be straying from what I'm hearing in
15	the courtroom either.
16	THE COURT: And we and there is, just so
17	that you're aware, there is no right or wrong answer
18	here, and we would rather have too much information
19	and have you come forward and say these are my
20	concerns than have you sitting in the jury box and
21	wondering and dwelling and then thinking about it
22	more, and so it is greatly appreciated that you've
23	taken this time to to let us know these things.
24	JUROR FLEMING: Thanks.
25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

1	JUROR FLEMING: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: I don't know that
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't see any reason to
4	strike him.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: At this point. You
6	know, if he has more concerns I think he'll raise
7	them with us.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I do too.
9	THE COURT: That's exactly what I'm
10	feeling.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right.
12	(Ms. Giesen enters.)
13	THE COURT: Okay. And, Miss Giesen, I
14	understand that there were a couple questions.
15	JUROR GIESEN: The first two.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And so what would you
17	like to share with us?
18	JUROR GIESEN: Could you run by me again so
19	I can
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was it the first two
21	that the judge asked you?
22	JUROR GIESEN: You.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: That I asked.
24	JUROR GIESEN: Yup.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: First two. Okay. Do

1	I have my note? I asked about anything this week in
2	your personal life, in your work life that might
3	distract you.
4	JUROR GIESEN: No. No. It wasn't that
5	one.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I told you to go ahead
7	and interrupt me if you needed to. I asked about any
8	medications.
9	JUROR GIESEN: No.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Prior jury
11	experience.
12	JUROR GIESEN: No. I did that one. I
13	think it was after that.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I talked about
15	police officers, if you have been employed in that
16	capacity.
17	JUROR GIESEN: No.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Family or friend.
19	JUROR GIESEN: No.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I talked then about a
21	homicide case and this being very significant and if
22	anyone has pause or for reasons think that
23	JUROR GIESEN: I think that that one and
24	the one right after that.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I asked

1	started asking about Luna Lounge.
2	JUROR GIESEN: No.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I talked about we
4	might see we're going to try to limit any graphic
5	images.
6	JUROR GIESEN: Yeah.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I talked about
8	JUROR GIESEN: I think it was the
9	combination of both of those together.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
11	JUROR GIESEN: And I'm kind of nervous
12	about it, and I want to make the right decision when
13	I hear everything, and I don't want to make the wrong
14	decisions, you know
15	THE COURT: Sure.
16	JUROR GIESEN: So I'm kind of concerned
17	about it.
18	THE COURT: Let me ask and I think that
19	those are very those are very real concerns, and I
20	think I appreciate you bringing them forward.
21	Now, at the end of the trial I'm going to give
22	you instructions on, by way of example, what the
23	burden of proof is, and that's beyond a reasonable
24	doubt. That's a very high burden. I'll give you
25	instructions on what how you consider evidence and

1	what different things mean or what you're to consider
2	and how you're to deliberate, and I will give you all
3	these instructions on how, and your so you will
4	have very, very clear instructions on how you how
5	you look at this. And your job will then be to take
6	the evidence that you've heard
7	JUROR GIESEN: Yup.
8	THE COURT: and to look at it against
9	those instructions, and your job would be to
10	determine whether or not it is appropriate to render
11	a finding of guilty or not guilty. And with that
12	understanding, does that does that give you a
13	little more sense of peace, does it does it still
14	cause you concern?
15	JUROR GIESEN: Yes, it still does.
16	THE COURT: And do you think and
17	certainly it is probably very normal that you would
18	feel
19	JUROR GIESEN: Nervous.
20	THE COURT: a sense of nervousness and a
21	sense of responsibility. It is a big deal.
22	JUROR GIESEN: Because this is just a big
23	responsibility.
24	THE COURT: Sure. And let me ask you this.
25	If you heard the evidence and all of the evidence led

1	you to conclude that it was appropriate to render a
2	finding of not guilty, do you think you could do
3	that?
4	JUROR GIESEN: (Nodding.)
5	THE COURT: Yes?
6	JUROR GIESEN: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And if you heard all of
8	the evidence and all of the evidence led you to
9	conclude that a verdict of guilty was appropriate, do
10	you think you could do that?
11	JUROR GIESEN: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And that is all we ask
13	of you.
14	JUROR GIESEN: Then why do I feel so
15	worried and concerned and nerved up right now?
16	THE COURT: I think it is because you care
17	and you want to make the right decision.
18	JUROR GIESEN: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: And the the good news is
20	that we have very good attorneys and they're going
21	to through witnesses and questioning, they're
22	going to put a lot of evidence out there so that
23	you're not just guessing. We don't want you to
24	guess. That's not
25	JUROR GIESEN: I don't want to either.

1	THE COURT: No. And so your job is to look
2	at the evidence, and the burden of proof is on the
3	State, so the State has to prove their case, and so
4	all all we ask of you is that you listen to the
5	evidence, and that after you hear the evidence, if
6	you if you feel that the State has met their
7	burden, then you render a decision that way. And if
8	you don't feel that the State has met their burden,
9	then you issue a decision the other way. Does that
10	make sense?
11	JUROR GIESEN: Yes.
12	THE COURT: And is that something that you
13	feel you are able to do?
14	JUROR GIESEN: Yes. And I think that's why
15	I want to talk about it now before we get going
16	instead of having me doubt later.
17	THE COURT: That's okay. And I'm happy to
18	know that you care.
19	Miss Schneider, any questions?
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Miss it's Giesen,
21	right?
22	JUROR GIESEN: Yes.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Miss Giesen, is it
24	something where you have concerns because you don't
) E	think you should judge other people?

1	JUROR GIESEN: No.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
3	JUROR GIESEN: I think I want to make the
4	right decision and I don't want to make the wrong
5	one, and I'm scared, afraid of doing that.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. But you take
7	your role very seriously, correct?
8	JUROR GIESEN: Yes.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I don't have any other
10	questions.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't have any
12	questions.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And, Miss Giesen, I
14	don't have any additional questions. Thank you very
15	much.
16	JUROR GIESEN: Okay. I don't want to waste
17	anybody's time, and that's why I have these doubts
18	and questions.
19	THE COURT: It is not a waste of time at
20	all.
21	JUROR GIESEN: Okay.
22	THE COURT: So don't worry.
23	JUROR GIESEN: All right. Thank you.
24	(Miss Giesen exits.)
25	(In open court).

1	THE COURT: Okay. And, Attorney Schneider,
2	I believe you still had some additional questions and
3	so please continue whenever you're ready.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
5	I was in the middle of asking Miss Steffen, I
6	think, and you said it was a situation where at one
7	point in your life you were able to drink alcohol but
8	now something has just happened where you do not
9	drink anymore.
10	JUROR STEFFEN: Call it age.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And then I
12	thought someone else had raised their hand. Mr.
13	Green did. I remember that. Mr. Fleming, did you
14	raise your hand?
15	JUROR FLEMING: Yup.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So has it been a
17	personal choice for you not to consume alcohol?
18	JUROR FLEMING: Yes. It was a personal
19	choice. I did up until better than a
20	year-and-a-half less than a year-and-a-half ago.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
22	Fleming.
23	And, Mr. Green, you're 19 or 20?
24	JUROR GREEN: I'm 18.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Even younger yet.

1	And then in the front row it was Miss Elbe?
2	JUROR ELBE: Um-hum.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was that a medical
4	reason, personal choice?
5	JUROR ELBE: No. Personal choice.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you ever consumed
7	alcohol?
8	JUROR ELBE: Oh, many, many years ago, and
9	then you learned your lesson. That's the truth.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You did say you wanted
11	honest answers.
12	THE COURT: That is true.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then Miss Naumann?
14	JUROR NAUMANN: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Has it been a personal
16	choice?
17	JUROR NAUMANN: Personal choice.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you ever consume
19	alcohol?
20	JUROR NAUMANN: Yeah. It's been 15 years
21	or so.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And did I miss anyone
23	else on the panel?
24	(No response.)
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.

1	Because of TV and news media and various social
2	media, I need to ask some questions of you. There
3	was recently a series on Netflix called Making of a
4	Murderer. Is there anyone on the panel familiar with
5	that series?
6	Okay. I'm going to start in the back row, and
7	then I'll start I'll walk through the back row and
8	then I'll come up to the front row.
9	Mr. Nieman.
10	JUROR NIEMAN: Yes.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And is it something
12	that you watched the series?
13	JUROR NIEMAN: Seen a couple episodes.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You didn't DVR it?
15	JUROR NIEMAN: No.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it something that
17	you talked about with family or friends?
18	JUROR NIEMAN: Friends.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: But something, it
20	seems to me, that you haven't been so concerned to
21	make sure you've seen every episode.
22	JUROR NIEMAN: No.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you think you got a
24	fair presentation of both sides of the story when you
) E	watched it?

1	JUROR NIEMAN: From what I saw, yeah.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you ever hear or
3	watch any item or any news items related to the
4	Halbach family? That was the victim in that case.
5	JUROR NIEMAN: No, just whatever I saw in
6	the first couple episodes.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Just what you saw on
8	Net
9	JUROR NIEMANN: Nothing on the news, no.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you feel that
11	media, or in a series such as that, they can put in
12	what they want and leave out what they want, it's
13	entertainment?
14	JUROR NIEMANN: I believe it, yeah.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: All right.
16	Then is it Miss Hermus?
17	JUROR HERMUS: Yes.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So
19	JUROR HERMUS: I have heard of it, I've
20	seen TV about it, but I have not watched any of it.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. So you probably
22	also haven't seen any accounts or anything from the
23	Halbach family?
24	JUROR HERMUS: No.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Miss Dey, did you

1	raise your hand?
2	JUROR DEY: Yes. It's Dey.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Dey. I'm sorry. We
4	haven't called on you yet. Have you watched the
5	entire series, a portion of it?
6	JUROR DEY: All of it.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Talk to family or
8	friends about it?
9	JUROR DEY: Yeah.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you think you were
11	presented with both sides of the story in that
12	series?
13	JUROR DEY: Yeah.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you ever watched
15	any of the details or accounts or watched anything
16	from the Halbach family?
17	JUROR DEY: Just what was on there.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Just what was on the
19	series?
20	JUROR DEY: (Nodding.)
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you feel that the
22	media always gets it right when they report on a
23	story?
24	JUROR DEY: No.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Miss Dey.

1	JUROR DEY: Dey.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Dey. I'm going to
3	rewrite it. I'm going to spell your name D-I-E on my
4	sheet so I say it right.
5	And then in the back row continuing on. Mr. Van
6	Deurzen, you've seen all of it, some of it?
7	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Some of it.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it something you
9	taped or you just happened it was on and you
10	watched it?
11	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: It was on and I watched
12	it.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Something you haven't
14	cared to try to go back and watch the portions you
15	haven't yet seen?
16	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: No.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you ever hear or
18	see any of the information that the Halbach family
19	put out?
20	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Would you agree with
22	me that at times media can put out one side of a
23	story but they might not present all of the facts?
24	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.

1	Mr. Schueller, did you raise your hand?
2	JUROR SCHUELLER: No.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Calmes Mr. Parker then?
4	JUROR PARKER: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Sorry. I was getting
6	you mixed up. Have you seen all of it, some of it?
7	JUROR PARKER: I haven't seen any of it,
8	just from what I seen on the news.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you just heard
10	other stories about it?
11	JUROR PARKER: Yes.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: In that have you heard
13	other stories or comments from the Halbach family at
14	all?
15	JUROR PARKER: No, I haven't.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
17	Then I'm going to continue. Mr. Fleming's row,
18	anyone else in that row have seen the series at all
19	or familiar with it? Mr. Maas?
20	JUROR MAAS: I've been put through the
21	whole thing, yes.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Saying it that
23	way, is it something someone in else in your life
24	wanted to watch and you were in the living room when
25	it was on?

1	JUROR MAAS: Yes.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We won't tell her you
3	said that. Is it something where you've ever seen
4	any of the items that the Halbach family put out
5	about the series?
6	JUROR MAAS: Not really, no.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Do you think
8	that the media at times can present only one side of
9	a story and not both sides?
10	JUROR MAAS: I guess, yes.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Maas.
12	And then Mr. Van Dalen?
13	JUROR VAN DALEN: Yup.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. All of it, some
15	of it?
16	JUROR VAN DALEN: I chose not to watch it
17	because I was friends with Theresa Halbach. She was
18	a photographer at my wedding. I went to her wake.
19	And so I discussed it a lot with my friends and
20	family but I chose not to watch it.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And you're
22	getting to a topic, Mr. Van Dalen, that I have not
23	yet started to talk about. Okay?
24	So one of the things when I started talking to
25	you all is that one of the reasons why we ask these

1	questions is we want to know about what life
2	experiences you have and how that may impact us.
3	And, Mr. Van Dalen, are you familiar with, know
4	her siblings then too?
5	JUROR VAN DALEN: Yeah.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Obviously in this case
7	it is a homicide. Mr. Richards is no longer with us.
8	Do you think having the experience where you knew
9	Teresa and what that loss has caused for you, would
10	that cause you any concerns in serving as a juror?
11	JUROR VAN DALEN: It would be hard but I
12	think I could still do it.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And I'm going to play
14	on something. It's going to be hard for anyone,
15	okay, to serve as a juror. Okay? But you said you
16	think you can. Do you think you can be fair and
17	impartial and listen to the evidence and testimony in
18	this case and decide it solely upon what you hear in
19	the courtroom?
20	JUROR VAN DALEN: I think I can, yes.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
22	Van Dalen.
23	Mr is it Eggers?
24	JUROR EGGERS: Eggers.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you raise your

1	hand at all?
2	JUROR EGGERS: No, ma'am.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. You've just been
4	sitting there. No movement.
5	And then Mr. Shea's row.
6	I'm sorry, Mr. Wells, I missed you.
7	JUROR WELLS: Yup.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Watched some of
9	it, part of it?
10	JUROR WELLS: All of it.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was it something you
12	taped?
13	JUROR WELLS: No, I just saw Netflix.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Something you talked
15	about with friends?
16	JUROR WELLS: Yup.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you ever watched
18	any of the Halbach's comments or accounts?
19	JUROR WELLS: Just what was on there.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Just what was in the
21	series?
22	JUROR WELLS: Yup.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you agree that
24	sometimes the media may only present what they know?
25	JUROR WELLS: Yeah.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Or one side of things?
2	JUROR WELLS: Yes.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And now I'm going to
4	move to the third row. Anyone there have watched the
5	series?
6	Okay. Mr. Shea.
7	JUROR SHEA: I didn't watch it. Before you
8	asked were we aware of it, familiar with it. I'm
9	aware of it, familiar with it, I didn't watch it.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You didn't watch it at
11	all? Not sitting in the living room like Mr. Maas
12	when it was on?
13	JUROR WELLS: No.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then Miss
15	Erickson?
16	JUROR ERICKSON: Didn't watch it.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Just familiar with it,
18	hearing it?
19	JUROR ERICKSON: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it a situation,
21	Miss Erickson, based upon your response that you
22	could have and you just chose not to?
23	JUROR ERICKSON: Correct.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
25	Then Miss Lee?

1	JUROR LEE: I'm familiar with it. I chose
2	not to watch it. My babysitter, her father-in-law is
3	the District Attorney on that case.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
5	JUROR LEE: My heart just goes out to the
6	Halbach family so I just refuse to watch it.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Miss
8	Lee.
9	Anyone else then in that row? Mr. Green?
10	JUROR GREEN: I watched a few episodes of
11	it.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Did you ever
13	see any of the accounts that the Halbach family
14	JUROR GREEN: Just what was on the few
15	shows I watched.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Probably,
17	though, I'm guessing in your age group was something
18	that was talked about with friends?
19	JUROR GREEN: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you or would you
21	agree that at times media may present what they know
22	but that may not be all the facts in the case?
23	JUROR GREEN: Yes.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Green.
25	Anyone else then in that row?

1	Okay. And I will move. Miss Micke - some of
2	you are hiding - have you seen some of it, part of
3	it?
4	JUROR MICKE: Just part of it.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was it something that
6	you chose not to watch the rest?
7	JUROR MICKE: I just well I never got
8	around to watching the rest. I plan on watching the
9	rest.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you ever see any
11	of the accounts from the Halbach family on it?
12	JUROR MICKE: No.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And would you agree
14	that at times the media may report what they know but
15	that may not be the full story?
16	JUROR MICKE: Yes.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
18	Mr. Nichols at all?
19	JUROR NICHOLS: No. I have no account of
20	it.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Then in the front row
22	finally. I'll get to you Miss Plamann one second.
23	So Miss Vandenberg.
24	JUROR VANDENBERG: Yes.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you seen all of

1	it, some of it?
2	JUROR VANDENBERG: Some of it.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And have you just
4	chosen not to watch anymore or just haven't gotten
5	around to it?
6	JUROR VANDENBERG: Didn't wasn't very
7	significant.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Did you ever
9	see any of the accounts from the Halbach family?
10	JUROR VANDENBERG: No. And I was pretty
11	young when it happened so
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you would you
13	agree that sometimes media reports what they know but
14	that may not be all the facts behind this?
15	JUROR VANDENBERG: Yes.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Mr. Webster, did you
17	watch it?
18	JUROR WEBSTER: No.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Anyone else
20	then in the front row? Thank you. Miss Plamann.
21	I'm sorry.
22	JUROR PLAMANN: No, it's okay. I've heard
23	of it. Chose not to watch it.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
25	This likely may be a situation where, and this

1	is typical in any case that lasts longer than one
2	day, the judge kind of gives you the instructions
3	that for the time period and the length of the jury
4	you're not to really talk to your family about what
5	specifically is going on. You can tell them you're
6	in a trial and you have jury duty. He will probably
7	put some limitations and tell you not to watch the
8	news, not to read the paper. He'll probably let you
9	watch ESPN if you want to. But it's a situation
LO	where so we can have a jury that comes in and
L1	decides based upon what you hear here in the
12	courtroom, if you follow those conditions. I once
13	had a juror who because of their work had to go
L 4	through the paper every day and they raised that. Is
L5	there anyone who thinks you could not forego reading
16	the paper or watching the news while you serve as a
L7	juror on this case?
L8	(No response.)
L9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
20	And, again, these are questions we don't mean to pry
21	or get into your personal life, but has anyone on the
22	panel ever been charged with a crime?
23	(No response.)
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone on the panel
) 5	ever have a family member or a close friend that was

7	charged with a crime where you would have tarked to
2	them or learned about what they went through in that
3	process?
4	Okay. Start in the back. Mr. Van Deurzen.
5	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Who was that?
7	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: My son.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And what if I can
9	ask, and if you prefer in private we can do that,
10	what type of offense was that.
11	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Armed robbery.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was it here?
13	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: No.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did his case go to
15	trial?
16	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And did the jury
18	return a verdict?
19	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: No. It was plea
20	bargained after it went to trial.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Is it a
22	situation, Mr. Van Deurzen, at the time when that was
23	going on, was your son living close to you?
24	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Fond du Lac.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Fond du Lac. Having

that life experience and going through that component
of the criminal justice system, do you think you
would be able to, I don't want to say put that aside,
but for intents and purposes be fair and impartial
when you listen and weigh evidence and decide this
case?
JUROR VAN DEURZEN: I guess.
ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
Anyone else on the panel?
Okay. Miss Micke?
JUROR MICKE: Okay. My brother is actually
in prison right now so, I mean, I don't know if
ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. So you said
your brother, he's actually in prison for it?
JUROR MICKE: Yes.
ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Again, we don't mean
to pry, but what type of offense was he involved in?
JUROR MICKE: Disorderly conduct, I do
believe, or battery. I honestly don't know the
ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you don't know much
about it?
JUROR MICKE: No.
ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When did it happen?

JUROR MICKE: A year or two years ago.

ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was he living near you

1	when it happened?
2	JUROR MICKE: He's about 45 minutes away.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Is it a
4	situation where you ever talked to him about his case
5	or what was going on?
6	JUROR MICKE: No.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it a situation,
8	Miss Micke, having that as a life experience, do you
9	think you could come in and be fair and impartial and
10	decide this case upon what you hear in the courtroom?
11	JUROR MICKE: Yeah.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you so much.
13	Anyone else?
14	Okay. Mr. Keleske?
15	JUROR KELESKE: Yes.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Who was involved in an
17	offense?
18	JUROR KELESKE: I'd rather talk in private
19	about that.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We can kind of do the
21	same thing unless you want to take a break now.
22	THE COURT: We'll continue for a little bit
23	and then we'll make sure that we then do that in a
24	private setting.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Just making a note so

1	I remember.
2	Judge has asked a couple questions, and I
3	brought this out with some of you before, but have
4	any of you ever had to call 911 for assistance?
5	Okay. We're going to start in the back row.
6	It's Miss Dey?
7	JUROR DEY: Um-hum.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When was that, ma'am?
9	JUROR DEY: It was at work. I had to call
10	for somebody that fainted.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Fainted?
12	JUROR DEY: Yes. And then another time
13	there was a car accident and there was a car rolled
14	over in the ditch and there was nobody there.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And did police respond
16	in both situations?
17	JUROR DEY: Um-hum.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Probably the worker
19	fainting you never had to provide any testimony
20	about, but the car accident, did you ever have to
21	provide a statement?
22	JUROR DEY: No.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Miss
24	Dey.
25	Anyone else then in the back row?

1	Mr. Schueller?
2	JUROR SCHUELLER: I did also for a car
3	accident.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you witness it or
5	come upon it?
6	JUROR SCHUELLER: I was part of it.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And is it a
8	situation where you were injured in any way? Was
9	anyone else in the other vehicle injured?
10	JUROR SCHUELLER: No.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr.
12	Schueller.
13	Mr. Calmes.
14	JUROR CALMES: Car accident. Witnessed
15	it.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Witnessed it?
17	JUROR CALMES: Yup.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: How long ago was that?
19	JUROR CALMES: 1996.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you still remember
21	some of the facts about what you saw?
22	JUROR CALMES: Yes.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
24	Mr. Parker, at all?
25	JUROR PARKER: No.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
2	Then in the middle row, anyone ever have to call
3	911? Miss Steffen?
4	JUROR STEFFEN: Once I hit a deer and once
5	my mother went into diabetic shock and I had to
6	call.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Both situations, are
8	they ones that you can still remember pretty vividly
9	components of it?
10	JUROR STEFFEN: Um-hum.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did your mom survive
12	that incident?
13	JUROR STEFFEN: Yes.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
15	And then continuing on in that row. Mr go
16	back over first. Mr. Fleming?
17	JUROR FLEMING: Yes. Couple times when my
18	dad was going through ailments with his heart and a
19	couple times where trauma in the family.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And probably
21	most of those situations law enforcement was able to
22	respond and provide assistance?
23	JUROR FLEMING: Yes.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. All right.
25	Thank you.

1	Then I'm going to continue down. Mr. Wells, you
2	had your hand up?
3	JUROR WELLS: Yup.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. What kind of
5	situation was that?
6	JUROR WELLS: I ran into an intoxicated
7	driver on the on Highway 41. He was all over the
8	road and I had to call 911 and then kind of followed
9	him until the police took over.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: How long ago was that?
11	JUROR WELLS: I'd say eight or nine
12	years.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Any other
14	situations where you had to phone 911?
15	JUROR WELLS: No.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Anyone else
17	then in that row? Mr. Maas?
18	JUROR MAAS: Too many times to count.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Well you didn't
20	say you had a pruner at your bar, but was it because
21	of incidents that occurred at the bar?
22	JUROR MAAS: Bar, and I'm a truck driver
23	too, I see
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm sorry?
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: He's a truck driver.

1	Right?
2	JUROR MAAS: Yes.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So probably witnessed
4	a lot of different things on the roadways and you
5	would call?
6	JUROR MAAS: Um-hum.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
8	Then in the next row?
9	Okay. Mr. Shea.
10	JUROR SHEA: My house was struck by
11	lightning, called 911. High school reunion, guy
12	collapsed, called 911. That was it.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: The situation where a
14	friend collapsed at the high school reunion,
15	something you still remember pretty vividly?
16	JUROR SHEA: Sure.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
18	Miss Erickson.
19	JUROR ERICKSON: Couple times at work for
20	work-related injuries from fellow employees, couple
21	of rowdy customers, and a couple times DUI people,
22	couple times then basically acts of God stuff like
23	lightning and stuff like that.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone else then in
25	that row ever have to call 911?

1	Okay. I'm going to start with Mr. Bloomer.
2	JUROR BLOOMER: I called 911 approximately
3	about three or four times for heart attacks and other
4	injuries work related at work.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
6	JUROR BLOOMER: And the incident I
7	discussed earlier, I called for that. And being
8	witness to accidents twice.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
10	And then, Mr. Nichols, did you have your hand
11	up?
12	JUROR NICHOLS: Yeah. I had came across a
13	lady that ran through a construction zone where they
14	moved all of the road next to a railroad tracks and
15	got her car stuck on the railroad tracks.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
17	JUROR NICHOLS: So I waited for the police
18	to come and get everything taken care of.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
20	Nichols.
21	Anyone then in the front row?
22	Okay. Miss Elbe.
23	JUROR ELBE: The question was?
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you ever had to
25	call 911?

1	JUROR ELBE: I am 911. And yes. Yes.
2	Neighbors, girlfriends, things like that got cut or
3	they've had an accident, and of course it's me, and
4	then I tell them, no, we're going to call and take
5	them in.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Miss Elbe.
7	JUROR ELBE: Um-hum.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone else then.
9	Miss Plamann, did you have your hand raised?
10	JUROR ELBE: Yes. I had to call for I
11	think two traffic accidents that I witnessed and then
12	one the neighbor lady had fallen on the ice and we
13	couldn't get her up.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Anyone else
15	that I missed?
16	Okay. Miss Giesen?
17	JUROR GIESEN: Giesen. I had a car
18	accident. One time we were witness to a car
19	accident, so we talked to the officer. By the time
20	we got to the other end of the road who caused
21	multiple accidents, we talked to him, and from my
22	illness.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Miss
24	Giesen.
25	Anyone ever on the panel, might be a situation

1	where you didn't call 911 but where you were a
2	witness to an incident where you would have talked to
3	law enforcement about what you saw? Anyone have that
4	situation?
5	Okay. I'm going to start in the back row with
6	Mr. Schueller.
7	JUROR SCHUELLER: I had to testify once for
8	a work incident where someone had fallen and broke
9	her ankle.
LO	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And is that a
L1	situation when you spoke to the law enforcement
12	officers you told them what you could remember and
L3	what you knew?
L 4	JUROR SCHUELLER: And then a lawyer after
L5	that.
L6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
L 7	Mr. Calmes?
L8	JUROR CALMES: Two different occasions,
L9	accidents, had to tell the officers both times.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And told them what you
21	knew, what you saw?
22	JUROR CALMES: Yeah.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone then?
24	Okay. Miss Meyer.
) E	TIIDOD MEVED. The incident at my dupley we

1	had to call a police officer, we discussed earlier.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. That something,
3	Miss Meyer, that you can still remember parts of what
4	occurred that day?
5	JUROR MEYER: Definitely.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it something that
7	during the course of that you were upset when you
8	were talking to them?
9	JUROR MEYER: Yes, I was upset.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And you still told
11	them what you could remember?
12	JUROR MEYER: Yes.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: As best you could?
14	JUROR MEYER: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
16	Anyone else then?
17	Okay. Mr. Eggers.
18	JUROR EGGERS: Domestic dispute in front of
19	my mother's house between a couple.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you phoned law
21	enforcement and they spoke to you?
22	JUROR EGGERS: No. Well, I just gave my
23	statement what I saw.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Told them what
25	you saw, what you knew?

1	JUROR EGGERS: Yup.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you know the
3	people that were involved?
4	JUROR EGGERS: Nope.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone then as I
6	continue up?
7	Okay. We'll start with Mr. Shea.
8	JUROR SHEA: I got subpoenaed to court for
9	my account of how the traffic accident in front of my
10	house.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And when you spoke
12	with law enforcement or went into court, did you tell
13	them what you could remember?
14	JUROR SHEA: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
16	Miss Erickson, did you have your hand up?
17	JUROR ERICKSON: Yes. Just a couple times
18	just the police taking my statements, nothing more
19	than that.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone else then in
21	the back row?
22	So then, Mr. Webster, did you have your hand up?
23	JUROR WEBSTER: Yup.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What was that
25	situation?

1	JUROR WEBSTER: A fight.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: A fight?
3	JUROR WEBSTER: Yeah. Back in the alley of
4	Route 66, and it's something where law enforcement
5	came and took a statement. We gave our statement but
6	it never went it just went away.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it something that
8	when you talked to them you told them what you could
9	remember?
10	JUROR WEBSTER: I told them what I saw.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
12	JUROR WEBSTER: But it didn't go any
13	further to court.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
15	Miss Elbe then?
16	JUROR ELBE: Yes. Just beside medically,
17	outside of that, yes, had to stop and give some
18	information to the policeman in regard to people that
19	are either drinking and driving or things like that
20	that was available to give them information.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Perfect. Thank
22	you.
23	Anyone else I missed on that question?
24	I'm sorry. Miss Vandenberg?
25	JUROR VANDENBERG: My friend tried to

1	overdose so I had to fill out an incident report.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is this something
3	where you male or female friend?
4	JUROR VANDENBERG: Female.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it something where
6	you found her after?
7	JUROR VANDENBERG: No. She told me right
8	after.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So in that situation,
10	your friend tried to overdose, she talked to you
11	about it, and then did you contact law enforcement?
12	JUROR VANDENBERG: No. I called her mom
13	and they took her to the ER and I had something to
14	fill out.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then you filled
16	out what you knew?
17	JUROR VANDENBERG: Yes.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: A situation, Miss
19	Vandenberg, where you knew something that a friend
20	had done but yet wanted to share that information,
21	correct?
22	JUROR VANDENBERG: Yeah.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Wanted to get her
24	help?
25	JUROR VANDENBERG: Yes.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And even though it was
2	your friend and you were saying something that wasn't
3	putting her in the best light, it was something you
4	felt was important to say?
5	JUROR VANDENBERG: Right.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
7	Anyone else that I missed?
8	Okay. Miss Plamann, that
9	JUROR PLAMANN: The situation we discussed
10	earlier, and then we had a break-in at our house so
11	the police officers came, and then for the traffic
12	accidents, the reports on those.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And in all those
14	situations tried to explain and tell the officers
15	what you knew or what you could remember?
16	JUROR PLAMANN: Correct.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Miss
18	Plamann.
19	Anyone ever been involved in a situation, Miss
20	Steffen has talked about her mom, when your mom went
21	into diabetic shock, anyone else ever involved in a
22	situation where, whether it be someone you knew or a
23	stranger, had a serious emotional issue or was
24	seriously injured and you had to call law
25	enforcement? Anyone ever have that life experience?

1	Mr. Nichols?
2	JUROR NICHOLS: I saw a guy get
3	electrocuted.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And obviously
5	contacted law enforcement for that situation?
6	JUROR NICHOLS: Ambulance.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr.
8	Nichols.
9	Mr. Maas? I thought that was a movement there.
10	What kind of situation or just at the bar?
11	JUROR MAAS: No. I've seen multiple
12	accidents.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
14	JUROR MAAS: That kind of thing.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Ones where parties
16	were injured?
17	JUROR MAAS: Were killed, yes.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
19	Maas.
20	You will learn that as part of this case Luna
21	Lounge, back in December of 2013, did have some video
22	cameras installed at the business, and during the
23	course of this case and trial you will see images
24	from those video cameras, and primarily I think two
25	camera angles. You will learn, for those of you who

1	know Luna, one shows the front door area from inside
2	looking kind of out of the door, and the other one is
3	a camera angle that shows into what we will refer to
4	as like the main bar, it's the upper bar area.
5	Anyone at their employment have a security
6	camera or a security system where you've ever had to
7	go and watch or look at any of that kind of
8	surveillance?
9	Okay. We're going to start in the back row.
10	Mr. Schueller?
11	JUROR SCHUELLER: I'm an assistant manager
12	at my place of employment.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
14	JUROR SCHUELLER: And I help with AP, Asset
15	Protection, to catch individual people.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it a situation,
17	because I remember looking at your sheet, your video
18	camera system is in color, correct, or is it black
19	and white?
20	JUROR SCHUELLER: Black and white
21	unfortunately.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it a situation, Mr.
23	Schueller, where you've ever been asked by law
24	enforcement to kind of go back and find a particular
25	area of the video?

1	JUROR SCHUELLER: Yup.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Anyone else
3	then in that back row before I move up?
4	(No response.)
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then in the second
6	row, anyone? Mr. Maas?
7	JUROR MAAS: I've got cameras.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: At the bar you owned?
9	JUROR MAAS: Yes.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Probably because you
11	were the one running it, responsible at different
12	times for going back and trying to find things on
13	those cameras?
14	JUROR MAAS: Yes, ma'am.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Maas.
16	Then, Miss Erickson?
17	JUROR ERICKSON: Yes. With my employment
18	I'm manager so I check the cameras for theft or other
19	incidences in the store.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Where do you work
21	again?
22	JUROR ERICKSON: I work at Woodman's.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
24	Ever asked by law enforcement to look for something
25	particular on that?

1	JUROR ERICKSON: Yes.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Anyone else in
3	that row? Mr. Bloomer?
4	JUROR BLOOMER: I've watched as many as
5	probably 15 different cameras, and I $$ I have in one
6	place I worked, Kimberly Clark, where they wanted to
7	review. It's not really too hard to do.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr.
9	Bloomer.
10	And in the front row?
11	(No response.)
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. You will learn
13	and see as part of this case that the camera system
14	Luna had, because of, in essence, the lighting
15	inside, which is set up to be with many black lights,
16	that it's almost the images are almost going to be
17	like a night vision camera. Okay? And I know those
18	are popular with hunters and popular in different
19	fields. Does anyone have such a type of a camera?
20	Okay. Mr. Calmes?
21	JUROR CALMES: Yes.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it something where
23	you've set it up to see if wildlife come I'm
24	guessing?
25	JUROR CALMES: Exactly.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is the type of camera
2	you have such where the colors that you see on those
3	images are not consistent with what is actually out
4	there?
5	JUROR CALMES: Absolutely.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone else ever have
7	any of those types of cameras or watch, whether it be
8	shows or I think there is even some local live feeds
9	where they have these cameras set up here in our
LO	area?
11	(No response.)
L2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: In this case you are
13	going to hear testimony from people that the
L 4	defendant spoke to after the offense, so I want to
L5	ask you if you've ever had the situation where
L6	someone told you that they were involved in an
L7	offense, it could be, hey, last night I hit the
L8	neighbor's tree because I was so drunk on the phone,
19	or it could be something more significant like I got
20	in a significant fight with my loved one and I beat
21	her up. Has anyone ever had the experience where a
22	family member or friend ever disclosed or talked to
23	you about something they had done?
24	(No response.)
) E	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDED. Dart of the case at

1	some point you're going to also hear phone calls tha
2	come from a jail recording system. Has anyone ever
3	received a call from anyone and talked to them on a
4	system where that person might have been
5	incarcerated?
6	Okay. Mr. Shea. When was that?
7	JUROR SHEA: Year ago.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And was it the
9	type of recording system where it would tell you at
10	the start that it was being recorded or monitored?
11	JUROR SHEA: I think they say it's from an
12	inmate.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And it sounds
14	like it was probably limited calls?
15	JUROR SHEA: It's time limited because I
16	had to pay for the phone call with my son.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: But you had multiple
18	calls multiple conversations with him?
19	JUROR SHEA: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
21	Miss Erickson?
22	JUROR ERICKSON: Yeah. Just a few family
23	and friends if they got put up in the jail or
24	something like that. And it always states that.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: That these calls are

1	being recorded or monitored?
2	JUROR ERICKSON: As long as I can remember.
3	I've always heard that.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone else I'm
5	missing?
6	Miss Micke?
7	JUROR MICKE: My brother.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And then I
9	think, Mr. Van Deurzen, did you raise your hand?
10	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yup.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What kind of situation
12	was that?
13	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: My son.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
15	You will likely hear recordings or as part of
16	those calls at times people were speaking in the
17	Hmong language. Does anyone on the panel read or
18	speak that language or any version that may be out
19	there of that language?
20	(No response.)
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Just let me grab my
22	notes real quick here.
23	Miss Steffen, on your paperwork you listed that
24	you were retired. What did you do for a career?
) E	THEOD STEFFEN. I was a toachor at one

1	time. And then I owned my own business. I did craft
2	shows.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
4	Mr. Buza, what did you do for a career?
5	JUROR BUZA: I was a mailman for 23 years.
6	Before that I worked on the railroad for 20 years.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Was that always
8	here in the Fox Cities or Outagamie County or
9	different parts?
10	JUROR BUZA: I worked in Menasha for 23
11	years as a mailman. Railroad, I was all over.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
13	Sometimes questions, depending upon the time of
14	the year, I'm not sure which way to ask this, but
15	this is a social media type question, so I'm going to
16	ask it in what I think will elicit the fewest number
17	of responses.
18	How many of you do not have a Facebook account?
19	Okay. Just I'm going to take notes. Miss
20	Hermus, Miss Dey, Mr. Van Dalen, Mr. Eggers, Miss
21	Steffen. And then Miss Micke? And Miss Elbe.
22	For those of you who do
23	JUROR WEBSTER: I don't have a Facebook.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Mr. Webster, you do
2 =	not? Sorry I missed your hand Mr Kolosko?

1	JUROR KESLESKE: Correct.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Now I'm going to look
3	at any little flinch everybody makes.
4	For those of you who do have an account, have
5	you ever had a situation where you've had to
6	deactivate or take it down for any reason?
7	Okay. Just Miss Giesen?
8	JUROR GIESEN: Giesen.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What kind of situation
10	was that?
11	JUROR GIESEN: My nephews were putting some
12	naughty things on there and I didn't care to see
13	them.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Miss
15	Giesen.
16	JUROR GIESEN: Sure.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: As part of this case,
18	and we've already kind of discussed the fact that the
19	victim was shot in the head, so I need to ask some
20	questions about firearms, and those of you that own
21	firearms, if you just hunt with them, if it's
22	something you collected them, and then I will
23	probably ask if it's something as any part of an
24	occupation have you ever had to have - I know I'll
25	get one response to that - a firearm. So who on the

1	panel either you've owned them or they've been in
2	your home? Firearms this would be.
3	Okay. So I'm going to start in the back row.
4	Miss Hermus, is it something that they are your
5	guns?
6	JUROR HERMUS: They are my husband's and he
7	uses them for hunting.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Hunting.
9	JUROR HERMUS: Yes.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you ever shot any
11	of them?
12	JUROR HERMUS: (Shaking head back and
13	forth.)
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No? Thank you.
15	Miss Dey?
16	JUROR DEY: My husband's.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: For hunting or
18	JUROR DEY: Hunting.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you ever fired
20	any of them?
21	JUROR DEY: No.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Mr. Van Deurzen?
23	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes. For hunting.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So primarily shotguns?
25	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Rifles.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Rifles.
2	Mr. Schueller, it was no response, okay.
3	Mr. Calmes.
4	JUROR CALMES: Hunting, rifle and
5	shotgun.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Mr. Parker?
7	JUROR PARKER: Hunting, rifle and shotgun.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Then the middle row.
9	Mr. Fleming?
10	JUROR FLEMING: Handguns from my dad.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Sure.
12	JUROR FLEMING: They're mostly pistols.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
14	JUROR FLEMING: But there are a couple
15	rifles.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Is it something
17	where you just keep them and collect them or do you
18	take them out to a range and ever shoot them?
19	JUROR FLEMING: Sometimes we used to
20	shoot them when my dad was younger and still alive.
21	When I was younger, yeah, we did, we would go to the
22	target range and go target shooting.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
24	Miss Steffen? No? Okay.
25	Then Miss Meyer? Yes?

1	JUROR MEYER: My husband's for hunting.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then Mr. Wells?
3	JUROR WELLS: Shotgun. My grandpa gave it
4	to me.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Wanted to make you a
6	hunter.
7	JUROR WELLS: Yeah. I don't really keep
8	doing it though.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Mr. Maas. Hunting?
10	JUROR MAAS: No. Personal.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. You own a .25
12	caliber?
13	JUROR MAAS: At one time I did, yes.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Mr. Van Dalen?
15	JUROR VAN DALEN: No.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Firearms, no.
17	Mr. Eggers?
18	JUROR EGGERS: Hunting, personal
19	protection. I own numerous guns, shotguns, rifles,
20	pistols.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr. Eggers.
22	JUROR EGGERS: With a concealed carry
23	permit.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: You do have a CC with
25	permit?

1	JUROR EGGERS: Yes.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
3	Then anyone in the third row?
4	Okay. Mr. Shea.
5	JUROR SHEA: Hunting. I have a rifle and a
6	shotgun.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
8	Miss Erickson?
9	JUROR ERICKSON: Collecting, hunting and
10	personal.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you have a .25?
12	JUROR ERICKSON: No.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Miss Lee. Firearms?
14	JUROR LEE: Just growing up as a kid my dad
15	and my brother with hunting.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then, Mr. Green,
17	any firearms?
18	JUROR GREEN: Shotgun and rifle.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then anyone else
20	in that row?
21	Okay. Miss Micke?
22	JUROR MICKE: We have my fiance owns
23	ones for hunting and then we also have handguns for
24	birding.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And then Mr.

1	Nichols?
2	JUROR NICHOLS: I've got a rifle, shotgun
3	and handguns.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Ever own a .25
5	caliber?
6	JUROR NICHOLS: No.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I'll get to you
8	in one second, Miss Plamann.
9	Mr. Keleske?
10	JUROR KELESKE: Just rifles. Hunting.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone else in the
12	front row then?
13	Okay. Mr. Buza, and you had mentioned you had a
14	job when you worked security at the Army prison?
15	JUROR BUZA: Army.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you had
17	JUROR BUZA: I got rifles and shotguns but
18	mostly hunting.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Other than your
20	work in the Army, did you ever have any other
21	profession where you had to carry a firearm or a gun?
22	JUROR BUZA: No.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone else in the
24	front row? Miss Elbe?
25	JUROR ELBE: Yes. My husband had an old,

1	old gun, don't ask me what it is, he's deceased, and
2	so it's up in the deer antlers and it's older than
3	old.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Layers of dust
5	probably.
6	JUROR ELBE: Yeah. Pretty much.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then Miss Plamann?
8	JUROR PLAMANN: We have my dad gave us,
9	it's either it's for hunting. I don't use it.
LO	But then I grew up with them with my dad. I used to
11	hunt when I was younger. I don't anymore.
L2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Does anyone on the
L3	panel have I'm sorry, Miss Giesen. I missed you
L 4	over there.
L5	JUROR GIESEN: That's all right. Hunting
L6	for my sons and my husband and shotguns.
L 7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Does anyone on the
L8	panel Mr. Bloomer?
L9	JUROR BLOOMER: I wasn't sure whether you
20	were asking for military experience.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yes. That
22	JUROR BLOOMER: Okay. Yeah. I you do
23	that in the military.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What branch did you
) E	corvo in?

1	JUROR BLOOMER: The Army Reserve and the
2	Air Force.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
4	Anyone on the panel have strong personal beliefs
5	that there should be more gun - I'll come to you,
6	Miss Erickson, one second - more gun restrictions or
7	sanctions or laws related to gun use?
8	Mr. Shea, feel we're lax in some areas?
9	JUROR SHEA: Maybe I misunderstood the
10	question. I feel like there should be more gun
11	control. I have a rifle and a shotgun, but I feel
12	that there's too many handguns out there.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And that's kind of one
14	of those examples if I ask something and you confuse
15	me, clarify. That's what I was asking for is if
16	anyone has a personal opinion, not all types because
17	if you hunt, but if there should be restrictions
18	placed on certain types of weapons or firearms, so
19	thank you, Mr. Shea.
20	Miss Erickson, you raised your hand and I just
21	kept going.
22	JUROR ERICKSON: No problem. The military
23	experience.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And what branch
25	did you serve in?

1	JUROR ERICKSON: Army, ten years.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
3	Miss Steffen?
4	JUROR STEFFEN: There should be more gun
5	control.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
7	Anyone Mr. Fleming?
8	JUROR FLEMING: I agree that there should
9	be a little bit more. Not take them away, little bit
10	more.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
12	I think we would have touched on this if it
13	happened already, but I need to ask. Has anyone ever
14	had a situation where a gun was pulled out, displayed
15	or used or threatened to be used against you?
16	(No response.)
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone have that
18	situation with a family member or a friend where you
19	would have talked to them about that experience?
20	(No response.)
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Miss Erickson?
22	JUROR ERICKSON: Family friend.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Can you please
24	describe for us what happened?
25	JUROR ERICKSON: Suicidal tendencies.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you were present
2	when this person would have
3	JUROR ERICKSON: Correct.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: displayed a gun?
5	JUROR ERICKSON: Displayed it.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Miss
7	Erickson.
8	Mr. Bloomer?
9	JUROR BLOOMER: It was a the officer
10	made a mistake. My brother and I were young, I was
11	only 17 at the time, I was in Colorado and we were
12	hiking in some hills, and he came up to us and pulled
13	his gun and said stop because we we saw him, we
14	turned around, we were going to walk, and so but
15	he told us right away.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: It was calmed down?
17	JUROR BLOOMER: Yeah. It was a mistake.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
19	Bloomer.
20	We locally have many services that provide
21	assistance to crime victims. We have programs such
22	as Harbor House which is our local domestic violence
23	shelter, we have the Sexual Assault Crisis Center
24	which by its name supports victims who have been
25	involved in sexual offenses, we have programs like

1	our Child Advocacy Center which provides assistance
2	to child victims or witnesses, we have programs like
3	MADD, Mothers Against Drunk Driving, some of the high
4	schools have SADD, which is Students Against Drunk
5	Driving.
6	Anyone ever volunteer or contribute to any of
7	those type of programs, sometimes it's through United
8	Way through work?
9	Okay. Mr. Schueller.
10	JUROR SCHUELLER: During high school I
11	participated in a group called Peers for Fears which
12	was done through the Washington County Alcohol
13	System.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And that was while you
15	were in high school and you were assisting other high
16	school students?
17	JUROR SCHUELLER: Yeah.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr.
19	Schueller.
20	Anyone else? Mr. Shea?
21	JUROR SHEA: Harbor House.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: That through work or
23	personal donation?
24	JUROR SHEA: My wife and I made
25	COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear his answer.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: He said his wife and
2	him made meals and dropped off the meals.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Anyone else on the
4	panel?
5	Do we want to take Mr. Keleske?
6	THE COURT: Unless you're very close to
7	being finished, and that's not meant to be a
8	pressure.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. That's okay. We
10	can.
11	Okay. I'm just going to double-check my notes
12	here.
13	For those of you who were witnesses to
14	accidents, and we have a lot of you who describe that
15	situation who might have seen that accident or been
16	involved in an accident, were there ever any times
17	where another party requested you not talk to the
18	police about what you saw?
19	(No response.)
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No one had that
21	experience.
22	Again, I just want to ask this question. Is
23	there anything anyone's thought of from my questions
24	that now you think of something you would want to
25	provide an answer to?

1	(No response.)
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And again, going back
3	to the charges in this case, you've had time to learn
4	more about the facts or different components that
5	might come out, is there anyone as you sit here now
6	for personal or religious reasons or just any other
7	reasons and, Miss Giesen, is it the same issue we
8	talked about in the back?
9	JUROR GIESEN: No. It's about people being
10	shot. I my brother shot his leg when I was about
11	five-years old.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
13	JUROR GIESEN: And I had a friend my
14	brother's friend shot himself in the wrist
15	accidentally when I was about twelve, I mean, twelve
16	years old.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
18	Okay. Miss Dey.
19	JUROR DEY: My brother-in-law committed
20	suicide, and I my sister called me right after it
21	happened so I arrived there about the same time the
22	cops did.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you have an
24	occasion to see him?
25	JUROR DEY: No. but we had to clean up the

1	garage and stuff like that after.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. All right.
3	Thank you, Miss Dey.
4	Mr. Fleming?
5	JUROR FLEMING: My brother committed
6	suicide.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was it something where
8	you went to the home or where that occurred after?
9	JUROR FLEMING: Yeah.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Having that
11	situation and you will hear some of the witnesses
12	try to provide some support and assistance, and
13	ultimately officers did as well, to Mr. Richards, is
14	that going to cause you any concern in weighing or
15	being a juror in this case?
16	JUROR FLEMING: It was a while back. I
17	think I can't say I've overcome it, but I think
18	pretty okay with it.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr.
20	Fleming.
21	Anyone else have a situation where a family
22	member or loved one committed suicide or injured
23	themselves because of a firearm?
24	More, Miss Giesen?
25	JUROR GIESEN: It was a close friend's son

1	committed suicide.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
3	So the question then, knowing the facts and
4	knowing more about what you know and thinking about
5	your life experiences, does anyone think right now
6	for personal or religious reasons you could not sit
7	in judgment of another and serve as a juror in this
8	case?
9	(No response.)
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. That's all.
11	Miss Giesen?
12	JUROR GIESEN: Yes.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. For the same
14	reasons we talked about.
15	JUROR GIESEN: (Nodding.)
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you.
17	That's all I have at this point.
18	Mr. Keleske, I think we're going to take you
19	back at some point and ask you a few follow-up
20	questions, but I thank you all for your time and your
21	patience and your attention this afternoon and all
22	day.
23	THE COURT: Okay. What we're going to do
24	is we'll go in back, talk to Mr. Keleske, then we're
25	going to return, and we'll have about a ten-minute

1	break so that you can get up, stretch if you need to,
2	walk around a little bit or get something to drink or
3	utilize the restroom. So we'll be just a moment and
4	then we'll go on about a ten-minute break.
5	(Proceedings held outside the presence of
6	the jury panel.)
7	(Mr. Keleske enters.)
8	THE COURT: Mr. Keleske, to one of Attorney
9	Schneider's questions you had indicated that you had
10	a family member or a friend who had been involved in
11	a crime and wanted to talk about it in a more
12	intimate setting.
13	JUROR KELESKE: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: What would you like to share?
15	JUROR KELESKE: My wife was convicted of
16	forgery two years ago. She's still on probation.
17	During the previous two years I've been battling
18	anxiety and depression. Right now I'm having a mild
19	anxiety attack because of the questions she asked.
20	And it's not your fault. I thought I was strong
21	enough and ready to do something like this for the
22	community. I really don't think I am.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	JUROR KELESKE: I'm being honest with
25	you.

1	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. That's okay.
2	THE COURT: No. That's absolutely fine.
3	And I just so that I'm clear, it's you
4	understand this may take seven, eight, nine days.
5	JUROR KELESKE: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And the subject matter,
7	although the questions will not be directed at you
8	per se, meaning you'll be asked the questions, it may
9	be of a subject matter that may be challenging for
10	you; is that a fair statement?
11	JUROR KELESKE: Be very challenging for me
12	right now. I thought I was further along in my
13	treatment with anxiety and depression, and clearly
14	I'm not. Right now I'm a basket case.
15	THE COURT: No. There's no shame in that.
16	And is it fair to say that your and the only thing
17	I ask is that you be honest. Is it fair to say that
18	you truly and sincerely feel that you would not be
19	able to listen to the case, listen to the evidence
20	and make a decision?
21	JUROR KELESKE: I don't want to jeopardize
22	the last two years, excuse my language, but the last
23	two years was living hell in my household. I've
24	worked very hard to get to the point where I'm at
25	now, because it was bad at my house because of what

1	happened in my household. I I just can't take it,
2	Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And it I guess I surmise
4	that it would be a fair statement to say that if you
5	were on the panel you would be distracted, to say the
6	least. Would that be a fair statement?
7	JUROR KELESKE: Yeah, now. I was doing
8	real good until she asked the question if anybody was
9	convicted or something like that. It brought up a
10	lot of bad memories over the last two years.
11	THE COURT: Got it. Well, Mr. Keleske,
12	thank you. Those are the only questions I have for
13	you at this time. What I'd like to you do is, why
14	don't you take the break with the group and then come
15	back and then we'll address everything when you come
16	back. Okay?
17	JUROR KELESKE: Okay.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Mr.
20	Keleske.
21	(Mr. Keleske exits.)
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Should we just strike
23	her for cause?
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I think she's right
25	there. After the last thing she's gone.

Τ	THE COOKI: We went through a long colloquy
2	and
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I don't think we
4	should bring her back.
5	THE COURT: She thought she could do it and
6	she raised her hand again. I think we can strike
7	her.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Then she's only in the
9	on deck circle, but she is
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: She doesn't know it, but
11	she's going to be one closer now because, right, so
12	believe me, when we get to voir dire, there is going
13	to be more people who go. I'm sure of it.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	(Following proceedings held outside the
16	presence of the jury panel.)
17	THE COURT: We are again on the record in
18	State of Wisconsin v. Chong Lee.
19	And we have before us Mr. Paul Lee, along with
20	his counsel, Attorney Jonathan Groh. We also have
21	Outagamie County Assistant District Attorney Andrew
22	Maier, along with Outagamie County District Attorney
23	Carrie Schneider.
24	The purpose of today's proceedings is this
25	morning the court was advised that there was a reason

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to believe that Mr. Lee Mr. Paul Lee would not
appear pursuant to a subpoena. My understanding is
that there was contact with the District Attorney's
office and that communication did come from Mr. Paul
Lee. As such, the court did authorize a warrant to
be issued. That was issued and subsequently Mr. Paul
Lee was detained and he is currently again with his
counsel and before us today.

It does appear that the issue that needs to be addressed is that under Wisconsin statute section 969.01(3). And, Attorney Schneider, what additionally would you like to say on the matter?

ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: In -- in some ways I think I probably made a record of this -- I don't know that I mentioned it when we had our hearing on February 10th, but I do know on February 18th I referenced that the State may have to file a motion because we were concerned about the nonappearance of potentially Paul Lee. I think I had also mentioned Joe Thor at the time. This morning then, it was probably even close to nine a.m. by the time we had finished discussing other issues in the jury room, when I was advised that Paul Lee had not made an appearance pursuant to the subpoena. I know he was served, I know law enforcement had discussions with 25

1	him after that fact about the date and the start date
2	and the time he was needed to report. I told him
3	such when I met with him and gave him some copies of
4	his police statements. But contrary to that, we had
5	also he had also at one point swore at my
6	victim/witness staff in the last week-and-a-half and
7	told her he didn't care if a warrant was issued. I
8	think he had shared the same with officers, he wasn't
9	going to come, he didn't care if a warrant was
10	issued.
11	So, based upon that, I had asked the court this
12	morning to issue the warrant. I don't think you
13	specifically said yet, but I think I I think you
14	can add to the record that based upon my request
15	there is a case, State v. Brady at 130 Wis.2d 443,
16	where the court should support the warrant by
17	probable cause to believe the testimony of the person
18	is material and that it may be impractical to secure
19	the person's presence by subpoena. And I think based
20	upon the motion hearings we've had and your awareness
21	of his testimony, I think that was I'm going to
22	infer from you ordering it that you found that there
23	was probable cause to believe those things existed.
24	So we are now at the point of do we set bail,
25	what do we do for bail, what do we set bail at.

There are provisions within 969.01(3) that reference
bail for witness. There are provisions that talk
about even if the person fails to give bail you can
hold the person for up to 15 days to allow for a
deposition to occur. Well, in this situation we're
not going to need that. I'm going to ask that the
court order he be held until his testimony. I'm
trying to work out schedules, but I think at the
present time, and I had just a brief discussion with
Attorney Groh who is here with Mr. Lee, because my
thought would be that he would continue to represent
him until the time at which he would testify, I
guess, because if he refuses, I don't know if the
court can find make other findings of contempt or
impose other sanctions, but I had talked to him about
what his schedule might look like on Friday so
Attorney Groh could be here. At this point my plan
would be to have Paul Lee testify Friday afternoon,
but I'm going to ask that you set a very significant
bail, if you set bail at all, to require and mandate
his appearance here.
THE COURT: Attorney Groh.
ATTORNEY GROH: Thank you, Your Honor. I
was able to meet with my client earlier this
afternoon. We certainly talked about the subpoena

1	requirements as well as the basically the
2	expectation of having him testify, I guess the other
3	rights that would go along with that, and it is my
4	understanding at this time that Mr. Lee is willing to
5	testify at the trial, so I I think I think that
6	issue has been resolved as a result of our
7	conversations.
8	We we would suggest a minimal amount of cash
9	bail. Once again, I believe Mr. Lee intends to
10	testify. I don't think he would have the ability to
11	post anything beyond a minimal amount of cash, so we
12	would ask the court to consider that request. Thank
13	you.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, Attorney Groh.
15	And to be clear, Attorney Schneider has
16	indicated that this may be a potential issue. The
17	court has been aware of at least some of the
18	substance of Mr. Paul Lee's anticipated testimony, as
19	well as what would appear to be his potential
20	significance to the to the overall case, and so it
21	would appear that that at a minimum it would be
22	categorized that Mr. Paul Lee would be a material
23	witness.
24	Additionally, there has been an indication based
25	on representations by the District Attorney's office

that there has been, for lack of a better term, an
ebb and a flow to Mr. Paul Lee's willingness to
testify. There have been times where Mr. Paul Lee
had indicated that he would be willing to testify,
even a short time later that would be a a change
of heart, so to speak. And so, under the
circumstances, I certainly think that there is a
basis and there has been an adequate showing. What I
would ask, Attorney Schneider, is that at some point,
perhaps today or tomorrow, that it be codified in an
affidavit format, simply the representations you've
you have made because it does appear that that
would be necessary ultimately for the compliance with
969.01(3).
That said, when I look at the bond
considerations under (4), the court is to look at
conditions which are only necessary to assure the
the appearance, in this case it is of the defendant
but I think that it is fair to say that some of those
same considerations we would look at when dealing
with the bail for a witness, and in this case,

certainly given the indications up to this point, as

well as even yet as recent as this morning, Mr. Paul

Lee's failure to appear pursuant to a subpoena, that

a cash bond is appropriate. The court is going to

1	order a \$25,000 cash bond.
2	Anything else, Attorney Schneider?
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And I know Attorney
4	Groh has some question whether he continues. I'm
5	just going to ask that the court order he continue to
6	represent Paul Lee until the time at which he
7	testifies.
8	THE COURT: Absent any sort of conflict of
9	interest, I will order, Mr. Groh, that you remain on
10	the case
11	ATTORNEY GROH: Sure.
12	THE COURT: until Mr. Paul Lee has
13	completed his testimony.
14	ATTORNEY GROH: Okay. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
16	Anything else, Attorney Schneider?
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. If we can just
18	have five minutes so we can take a restroom break.
19	THE COURT: Fine.
20	Mr. Groh, anything further?
21	ATTORNEY GROH: No.
22	THE COURT: We'll be in recess for about
23	five minutes and then we will
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Are we reconvening in the
25	jury room or in the courtroom?

1	THE COURT: Let's reconvene in the jury
2	room because we'll have some questions in there, and
3	so, Attorney Vishny, if you want to either relax in
4	here or alternatively in the jury room, wherever is
5	more comfortable.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Doesn't matter.
7	(Ms. Stockwell enters.)
8	THE COURT: Miss Stockwell, how are you?
9	JUROR STOCKWELL: Okay.
10	THE COURT: All right. So you have
11	you've heard lots of questions today.
12	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
13	THE COURT: And are there any questions
14	that you would have answered yes to that you can
15	think of?
16	JUROR STOCKWELL: There was so many, I
17	can't remember all of them.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And so I had asked you
19	questions if you knew of the attorneys.
20	JUROR STOCKWELL: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Did you know any of the
22	witnesses or recognize any of the witness's names?
23	JUROR STOCKWELL: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Have you have you
2 =	over been a victim of a crime?

1	JUROR STOCKWELL: Well, kinda.
2	THE COURT: Okay. What does that mean?
3	JUROR STOCKWELL: Well, 7th grade people
4	were trying to bust me and I managed to get away from
5	them before they could do too much, and I told my mom
6	about it and they pretty much kicked them out of the
7	house, like get the hell out of there, and that's
8	about as far as it got.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And do you think that
10	would affect your ability to be fair in this case?
11	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah, I think so.
12	THE COURT: You think you could be fair or
13	you couldn't be fair?
14	JUROR STOCKWELL: I think I could be
15	fair.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Good.
17	And now how about I had also asked about the
18	incident for which we're here for took place at a bar
19	called the Luna Lounge, and have you ever been there?
20	JUROR STOCKWELL: No, I haven't.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Do you recall seeing any
22	media reports about this incident?
23	JUROR STOCKWELL: Vaguely I remember
24	hearing something about it on the news, and it was so
25	long ago. I kind of forgot about it until I came in

1	today, but, oh, yeah, I think I did hear something
2	about that.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And now even though you
4	you know a little bit about it, do you think that
5	would affect your ability to be fair in this case?
6	JUROR STOCKWELL: I think I will be able to
7	be fair.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Do you think you could
9	any decisions you would have to make, you think
10	you could make it based just on the evidence?
11	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And then do you have any
13	do you have any any commitments in the next two
14	weeks that you say, oh, my gosh, I just couldn't
15	reschedule that or anything like that?
16	JUROR STOCKWELL: I can't think of any,
17	except for work, but hopefully they'll be cool and
18	let me have off for the next two weeks.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And have you now, do
20	you what type of job do you work in?
21	JUROR STOCKWELL: Well, I just started with
22	a temp service. Well, I worked for them before like
23	maybe a year-and-a-half ago.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	JUROR STOCKWELL: And in between time I had

1	another job, and I quit that job, went back to them
2	just like in between until I can find more steady
3	job.
4	THE COURT: Got it.
5	JUROR STOCKWELL: And they just put me at
6	R.R. Donnelley in Menasha on Midway Road.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	JUROR STOCKWELL: Just started Monday, and
9	it was like then I had to call them about this,
10	called about them coming in, I got to go for jury
11	selection.
12	THE COURT: Got it. And now do you I
13	know Attorney Schneider had asked, do you do you
14	own any guns?
15	JUROR STOCKWELL: No, I don't.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	JUROR STOCKWELL: I don't like guns.
18	THE COURT: Got it. Do you think our gun
19	laws should be stricter?
20	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	JUROR STOCKWELL: With all the crap that's
23	going on lately, I think it should be.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And, Attorney Schneider,
25	I'm going turn it over to you. I'm trying to think

1	OI
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: We would have also
3	asked you, Miss Stockwell, if you had any friends,
4	family members who are employed in law enforcement.
5	JUROR STOCKWELL: Well, my step-grandfather
6	used to be a deputy sheriff of Marinette County.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
8	JUROR STOCKWELL: But he retired like 30
9	years ago.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Sure. Is it something
11	where you lived with him when he did that work?
12	JUROR STOCKWELL: When I was little, little
13	I used to live with them, him and my mother, until my
14	ma and I could find our own place, but most of the
15	time I did not live with my grandparents while my
16	grandfather was with the sheriff's department.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Is there
18	anything about having him in that role and now you're
19	going to be asked to listen to jurors (sic), do you
20	think you would be able to give officers the same
21	weight and weigh their testimony the same as anyone
22	else?
23	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Perfect. That was one
25	of the things we had talked about.

Page 238 of 373

1	JUROR STOCKWELL: Oh, yeah. Then I have
2	like another cousin who works with the Racine
3	County
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
5	JUROR STOCKWELL: Police Department, but
6	he retired. And another cousin, he was a secret
7	service guy and he was with Marinette County
8	Sheriff's Department too.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you ever talk to
10	them at all about their work?
11	JUROR STOCKWELL: No.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And having them
13	as cousins, would that give you any pause in weighing
14	the testimony of officers?
15	JUROR STOCKWELL: No. And I hardly ever
16	see either one of them.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Do you have
18	you ever served as a juror before?
19	JUROR STOCKWELL: No. I got a letter in
20	the mail like a few years ago, and every time I
21	called they said don't show up. I was like, okay,
22	I'm cool.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I talked a couple
24	times, and I think judge kind of led with this, that
25	this is a very significant case and we want jurors

1	who feel that they can serve. Do you have any
2	personal reasons or religious reasons, anything that
3	gives you pause in wondering whether you could serve
4	as a juror?
5	JUROR STOCKWELL: Can't think of anything
6	why I shouldn't be.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Have you
8	visited Luna Lounge or familiar with where it is on
9	College?
10	JUROR STOCKWELL: I went past there before
11	and never actually went in there.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. What about
13	Sharks, the pool hall?
14	JUROR STOCKWELL: Long, long, long time
15	ago, probably like ten years ago.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: And then I had asked
17	about like 1700 North Harriman. Do you know where
18	that is in the City of Appleton?
19	JUROR STOCKWELL: Not really.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
21	JUROR STOCKWELL: I kind of know where the
22	street is but not that part of it.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Then we kind of talked
24	about working at a bar being security, anything in
2.5	that capacity do you have any of that experience?

1	JUROR STOCKWELL: Well I used to work at
2	the Raddison.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
4	JUROR STOCKWELL: And as a cook, and they
5	had me in the Clubhouse cooking, and that's about the
6	closest I have got into working in a bar.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Do you for
8	personal, religious reasons do you not consume
9	alcohol?
10	JUROR STOCKWELL: Personally I don't.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Have you ever?
12	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
14	JUROR STOCKWELL: But I prefer not to.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I had asked about the
16	series Making of a Murderer. Have you watched any of
17	that?
18	JUROR STOCKWELL: No, I didn't. I just
19	heard about it and I never watched it.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Are you the kind of
21	person that's going to be able to stop reading the
22	paper, watching the news, if judge orders you to do
23	so?
24	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Have you ever

1	been charged with a crime?
2	JUROR STOCKWELL: No.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: What about a close
4	family member or friend?
5	JUROR STOCKWELL: My brother has been.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When was that?
7	JUROR STOCKWELL: The first time was back
8	in '93 or right around that time frame.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was it something where
10	you ever had to go in and assist him at all?
11	JUROR STOCKWELL: No.
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Or go to hearings
13	even?
14	JUROR STOCKWELL: Nope. And I think the
15	last time was maybe ten years ago, something like
16	that.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Having that
18	experience, is that going to give you any pause or
19	any concern in serving as a juror?
20	JUROR STOCKWELL: No.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Did you ever
22	call 911?
23	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Can you tell us
25	when?

1	JUROR STOCKWELL: One time I was worked
2	for a cleaning service, they had me over at St. E's
3	and I was ready to go to the bus stop to catch the
4	bus and I saw, what is it, like a Chevy S10 hit a guy
5	on a bike, and so I turned around and went back into
6	the gas station, said, hey, call 911 because there's
7	been an accident right at the corner.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you stay and talk
9	to the officers?
10	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you ever have to
12	go to court for that?
13	JUROR STOCKWELL: No. Nothing ever
14	happened with it as far as I know. And then
15	different times I would have to call for my mother
16	because she was getting well, in her 70s, started
17	having health problems and I would have to call,
18	like, send an ambulance, help her out here.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Have you ever
20	been a witness other than the bicycle accident you
21	described to anyone who was seriously injured or
22	hurt?
23	JUROR STOCKWELL: Well there ended up being
24	another accident at the same intersection. I didn't
) E	hoar it I just hoard hoom and I know right away

1	there was an accident. I turned around.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you have to give
3	any aid to any of the people?
4	JUROR STOCKWELL: No. And so I called 911
5	on that one too and said, hey, there's an accident at
6	an intersection.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you own any
8	firearms?
9	JUROR STOCKWELL: No, I don't.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I had asked about ever
11	working at a place where there were video cameras or
12	video images. Do you remember have you worked in
13	such a place?
14	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it a situation
16	where you ever had to go and look at those?
17	JUROR STOCKWELL: No.
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I think you already
19	said no guns, right?
20	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Do you have a
22	Facebook account?
23	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yeah, but I haven't been
24	on it for a while.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you ever been a

1	victim of a crime?
2	JUROR STOCKWELL: I think just that little
3	one that we just talked about.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. And then I
5	think I asked if you donated time or volunteered with
6	like Harbor House or Sexual Assault Crisis Center,
7	Child Advocacy Center.
8	JUROR STOCKWELL: No, but when I was in
9	high school we had a SADD chapter.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay.
11	JUROR STOCKWELL: And peer review, so we
12	would go in the grade school and talk to like sixth
13	graders or whatever grade we were in talking, kind of
14	help them talk to them about different things that
15	could happen in life, how to say no to drugs and all
16	that lovely stuff.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I think that
18	was everything then.
19	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny, any questions?
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And thank you, I don't
22	have any questions for you. And so we will we'll
23	be out in a few moments. Okay?
24	JUROR STOCKWELL: Okay.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

1	JUROR STOCKWELL: Thanks.
2	(Ms. Stockwell exits; Ms. Blom enters.)
3	THE CLERK: This is Linda Blom.
4	JUROR BLOM: Hi.
5	THE COURT: Miss Blom, how are you?
6	JUROR BLOM: I'm fine.
7	THE COURT: So you have heard a full
8	morning of questions and a little bit of an afternoon
9	and hopefully you remember the questions, but are
10	there any questions that to the best of your
11	recollection you would have answered yes to or raised
12	your hand to?
13	JUROR BLOM: I think that I am probably
14	would probably hold law enforcement in a stronger
15	light.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And is there any
17	particular reason for that?
18	JUROR BLOM: I have friends involved in law
19	enforcement. I also just think they need to be held
20	to a higher standard so
21	THE COURT: And let me ask this. When you
22	say "held to a higher standard", do you mean
23	JUROR BLOM: Ethically.
24	THE COURT: that you would inherently
25	believe them more because they're law enforcement or

1	would you say that because they're law enforcement
2	they have to do things, I guess, more according to
3	the book than average citizen? How do you mean that?
4	JUROR BLOM: Probably a little of both.
5	THE COURT: Okay. The the most
6	important question I guess that I can ask on that
7	subject is, understanding we all have our experiences
8	and opinions, but do you feel that you would be able
9	to look at this case based on the evidence as you see
10	it and make your any decisions you would have to
11	make based solely on the evidence as you see it?
12	JUROR BLOM: I would hope that I could.
13	Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. That let me ask this.
15	So if someone that you had someone that you love
16	and care about, if they were on trial
17	JUROR BLOM: Um-hum.
18	THE COURT: and knowing you only as you
19	know you, do you think that you would want you to be
20	on that jury or would you not want you to be on that
21	jury?
22	JUROR BLOM: Oh, geez. I would probably
23	want me to be on that jury because I think that the
24	right thing is the right thing regardless.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And then now I've

1	also and I had asked questions about being
2	familiar with any media coverage. Do you remember
3	reading anything about this case or seeing any
4	JUROR BLOM: I do remember it, and the
5	reason that I remember it is because the day after
6	the incident, my son came home and said, did you hear
7	about that what happened last night, and we had
8	not at that point, and he said, I I work out with
9	that guy, the guy who was killed.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And either let me
11	first ask you, from any media reports you have seen,
12	have you formulated any opinions on this case?
13	JUROR BLOM: I have not.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Now, your son was
15	someone who was familiar with, if I understood
16	correctly, the victim in this case?
17	JUROR BLOM: Correct.
18	THE COURT: Do you believe that that would
19	sway your ability to be fair and impartial in this
20	case?
21	JUROR BLOM: I do not.
22	THE COURT: I know Attorney Schneider had
23	asked questions about let me back up. I had asked
24	questions about whether or not you had any close
25	friends or family in law enforcement, you just told

1	us that you have friends in law enforcement, are any
2	of them with the Appleton Police Department?
3	JUROR BLOM: They are not. Although in my
4	job I have worked with some of them. I don't know
5	them personally, but I've worked with them with bank
6	security.
7	THE COURT: And are you in the banking
8	industry?
9	JUROR BLOM: I am.
10	THE COURT: And I know Attorney Schneider
11	had asked this, but since you mentioned bank
12	security, have you had an opportunity to have to
13	review security cameras or security footage?
14	JUROR BLOM: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Have you had an
16	opportunity or an instance where you've had to call
17	911?
18	JUROR BLOM: I have not.
19	THE COURT: And have you ever been the
20	victim of a crime?
21	JUROR BLOM: I have not.
22	THE COURT: Have you ever had to be
23	involved where you've had to be a witness or testify
24	to it could be a civil matter, meaning a car
2.5	accident it could be a criminal matter?

1	JUROR BLOM: I have not. And I shouldn't
2	say that. I was a victim. We had a break-in in a
3	cottage years ago, but no one was there, it was
4	just
5	THE COURT: And did you have to talk to
6	police as a byproduct of that?
7	JUROR BLOM: I did not; my husband did.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Did that experience or
9	do you think that experience shaped you in any way in
10	terms of how you would approach this case?
11	JUROR BLOM: No.
12	THE COURT: I know Attorney Schneider had
13	asked about firearms, do you have any firearms in the
14	home, either you, yourself personally?
15	JUROR BLOM: My husband was a hunter, so
16	there are, I don't know what they are, I don't even
17	know where they are.
18	THE COURT: So from that answer is it safe
19	to assume that you do not shoot the firearms?
20	JUROR BLOM: I have not.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any any
22	strong opinions one way or the other as it relates to
23	the regulations of firearms?
24	JUROR BLOM: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Schneider, I'm

Τ	sure I m forgetting a few, but go anead.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Are you familiar with
3	the bar Luna at all?
4	JUROR BLOM: Just where it is, just know
5	where it is.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is there anything
7	and I don't know, Judge, if you covered this, so I
8	apologize, Miss Blom. Is there anything this week,
9	next week or even leading into that other week on
LO	your personal schedule, work schedule that's going to
1	make it difficult?
2	JUROR BLOM: I have a pretty stressful job
13	so that will be difficult for me, but
L 4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it such that when
L5	you're not there, no one else is really covering your
L6	work so you're going to come back to
L 7	JUROR BLOM: Correct. No one does my work
8	when I'm not there.
L9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Have you ever
20	served on a jury before?
21	JUROR BLOM: I have not.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I asked, given the
23	significance of the homicide charge and the other
24	charges, if you would have any personal or religious
25	reasons that would cause you pause in serving as a

1	juror.
2	JUROR BLOM: I don't think so.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Sharks Pool Hall
4	JUROR BLOM: I have not.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Have you
6	watched any of the Netflix series?
7	JUROR BLOM: I have not.
8	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you drink alcohol?
9	JUROR BLOM: Yes.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you ever donated
11	to like Harbor House, MADD, Sexual Assault Crisis
12	Center?
13	JUROR BLOM: Not personally. Through
14	United Way, through donations, but nothing
15	personally.
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I think that was it.
17	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny, any questions?
18	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. That's okay. Go
19	ahead.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: My only question is just,
21	you know, you heard about this right after it
22	happened because of your son. Did you ever read
23	stories in the paper, anything recent?
24	JUROR BLOM: I did not.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.

1	JUROR BLOM: I'm not a big newspaper
2	reader.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I do have questions about
4	law enforcement, but I can simply do them when I'm up
5	there. I don't have to do them individually.
6	THE COURT: No. If it's part of your
7	normal questioning.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Part of my normal
9	questioning. I don't think we need to do it right
10	now.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: One other thing though
12	that Mr. Duros reminded me of. There is a witness
13	that may come up. Her name is Alyson Blom.
14	JUROR BLOM: She spells her name
15	differently, does she not?
16	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. It's B-L-O-M.
17	Blom is your married name, correct?
18	JUROR BLOM: Does Alyson live in Milwaukee?
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: She does now.
20	JUROR BLOM: Alyson is my niece.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. You'll hear
22	testimony do you want me to follow up?
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Well, yeah.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you're going to
25	hear testimony that Alyson was at Luna on the night

1	of the shooting with Mr. Lee, there is going to be
2	video images of that, and she will have to come in
3	and testify. Do you think it will cause you concern
4	having her as your niece and having to then
5	potentially serve on the jury?
6	JUROR BLOM: I honestly can't say. I don't
7	know. Never dealt with anything like that before. I
8	just honestly don't know.
9	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No. That's okay. Do
10	you talk to her at all, I mean other than family
11	events?
12	JUROR BLOM: On Facebook and and family
13	events, yes.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Let me give you
15	this example, I'm sure Attorney Vishny may have
16	others. If Alyson comes in and says, I saw this, I
17	saw A, and a different witness came in and might say,
18	no, I saw B, and you had to weigh credibility, who
19	would you give it to, Alyson because you're related
20	to her, would you weigh it equally, would you say
21	JUROR BLOM: I can honestly say I think I
22	would weigh that equally.
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. I don't have
24	any other questions.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How often do you see

1	Alyson Blom?
2	JUROR BLOM: Every holiday.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Does that mean twice a
4	year, like Christmas and Easter?
5	JUROR BLOM: Mother's Day, Father's Day,
6	everything. She comes home for every holiday.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Has she ever talked about
8	the fact
9	JUROR BLOM: She has not.
LO	ATTORNEY VISHNY: that she was at Luna
1	when the shooting has happened?
_2	JUROR BLOM: She has not.
L3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do you have any opinion
L 4	about her about whether or not she's a truthful
L5	person?
L6	JUROR BLOM: I would believe her to be a
L7	truthful person.
L8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Do you have you
L9	ever had any opinions about her friends, associates?
20	JUROR BLOM: I don't know her friends and
21	associates. She's a different age than my children
22	are. My children grew up in Little Chute, she grew
23	up in Appleton. I've met several of her boyfriends
24	throughout the years, but other than that, I have
25	never gotten to know them well or would not have an

1	opinion.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Have you ever met any guy
3	she's dated who were Hmong?
4	JUROR BLOM: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How many?
6	JUROR BLOM: Every guy she's dated has been
7	Hmong.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. I didn't know that
9	but
10	JUROR BLOM: Yes.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do you remember their
12	names at all?
13	JUROR BLOM: I do not.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. If I say a name,
15	I'm just going to ask you
16	JUROR BLOM: I probably would not remember.
17	Probably not.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
19	JUROR BLOM: They come and go and you see
20	them at Christmas. And I have children and
21	grandchildren, so the chaos, and truthfully I've
22	never had any serious conversations with them.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Do you have any
24	opinions because she has dated people of Hmong
25	descent?

1	JUROR BLOM: Racially, no. Alyson is a
2	very little person, and I think that that's why she
3	tends to be with that type of person.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Meaning she's short?
5	JUROR BLOM: She's short and little and
6	tiny, she's yes. She's just a sweet little
7	person.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Got you. Okay.
9	I'll ask the law enforcement questions when we're
LO	in.
11	JUROR BLOM: Okay. And I apologize. When
12	you mentioned the name I never even put that
L3	together. There is an Alyson, actually B-L-O-O-M,
L 4	that went to school with my children and I just
L5	assumed that's who that was.
L6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We have heard that there
L7	were two Alyson's with almost the same last name in
L8	Appleton. So thanks.
L9	JUROR BLOM: Sure. Am I done?
20	(Ms. Blom exits.)
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I don't know. What do
22	the statutes say if somebody is related, somebody
23	who's a witness?
24	THE COURT: It's got to be there's got
) E	to be some degree of kinghin where the statutes speak

1	to it. I don't know what it is though.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: It might be in a
3	benchbook more so than a statute book.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do you have a position on
5	it?
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I would move for
7	cause, to strike for cause.
8	THE COURT: Here's my take, unless you say
9	I want her on the panel.
LO	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No, I don't. I think
L1	it's too close for comfort.
L2	THE COURT: I don't want to give any reason
L3	for there to be an issue. Okay? We're fine with
L4	that.
L5	(Ms. O'Brien enters.)
L6	THE COURT: Miss O'Brien, we have some
L7	questions for you. And have you had do you did
L8	you have any trouble remembering the questions that
L9	we've asked today up to this point?
20	JUROR O'BRIEN: They're all kind of a blur,
21	but I think I've got them all.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So let me first ask, and
23	then I'll ask some of the specifics. Is there any
24	question that you recall us asking that jumped out at
) 5	you that you said. oh. I need to I need to make

1	sure that I'd answer that one?
2	JUROR O'BRIEN: Well in your question
3	regarding firearms, my husband did collect guns. He
4	passed away in June. I've been working with Fox
5	Valley Firearms to sell them, so the majority of them
6	are out of the house.
7	THE COURT: And do you have any strong
8	positions one way or the other as it relates to
9	JUROR O'BRIEN: I don't like them, but
10	that's why I'm getting rid of them.
11	THE COURT: Got it. And is it safe to
12	assume then that you did not shoot the guns?
13	JUROR O'BRIEN: That's true.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Now I had also asked
15	about whether or not you had any friends or family or
16	close acquaintances who were involved in law
17	enforcement.
18	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
19	THE COURT: Did you recognize any of the
20	names of either the attorneys or the witnesses?
21	JUROR O'BRIEN: Just Carrie Schneider.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And how do you know Miss
23	Schneider?
24	JUROR O'BRIEN: I don't know her, I just
25	know the name.

1	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And, now,
2	have you ever been a victim of a crime?
3	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you obviously we've
5	talked about this case involves the Luna Lounge and
6	that's where this took place, and there has been some
7	media coverage of it at various points, and do you
8	recall any of the media coverage on it?
9	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Are you familiar with
11	the Luna Lounge?
12	JUROR O'BRIEN: I know where it was.
13	THE COURT: Okay. I take it based on that
14	answer that you were not a regular frequenter?
15	JUROR O'BRIEN: No. I never went there but
16	I knew where it was.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you do you
18	feel that if you were selected to be on this jury
19	that you could be fair and impartial?
20	JUROR O'BRIEN: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Ultimately, if you were
22	asked to be on this jury, you would be asked to
23	ultimately partake in a decision which would
24	ultimately be either a decision of guilty or not
25	quilty, and do you feel that you would be able to

1	to make such a decision?
2	JUROR O'BRIEN: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. There were some I'm
4	going to let you ask your questions.
5	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you have anything,
6	Miss O'Brien, we kind of already laid out the primers
7	this week, next week, but then it may spill over to
8	the following. Do you have anything personal or work
9	schedule that we should know about or that might
10	cause you concern?
11	JUROR O'BRIEN: Just regular work schedule,
12	but the weekend of the 11th and 12th I have a retreat
13	I was supposed to go to.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When would you need to
15	leave for that? We'll be done by then.
16	THE COURT: We won't go into the weekend.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Would you leave
18	Friday?
19	JUROR O'BRIEN: It's a Thursday and a
20	Friday, like the 11th and 12th.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: So you're not
22	available on Thursday the 11th or Friday the 12th?
23	JUROR O'BRIEN: It was like a Thursday,
24	Friday, Saturday.
25	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Have you ever

1	served on a jury before?
2	JUROR O'BRIEN: Yes.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: When?
4	JUROR O'BRIEN: I have no idea. It was
5	here a few years ago. It was for like a bar fight,
6	but I don't I don't remember the timing.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did the jury come back
8	with a verdict?
9	JUROR O'BRIEN: Yes, but I honestly don't
10	remember what it was.
11	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. That's okay.
12	Family, friends employed in law enforcement at all?
13	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
14	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Ever visit Sharks Pool
15	Hall?
16	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Ever work at a bar?
18	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Security at any type
20	of business?
21	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Have you or are you
23	familiar or did you watch any of the Netflix series
24	that we talked about?
25	JUROR O'BRIEN: No. I'm familiar with it

1	just via the news but not the Netflix series.
2	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Was that a personal
3	choice not to watch it?
4	JUROR O'BRIEN: I had no desire to watch
5	it.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Could you give up
7	reading the paper or watching the news?
8	JUROR O'BRIEN: Absolutely. I don't do it
9	now so I watch the weather and that's about it.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: He'd probably allow
11	you to watch The Weather Channel for your weather.
12	Family member, friend or yourself charged with a
13	crime or you have any thoughts or want to share
14	anything?
15	JUROR O'BRIEN: My brother is currently in
16	prison for more drug related crime.
17	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Is it something where
18	you had to participate?
19	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Or attend? Is it
21	something, having that as a life experience, going to
22	cause you any concern?
23	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
24	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Ever call 911?
25	JUROR O'BRIEN: I think so. I was in a car

1	accident in a blizzard. I think I dialed 911 just to
2	report it.
3	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Okay. Ever been a
4	witness where you had to give statements to law
5	enforcement?
6	JUROR O'BRIEN: Un-hun.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Do you have a Facebook
8	account?
9	JUROR O'BRIEN: I do.
10	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Did you ever ask
11	anybody to deactivate it or take it down for you?
12	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
13	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Ever donate time or
14	volunteer at any of the crime prevention programs we
15	have in the community?
16	JUROR O'BRIEN: I do a lot of volunteering
17	through my church, and we do reach out sometimes to
18	those facilities, but not
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Kind of an indirect
20	JUROR O'BRIEN: Not regular.
21	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Indirect back to those
22	programs. I don't have anything else then.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm gathering you haven't
24	read or heard about this case before coming in here
25	today, you didn't know anything about it?

1	JUROR O'BRIEN: No.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
3	JUROR O'BRIEN: I mean I've heard of things
4	that had happened at Luna Lounge, but I don't
5	remember this one.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. Nothing
7	further.
8	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very
9	much.
10	(Ms. O'Brien exits.)
11	(In open court.)
12	THE COURT: I appreciate everyone's
13	patience. Hopefully you were able to get a little
14	bit of a stretching break.
15	At this time, Mr. Keleske, I would like to thank
16	you for your service, sir. Your duty is completed,
17	and again, I appreciate it. So you are excused at
18	this time. I should mention, however, it's my
19	understanding, and some of you may have gone out into
20	the parking lot and seen this, my understanding is
21	that if you parked on the street there is a
22	possibility that the Appleton city has ticketed you.
23	Please bring those tickets in and the County will
24	take care of those. What we will need the only
25	thing we'll need to have you do, bring those down to

1	the clerk's office, all we'll need to do is make sure
2	you have your name on the ticket so that we can
3	correspond it with our jury list. So don't panic on
4	that, County will take care of those. And my
5	apologies for that inconvenience.
6	But there again, Mr. Keleske, thank you for your
7	service.
8	JUROR KELESKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: No. 6775, Bridget Plamann. If
10	you would take his seat please.
11	Miss Giesen, likewise, you are excused. I thank
12	you for your service today.
13	JUROR GIESEN: Thank you.
14	THE CLERK: No. 7209, Samantha Stockwell;
15	No. 6984, Victoria O'Brien.
16	THE COURT: And, Miss Blom, likewise, you
17	are excused today. Thank you for your service.
18	Attorney Schneider, my understanding is that you
19	have completed your voir dire; is that correct?
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: It is, Your Honor.
21	Thank you very much.
22	THE COURT: And with that then, Attorney
23	Vishny, whenever whenever you are ready.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm ready, Judge. Thank
25	you.

1	THE COURT: Very good.
2	While Attorney Vishny is walking to the podium,
3	I know we're getting late in the day but I would ask
4	that to the best of your ability try to talk loudly
5	because I don't want to get reprimanded by my court
6	reporter.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Sorry. I'm just too
8	short to stand behind that.
9	All right. I know it's been a long day, and I'm
10	sorry because unfortunately the rules say I have to
11	go last. And I don't really want to keep you here
12	any anybody here longer than necessary, but I do
13	have a number of questions I want to ask so let's
14	just start with the easy ones.
15	Does anybody here have a hobby of photography?
16	If you do, just raise your hand.
17	(No response.)
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Apparently not.
19	Either that or you're like super shy now that I've
20	gotten up here.
21	All right. And then I'm going to just ask one
22	more question that may seem a little odd, but who
23	watched the Super Bowl? All right. I probably
24	should say who didn't watch the Super Bowl.
25	But what I really want to ask about is the

halfti	me show. Who watched the halftime show? Okay
Aı	nd who hated the halftime show? Okay.
S	o that's Miss Elbe, you hated the halftime
show.	
	JUROR ELBE: Yes.
	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Why did you hate it?
	JUROR ELBE: Because of the fact that when
they b	rought on that halftime show, I did not like
their	dress that they came out in.
	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Are you talking about
Beyonce	e in particular?
	JUROR ELBE: Yes.
	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Because?
	JUROR ELBE: Because of the fact that we
have y	ounger children that watch these things and I
just d	idn't feel it appropriate.
	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So it was like too sexy?
	JUROR ELBE: Yes.
	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Is that all right if I
use tha	at word?
	JUROR ELBE: You can use that word.
	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Too she should have
had mo:	re clothes on?
	JUROR ELBE: That's right. Because of

young -- young people that are watching this. It was

1	just not correct.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Is that the only
4	thing that bothered you about it?
5	JUROR ELBE: Yeah, really.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How about somebody else?
7	Who else really didn't like the halftime show?
8	Okay. That's in the back. I think your name is
9	Miss Dey if I remember correctly.
10	JUROR DEY: Yup.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Miss Dey, why didn't you
12	like the halftime show?
13	JUROR DEY: Just couldn't understand it,
14	the words and stuff. I mean, it was
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: The music just wasn't
16	clear enough. Okay.
17	How about the dancing and the other parts?
18	JUROR DEY: I didn't really pay
19	attention.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Anybody else hate the
21	halftime show at all? Or felt offended by it in any
22	way?
23	(No response.)
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right.
25	Now I'm just going to go right into who has ever

1	nad a time in their life where you had to judge if
2	somebody is telling the truth or not?
3	(No response.)
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. Has anybody
5	and maybe I mean I'll be very surprised if
6	people don't raise their hands. Has anyone ever had
7	a time where they haven't had to judge if someone is
8	telling the truth? Maybe I should put it that way.
9	Okay. So I'm not going to go down row by row
10	because I think that's a little much. I'm going to
11	ask different people.
12	Mr. Nieman. Did I get that right.
13	JUROR NIEMAN: (Nodding.)
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Nieman, tell me about
15	how you've been able to determine or decide if
16	somebody is telling you the truth or not.
17	JUROR NIEMAN: Maybe hearing it from
18	somebody else too, the same story, and stories don't
19	line up.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Their stories don't line
21	up is something important.
22	I'm going to ask you all to speak a little bit
23	loud because, you know, I did survive the rock music
24	era in the '60s and '70s, and I do have an iPod so I
25	probably need you to talk a little bit louder.

1	So you want to see if their stories line up or
2	not.
3	JUROR NIEMAN: Yeah.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Well what if they do line
5	up, what do you think then?
6	JUROR NIEMAN: Well, I mean, maybe the body
7	language or how they told it.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm sorry?
9	JUROR NIEMAN: Maybe their body language
10	and how they say it.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: But if they don't line
12	up, does that cause you to have some concerns?
13	JUROR NIEMAN: Yes.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: What about you, Mr. Buza,
15	what do you think about that?
16	JUROR BUZA: I look for body language also,
17	and the eyes and the mannerisms, you kind of, you
18	know, weigh things out, I guess, in your mind from
19	what you hear and what you see.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. What about
21	you, Miss Lee, what do you think?
22	JUROR LEE: Well, I know I can't lie
23	because I look like a deer in headlights, but I just
24	had a situation yesterday where I I caught a girl
25	lying to me, and I I to be honest with you, she

1	was oblivious to the fact that she was lying.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You mean she was lying
3	and she didn't even know it?
4	JUROR LEE: She didn't think she didn't
5	think that I knew is what it was.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So how were you able to
7	tell she was lying?
8	JUROR LEE: Body language.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right.
10	And what about you, Mr. Maas, how do you tell?
11	JUROR MAAS: Same way. Body language.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. What about if
13	people change their story? How important is that to
14	you?
15	JUROR MAAS: Well, I'd want to hear both
16	sides of the story and how they changed it.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Well, what if, you
18	know, one day somebody says well A happened and gives
19	you all the details about A, and the next day they
20	say B happened and they give you all the details
21	about B. How does that impact on what you think?
22	JUROR MAAS: It all depends if it all lines
23	up or not.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. What about you,
25	Mr. Eggers?

1	JUROR EGGERS: Eye contact is a big one
2	with me.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm sorry?
4	JUROR EGGERS: Eye contact is a big one
5	with me.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So if people look them in
7	the eye, you tend to find them believable, is that
8	what you're saying? Because I'm not sure what it
9	means.
10	JUROR EGGERS: When someone's lying I can
11	kind of get the feeling they're lying is because they
12	look away from you, they look away.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right.
14	JUROR EGGERS: And then go back to you and
15	talk to you and then they look away from 'ya. That's
16	how I feel I can tell.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: What about the substance
18	of what they say, their actual story, what if their
19	stories change over time? How do you feel about
20	that?
21	JUROR EGGERS: If I get that opportunity,
22	if I can remember that which way he said it the
23	first time compared to the second time.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
25	What about you, Mr. Calmes, what do you how

1	do you tell if somebody is telling the truth or not?
2	JUROR CALMES: Reaction, really, how
3	they're telling the story. If it sounds believable.
4	If it's not believable. I mean obviously eye contact
5	is big.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Who thinks it's important
7	that somebody's story make sense? Okay. How can you
8	tell if a story doesn't make sense?
9	Mr. Bloomer, was that your name?
10	JUROR BLOOMER: Well, I I draw on my own
11	life experiences a lot of times, and if somebody says
12	something that just doesn't doesn't add up for me,
13	you know, I could be wrong in my judgment. If they
14	say something that just doesn't add up, then you
15	check the facts, you know, check the facts. I try to
16	hold back on the actual judgment itself until I feel
17	I have the facts.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. What about you,
19	Mr. Green?
20	JUROR GREEN: Same thing.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Now you really have to
22	talk louder.
23	JUROR GREEN: I'm sorry. Pretty much the
24	like the same thing he said, you want to hear
25	everything and then you just, you know, if it's

1	believable or not.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. Has anybody
3	ever been in a situation where you thought somebody
4	was not telling the truth and then later you found
5	out they were telling the truth? Has anyone has
6	that ever happened where first you said, oh, my God,
7	this person is so lying, and then later on you find
8	out they are telling you the truth? Has anyone ever
9	experienced that? If you have, raise your hand.
10	Yes. Okay. Mr. Buza, you've experienced that?
11	Can you how did you feel about the fact that your
12	first take on it was not accurate?
13	JUROR BUZA: Pretty low. Pretty cheap, I
14	guess. Rush to judgment.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Rush to judgment. And
16	has anybody else felt that they were in a situation
17	like that where you rushed to judgment about
18	something?
19	Yes? That's Miss Elbe?
20	JUROR ELBE: Um-hum.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How did you feel in that
22	particular situation?
23	JUROR ELBE: Very sad and apologetic.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. How did you
25	happen to find out that you were wrong?

1	JUROR ELBE: They're twins.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Got you. Anybody else in
3	the front row here?
4	(No response.)
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We'll take the next row.
6	Anybody else in the next row have that experience?
7	(No response.)
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: What about the I know
9	I saw more hands out there. Like I I don't
L 0	memorize them, but I did see them in this row over
L1	here, the row that begins with, oh, my gosh, I'm
L2	forgetting your name, sir.
L3	JUROR FLEMING: Fleming, Steve Fleming.
L 4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's it. The row that
L5	starts with Mr. Fleming and ends with Mr. Eggers I
L6	think. Anybody there? Any of you folks ever had
L 7	that situation?
L 8	(No response.)
L9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And the back row? It
20	looks to me like Mr. Parker?
21	JUROR PARKER: Well I got to use my kids
22	and my grandkids as an example. Same thing, right
23	away you think they're not telling you the truth but
24	really shocked you when you find out that they are
25	but then you really feel bad about it afterwards.

1	Rush to judgment, especially your kids.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Anybody else?
3	(No response.)
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: What about how are you
5	going to decide in a criminal trial if a witness is
6	telling the truth or not?
7	(No response.)
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Let's take it out
9	of our lives as parents and supervisors and friends
10	or frenemies or whatever we are and just kind of get
11	into the situation you may be called on, how are you
12	going to decide if somebody is being truthful or not.
13	Miss Elbe?
14	JUROR ELBE: My thought is always to pay
15	attention, to listen both ways, because everything
16	has more than one side, and you need to have both
17	sides and you need to weigh those sides and listen to
18	what's being said.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: What about you, Miss
20	Erickson?
21	JUROR ERICKSON: It is a hard one, isn't
22	it, to decide, but all you can do is just be be
23	what we're there to be, pay attention and to hear out
24	both sides of the story.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Shea, what

1	about you?
2	JUROR SHEA: Repeat the question please?
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. How are you going
4	to decide in a criminal trial whether there's
5	going to be a lot of witnesses who come and testify,
6	and you're going to have to make a decision are they
7	telling the truth or not. How are you going to
8	decide that?
9	JUROR SHEA: I guess you look in their
10	background and look at if which side there're on
11	and what's to be gained.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. What if you don't
13	know anything about their background, I mean other
14	than that they came in to testify?
15	JUROR SHEA: I would assume you're going to
16	give us some idea of a witness's background.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. What
18	about you, Mr. Webster?
19	JUROR WEBSTER: I think that if it's caught
20	on camera that something happened, what we have to
21	look at.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So what a camera
23	shows is very important to you.
24	JUROR WEBSTER: Yes.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Sometimes things aren't

1	on camera and we just have to rely on people's word
2	about what they think they saw.
3	JUROR WEBSTER: Listen to both
4	directions.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. What about you,
6	Miss Meyer?
7	JUROR MEYER: Listen to the details and try
8	to piece together what went on that night.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How important is it to
10	you that a witness might have an interest in what
11	happens here, like they care about the outcome of the
12	trial?
13	JUROR O'BRIEN: Very important.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Very important you're
15	saying, and just for the record, that's Miss O'Brien,
16	right?
17	JUROR O'BRIEN: Right.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Why is that very
19	important to you, Miss O'Brien?
20	JUROR O'BRIEN: That the witness care about
21	their testimony?
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Or care about how the
23	trial comes out.
24	JUROR O'BRIEN: I mean if they're called to
25	witness it or they saw it, then it is it should be

1	important to them to see how it out comes because
2	they're a part of it.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. How about when a
4	witness is originally a suspect in a case and manages
5	to get themselves out of being a suspect and into
6	being a witness, how do you judge whether you're
7	going to believe their testimony or not? Does
8	everyone understand my question?
9	Okay. Are you Mr. Nichols?
10	JUROR NICHOLS: Yes.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Nichols.
12	JUROR NICHOLS: Try and go by the evidence,
13	the details that's by scientific evidence, video
14	camera, fingerprints, stuff like that may go through.
15	And as I recall at the beginning of an investigation
16	isn't pretty much everyone a suspect, so it could be
17	or could not be character.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do you think that's fair
19	that in the beginning everyone is a suspect?
20	JUROR NICHOLS: Yes.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Does anyone disagree with
22	Mr. Nichols about that, that everyone can be a
23	suspect in the beginning of an investigation?
24	All right. Let's ask some other people's
25	opinion. What about you is it Plamann?

1	JUROR PLAMANN: Plamann.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I wasn't sure if it was
3	Plamann or Plamann. What is your feeling about that
4	if somebody is initially a suspect and then later
5	claims, no, I saw somebody else commit the crime.
6	What do you think about that?
7	JUROR PLAMANN: I feel if if everybody
8	is being looked at equally and asked questions and
9	given a chance to discuss and share their side and
10	then the evidence doesn't present itself that they
11	continue to be part of that suspect pool due to the
12	job that's done by investigators, attorneys, lawyers,
13	as evidence presents itself. I don't have a problem
14	with that because I feel it would be better to have
15	evenly asked the questions and put everybody in the
16	same playing field and then it's okay to rule out
17	based on the findings.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Thank you.
19	Mr. Wells, what do you think about that?
20	JUROR WELLS: Could you repeat the question
21	please?
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. If somebody starts
23	out somebody is being questioned as being a
24	suspect and then they give statements that get
25	themselves to no longer being a suspect, how do you

1	feel about that, about whether you're going to find
2	them truthful, not truthful? I mean what are you
3	going to use to decide?
4	JUROR WELLS: The evidence, kind of judge
5	if they're I guess everybody if all the other
6	stories line up.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. How many people
8	think it's important if witnesses have had chances to
9	talk to other witnesses before they give their
10	statements to the police? Does that raise suspicions
11	or concerns for anybody?
12	JUROR LEE: Um-hum.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes, it does?
14	Okay. Why is that, Miss Lee, that that raises
15	I think you
16	JUROR LEE: Well, I think they could be
17	talking amongst themselves and trying to put a story
18	together as to what's going to benefit them or the
19	person on trial and try to get their stories straight
20	so they all line up.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Green, you
22	nodded for that?
23	JUROR GREEN: Yeah. Pretty much the same
24	thing too. Seeing if their stories match up or
2.5	not

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Miss Micke?
2	JUROR MICKE: Um-hum.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I haven't had a chance to
4	talk to you. Is that something that concerns you at
5	all?
6	JUROR MICKE: Yes. For the same reasons.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: For the same reason,
8	which is?
9	JUROR MICKE: That they should by them
10	being able to talk to one another they can
11	collaborate, I mean, what they get out of it.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Sometimes
13	witnesses lie to the police in the beginning and then
14	later tell the truth. If somebody lies to the
15	police, how does that affect whether or not you would
16	believe them as a witness in the trial? How does
17	that impact your thinking?
18	Miss Steffen. Did I get that right?
19	JUROR STEFFEN: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How does that impact your
21	thinking?
22	JUROR STEFFEN: If repeat that.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: If a witness lies to the
24	police and says I wasn't there, don't know anything
25	about it, you know, at first, and it's not true,

1	okay?
2	JUROR STEFFEN: To me I'd be a little
3	suspicious about that. They're being dishonest right
4	away, you know, how reliable is their testimony.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
6	JUROR STEFFEN: I don't think I'd trust
7	them as much.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. What about
9	you, Miss Hermus?
LO	JUROR HERMUS: I guess I would be
L1	suspicious too, but, you know, when something happens
L2	everybody is afraid, they're scared, you know, and
L3	maybe that's why they didn't tell the truth in the
L 4	first place.
L5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Does is there
L6	anybody who it doesn't bother?
L 7	(No response.)
L 8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Let's flip it, you know,
L9	who would say I don't care about that or is that an
20	issue of concern for everybody?
21	What about you, Miss Paul?
22	JUROR PAUL: Say it again. Please.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: If a person lies at first
24	to the police, you know, claims they weren't there in
2.5	a certain place, you know, where a crime happened but

1	in fact they were near where the crime happened, does
2	that concern you whether or not you're going to
3	believe them in court?
4	JUROR PAUL: Yeah. It makes them look like
5	a liar and you don't believe that they're going to
6	tell the truth in court.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. One minute please.
8	I haven't talked to some of the people in the back.
9	Mr. Van Deurzen, why don't I ask you. How do
10	you decide if people are telling the truth or not?
11	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: I usually can tell by
12	body language I think.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: What about changing the
14	story, how important is that to you?
15	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: That's important, makes
16	them less reliable.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. What about if
18	somebody is a suspect in the beginning, does that
19	impact on whether you think that you'll believe them
20	or not?
21	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Not necessarily.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Schueller,
23	what about you?
24	JUROR SCHUELLER: Which question are we
25	asking?

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I guess I'm going to ask
2	how do you decide if somebody is being truthful or
3	not.
4	JUROR SCHUELLER: I would take all the
5	evidence, whether it just be them or the other
6	stories, combine them all and then see what makes
7	sense of it.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And if they're a suspect
9	is that important to you or not?
10	JUROR SCHUELLER: I guess yes and no. No,
11	it wouldn't affect my thought process because as we
12	said before, everyone is a suspect, so no.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. How about you, Mr.
14	Van Dalen? Did I get that right?
15	JUROR VAN DALEN: Van Dalen. Same
16	question, how
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes.
18	JUROR VAN DALEN: I'd say eye contact, body
19	language, whether their story makes sense or not,
20	collaborates with the other story that's being told.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How many people here
22	think that the police are better than ordinary people
23	at telling at making decisions about who's telling
24	the truth or not?
25	Okay. Does anybody think the police are

1	better or that they're kind of, it's a level playing
2	field, us as regular people have about the same
3	ability to say if somebody is being truthful or not.
4	Okay. Miss Steffen?
5	JUROR STEFFEN: I kind of think they can
6	read body language a lot better than we. They're
7	trained for that.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Yes. Mr. Shea?
9	JUROR SHEA: They have more training. It's
10	like I as a teacher, I feel like I I'm better at
11	reading people. I would think they have more
12	experience.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Now, I guess what
14	I want to ask kind of to follow up on that is I
15	guess I lost my thought.
16	How many of you have ever felt that a police
17	officer has made a judgment and made an arrest but
18	that they are wrong about it? Has anybody ever
19	encountered a situation like that, where you think
20	police did made an arrest and they were wrong?
21	Yes? Miss Elbe?
22	JUROR ELBE: Actually it happened to my
23	grandson.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
25	JUROR ELBE: And they did not believe what

25

1	he stated to them happened, and they went just like
2	that, and a little while later another police officer
3	came up and said to the other one you were in error.
4	And he was
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So I guess there were no
6	really horrible consequences that occurred.
7	JUROR ELBE: No, not at that point, but
8	there again, we don't have that truth. You're just
9	assuming something happened, it wasn't right, you
10	shouldn't be assuming that, you should have looked at
11	the situation, figured it out, talked to that person
12	and said, okay, we can look at this and see where
13	we're at. Not just all of a sudden it's there, I'm
14	making this major decision, which was in error.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you.
16	Mr. Nieman, what do you think about what Miss
17	Elbe said?
18	JUROR NIEMAN: Can you repeat the question
19	please?
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Well, she's talking about
21	how, you know, she knows somebody who got arrested
22	and it turned out to be a wrong decision and she
23	thinks that more judgment should have been used, more
24	investigation before that happened.
25	JUROR NIEMAN: I agree with what she said.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. I'm going to ask a
2	question that I think is a really difficult question.
3	I'm going to ask each one of you to search deep
4	inside when I ask this question, and I'm really I
5	really want to know what your truthful answer is. So
6	if you need a minute to think about it, and it's kind
7	of even a scary question for me to ask, but I want to
8	know what you're more worried about if you get picked
9	for this jury. Are you more worried about letting a
10	killer go or are you more worried about convicting ar
11	innocent person? Which one is the biggest concern
12	for you?
13	This might be the most important question I ask
14	here. And I know that this that you didn't like
15	wake up today and say, oh, my gosh, I want to be a
16	juror on a murder trial, and you probably didn't
17	think about it last week, but it's going to happen to
18	some of you and I need to ask this question. Okay?
19	And I think I'm going to ask this particular question
20	what your responses are, so I might as well just
21	start in the front.
22	Miss Paul, what do you think?
23	JUROR PAUL: I think I would be more
24	worried about letting a killer go.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And why is that?

1	JUROR PAUL: Safety of others.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
3	JUROR PAUL: Worrying that they're going to
4	go kill someone else.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Given that that worries
6	you more, I mean you're going to hear if you're on
7	this jury panel a lot of evidence, and the judge is
8	going to tell you that you cannot find somebody
9	guilty unless the prosecutor proves it beyond a
10	reasonable doubt. Given that that's the law, and
11	also given your concerns, how do you deal with that?
12	I know. It's a tough question.
13	JUROR PAUL: It is. I have no clue how to
14	answer it.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. I might come back
16	to you, though, if that's okay.
17	Okay. Miss Naumann, what do you think?
18	JUROR NAUMANN: I would say having
19	finding an innocent person guilty.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You're more concerned
21	with that?
22	JUROR NAUMANN: Because you would ruin
23	their life, you know, forever.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. Fair
25	enough.

1	JUROR NAUMANN: And I just say that if he
2	were guilty and you let them go that they would do
3	anything again, but if they were innocent and then
4	they were confined, their whole life would be
5	ruined.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. What about you,
7	Miss Elbe?
8	JUROR ELBE: Same thing. Same thing.
9	Innocent if they're innocent and you say, oh, my
10	gosh, you know, we're putting him off to jail or
11	whatever and this person is innocent, that's a lot to
12	live with that that person is going to be in jail or
13	whatever for their lifetime.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Buza.
15	JUROR BUZA: I'm going to say the same
16	thing.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So you're more concerned
18	about convicting an innocent person.
19	JUROR BUZA: Yup.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
21	JUROR VANDENBERG: I agree with those three
22	people.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So that's the side you
24	come down on? I know your name is Vander something.
25	JUROR VANDENBERG: Vandenberg.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm sorry. I sometimes
2	can't remember them all. So, okay.
3	Miss Vandenberg, where are you where do you
4	fall on that question?
5	JUROR VANDENBERG: Convicting someone who
6	is innocent.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You're more worried about
8	that.
9	Miss Plamann, what about you?
10	JUROR PLAMANN: Convicting someone who is
11	innocent.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. And you don't
13	all have to think alike, I just want to make sure,
14	like everything we've already said, there is no right
15	or wrong answers here, the only wrong answer is to
16	not tell what you're really thinking. We're not
17	judging anybody.
18	Mr. Shea.
19	JUROR SHEA: Convicting an innocent
20	person.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Wow. I know I'm
22	going to get your name. I know it.
23	JUROR ERICKSON: Erickson.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Erickson. Don't let me

waste your time. Miss Erickson. Which concerns you

25

1	more?
2	JUROR ERICKSON: Innocence.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And what about you, Miss
4	Lee?
5	JUROR LEE: You know, it's kind of a toss
6	up. My stomach just turns because I don't want to
7	convict someone who is innocent, but I also don't
8	want a killer out on the street.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right.
LO	JUROR LEE: I mean, as a mother, I sure
L1	don't want a killer out there; as a citizen, I don't
L2	want to put somebody away because he shouldn't be, so
L3	I I don't know if there is a right answer there
L 4	for you.
L5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And again, given
L6	that the judge has to you know, that you have to
L7	make this decision beyond a reasonable doubt, in
L8	other words, you know, somebody sitting over here at
L9	the table doesn't have to prove their innocence.
20	Okay? Really the State has to prove it beyond a
21	reasonable doubt. Does that trouble you given kind
22	of your 50/50 thoughts on that?
23	JUROR LEE: Well, you know, I don't know, I
24	I hear so many times you hear things on media
25	but then you hear none of that stuff in the

1	courtroom, it's very confusing, and being that this
2	is my first trial ever, I just I really don't know
3	if there is a right answer.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. Thank
5	you for sharing that with us.
6	Mr. Green.
7	JUROR GREEN: I'd probably have to say the
8	same thing as her. I don't know what there is, but
9	if I had to tell you the truth, I wouldn't want to
10	see a killer let go.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That concerns you more.
12	I think the court reporter is having a hard time
13	hearing you. Can you
14	JUROR GREEN: I'm just saying that, you
15	know, if that person were to go out and kill again,
16	it would probably weigh more on me than if he went to
17	jail. Just my opinion.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Than an innocent person
19	was convicted of something they didn't do?
20	JUROR GREEN: Yes.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And again, I'm going to
22	ask that question for you. You know, the law says it
23	has to be proven to you beyond a reasonable doubt,
24	and so you're in the jury room and you're not a
25	hundred percent positive but you're also really

1	worried about what if I letter a killer go. How are
2	you going to reconcile that?
3	JUROR GREEN: I'm not sure on that.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's fair.
5	Mr. Bloomer.
6	JUROR BLOOMER: I think that people are
7	innocent until proven guilty, and so they you
8	know, to me that's that's why I'm going to pay a
9	lot of attention to what's happening because they are
10	innocent until proven guilty.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So which one of
12	these two things would concern you more, you know,
13	when you're when you're in the jury room?
14	JUROR BLOOMER: Putting away an innocent
15	person.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Okay.
17	Miss Micke?
18	JUROR MICKE: Putting away an innocent
19	person.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's the greater
21	concern to you?
22	Mr. Nichols.
23	JUROR NICHOLS: Letting a killer on the
24	loose.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And again, when it

Τ	comes to that in the law beyond a reasonable doubt
2	and the fact that you have to follow that jury
3	instruction and you you know, what if you're
4	when you're sitting in a situation you think, you
5	know what, I think this person probably did it but it
6	hasn't been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, is the
7	fact that you're concerned about potentially putting
8	a killer back out there, something like that, is that
9	a situation where you say, you know, I'm just going
10	to have to go with my feelings of I'm too concerned
11	about a killer being found not guilty?
12	JUROR NICHOLS: Actually, that was the
13	reason why I chose that one because, as you said, you
14	need without a reasonable doubt to convict, so if
15	he's innocent by innocent, he should be proven
16	innocent, where if he's convicted or if he was the
17	killer, he should be found guilty. Having said that,
18	the rules are rules, law is that without a reasonable
19	doubt, so if he's found not guilty, he's found not
20	guilty.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And would you be
22	worried, like, gee, I don't know if I could find this
23	person not guilty because I think he might have done
24	it but it just hasn't been, you know, I'm just not
25	sure beyond a reasonable doubt so I think I better

1	vote guilty. Is that how you would be at all?
2	JUROR NICHOLS: If that's how it comes out
3	in court, then he's found not guilty.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And what how do you
5	feel about you personally participating in that?
6	JUROR NICHOLS: Like I said, if that's what
7	has to be done, that's what has to be done. If you
8	guys like I said, if it's the way it's supposed to
9	be done, if I have to vote not guilty, I have to vote
10	not guilty.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And I'm going to just ask
12	another question. I'm going to come back around this
13	with more people, but just kind of come up now, which
14	is an accused person has an absolute right to not
15	testify, and that could be very disturbing to people
16	on a jury, you know, gee, I really want to hear him
17	but he decides to not testify. Would that bother
18	you?
19	JUROR NICHOLS: Not necessarily. Some
20	people just don't really like speaking.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. I'm
22	coming back to that later because I want to finish
23	this with everybody here.
24	Okay. Is it Stockwell?
25	JUROR STOCKWELL: Yes.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You came late up here so
2	I wasn't sure if I had your name right. All right.
3	Miss Stockwell. Going back to the original question,
4	which one are you more afraid of, you know, finding a
5	person who is a killer not guilty and what the
6	consequences of that could be or convicting an
7	innocent person?
8	JUROR STOCKWELL: Maybe letting a murderer
9	go back out on the street not knowing if he's going
10	to do that same thing again.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And same question
12	comes to you then, the judge, you know, when he
13	instructs the jury is going to explain that the
14	prosecutor has to prove it beyond a reasonable doubt,
15	and that's why and, you know, and you have this
16	concern. So if you're sitting there and you say, you
17	know, I think he probably did it, I'm not sure if
18	it's beyond a reasonable doubt but I'm really
19	concerned about letting a killer go, you know, how
20	are you going to deal with that?
21	JUROR STOCKWELL: Hopefully all the
22	evidence will say, yeah, he did it, then I can make
23	my
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Hopefully what?
25	JUROR STOCKWELL: All the evidence will

1	say, yeah, he did it, and then I would be more
2	comfortable like, okay, convicting him, say, yeah, he
3	did it.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Some things aren't that
5	cut and dried though.
6	JUROR STOCKWELL: I know.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So what if you have to
8	deal with that situation, then how are you going to
9	deal with it?
10	JUROR STOCKWELL: Probably just something I
11	would have to deal with knowing I did this.
12	THE COURT: Miss O'Brien, same question,
13	you know, about the and if you need to me to
14	repeat the question, let me know.
15	JUROR O'BRIEN: Probably condemning an
16	innocent person.
17	THE COURT: That's a bigger concern for
18	you? Okay.
19	I'm going to go to the next row, start with Mr.
20	Eggers. I'm kind of zigzagging.
21	JUROR EGGERS: Definitely letting a
22	murderer back out on the street.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Is a greater concern?
24	JUROR EGGERS: Because obviously the
) E	prospection hasn't done its job

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And, you know, if
2	you feel that the you know, the law says you have
3	to find somebody guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, so
4	how do you handle that if you think someone has
5	probably done it or but it hasn't been proven
6	beyond a reasonable doubt and you're very concerned
7	about putting a killer back out on the street as you
8	said. How are you going to deal with that as a
9	juror?
10	JUROR EGGERS: The evidence that has been
11	placed in front of basically all of us, I mean we can
12	all talk about it in the jury room.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
14	JUROR EGGERS: And we come up with the best
15	scenario.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. Thank
17	you.
18	Mr. Van Dalen.
19	JUROR VAN DALEN: Dalen.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I knew I'd get something
21	wrong here. What about you?
22	JUROR VAN DALEN: More concerned with
23	putting an innocent man away.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You're more concerned
25	about that. And why is that?

1	JUROR VAN DALEN: Because if through the
2	trial we decide whether he was guilty or not, like
3	you said, without a reasonable doubt, so I would feel
4	confident I guess after having all the evidence on my
5	decision. I would hate to see somebody that's
6	innocent in jail for something they didn't do.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Maas.
8	JUROR MAAS: I would say I'm kind of 50/50
9	on it.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
11	JUROR MAAS: I haven't seen the facts,
12	haven't heard anything, but I would really hate to
13	put an innocent
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You would really hate to
15	what?
16	JUROR MAAS: Put an innocent person away.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And we don't talk about
18	the facts in jury selection, that's for when we get
19	to the trial, but, you know, I just it's a probing
20	question that needs to be asked.
21	So, Mr. Wells.
22	JUROR WELLS: I have a problem with both if
23	one of them was wrong.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right.
25	JUROR WELLS: I mean, I same with him,

1	I'd have to look at all the facts and decide. I'd
2	have a problem doing both, but I would sooner not put
3	an innocent away.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right.
5	Oh, I'm just blanking on your name. Meyer?
6	JUROR MEYER: Yes.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I knew I had it before.
8	JUROR MEYER: Convicting an innocent
9	person.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Pardon me?
11	JUROR MEYER: Convicting an innocent
12	person.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Miss Steffen?
14	JUROR STEFFEN: I think I would there
15	again, 50/50, but I wouldn't want to put a killer
16	back out in the street.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And that,
18	obviously, any human being would have that as a
19	legitimate concern, right, but what given that the
20	way the law is beyond a reasonable doubt, would do
21	you feel that that's like too high a burden given
22	your concerns?
23	JUROR STEFFEN: It's a big responsibility,
24	that's for sure.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes.

1	JUROR STEFFEN: But as a jury, I think we
2	do the best we can to listen to what you guys tell us
3	and we have to weigh it, and whatever decision we
4	make, that's the way it's got to be I guess.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
6	JUROR STEFFEN: Yeah. You wouldn't want to
7	put an innocent person away, very definitely, but
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. Thank
9	you very much for that.
10	Mr. Fleming.
11	JUROR FLEMING: Yeah. I would not be able
12	to put an innocent person away.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. Well none of us
14	want to do that.
15	JUROR FLEMING: No. My conscience, that's
16	my if I find out later on in life that
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So that's your greater
18	concern in sitting
19	JUROR FLEMING: My greater concern, yes.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Nieman?
21	JUROR NIEMAN: Convicting an innocent
22	person.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's a greater concern
24	for you.
25	Miss Hermus?

1	JUROR HERMUS: Convicting an innocent
2	person.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's a greater concern.
4	Okay. What about you, Miss Dey?
5	JUROR DEY: Convicting an innocent
6	person.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And, Mr. Van Deurzen?
8	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Van Deurzen, yeah.
9	Letting a guilty man go free.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And why is that?
11	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Like I say, you would
12	have to look at all the evidence and everything else,
13	but I just wouldn't want to see that happen again.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Schueller.
15	JUROR SCHUELLER: Well, I'd prefer to do
16	our job correctly and not have this happen, but I
17	think convicting an innocent person.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That's a greater worry
19	for you?
20	JUROR SCHUELLER: Yeah.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And so since it's
22	a great worry for you, you know, some of the same
23	questions I've said, judge says beyond a reasonable
24	doubt but you think, you know, I think he probably
25	did it but I'm just not sure, he maybe could be

1	innocent, just might be a small chance but he could
2	be, are you willing to vote not guilty under those
3	circumstances?
4	JUROR SCHUELLER: That's what we're here to
5	do, yes.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And Mr. Calmes?
7	JUROR CALMES: Convicting an innocent
8	person.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And last but not
10	least, Mr. Parker.
11	JUROR PARKER: Yeah. I'm torn just like
12	everybody else, but I I guess I got to side, for
13	me it would be the innocent man being convicted.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right.
15	So I'm going to and I want to thank you all
16	for really looking deep inside and answering that
17	because I think it's a really hard question to
18	answer.
19	I want to ask, you know, some other questions,
20	one of which I think is really important. I'm going
21	to go back to something that the prosecutor said
22	which she asked you about Making a Murderer, and some
23	of you watched it and some of you won't, and I don't
24	want to belabor the documentary, I only want to ask
2 =	really one question about it and I would like you to

1	answer how you feel about this, whether you watched
2	it, watched part of it, or just read about it in the
3	paper. How many of you feel that the documentary
4	Making a Murderer was unfair to law enforcement?
5	Okay. We have one gentleman.
6	And I I just want to say, you know, and my
7	condolences about knowing Miss Halbach, but I thought
8	you might feel that way given your real closeness to
9	this case. So this gentleman, and that's Mr. Van
10	Dalen?
11	JUROR VAN DALEN: Right.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And in the back I saw a
13	hand.
14	Okay. We'll go start in the back. Mr. Van
15	Deurzen?
16	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yup.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes. So you felt that it
18	was unfair to the police?
19	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Did you actually watch
21	it?
22	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: I watched some of it.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
24	Miss Dey?
25	JUROR DEY: I watched all of it.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Is your opinion it was
2	unfair to law enforcement?
3	JUROR DEY: Yeah. It was a lot
4	one-sided.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
6	And Miss Hermus?
7	JUROR HERMUS: I didn't.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Nieman?
9	JUROR NIEMAN: I watched a couple episodes,
10	and I thought it was one-sided.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: But in terms of
12	one-sidedness, did you feel it was unfair to the
13	police?
14	JUROR NIEMAN: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How about in the middle?
16	Okay. That's Mr. Wells?
17	JUROR WELLS: Yeah. I felt it was
18	one-sided. I watched the whole thing.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And unfair to the
20	police?
21	JUROR WELLS: Yeah.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Why is that?
23	JUROR WELLS: You didn't get both sides of
24	the story. I felt like there was some things missing
25	out. I felt that there was both sides had

Τ	arguments, but you didn't see one side of the
2	arguments in the documentary.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right.
4	Anybody else in that row?
5	(No response.)
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. The next row down?
7	Anybody else here feel that it was unfair to law
8	enforcement?
9	(No response.)
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I shouldn't even say the
11	police, because it was actually the Manitowoc County
12	Sheriff's Department who the documentary was critical
13	of, not the police department. But anybody okay.
14	How about the front row, anybody feel it was
15	unfair to law enforcement?
16	(No response.)
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Kind of coming off
18	of that topic, I'm going to ask one of the things,
19	and it was an issue in the Making of a Murderer case,
20	and I want to get away from anybody thinking about
21	whether or not Steven Avery was guilty or not.
22	That's not my purpose here at all. But one of the
23	things that the documentary focused about, and it's
24	been the subject of articles, is the interrogation
25	methods that the police used when they were

1	questioning Avery's nephew, Dassey, or just you may
2	know something about police interrogation methods
3	period. Is anybody here familiar with police
4	interrogation methods at all?
5	Yes. Mr. Schueller, what do you know about
6	those?
7	JUROR SCHUELLER: As a victimI was
8	interrogated; well, not interrogated but
9	questioned.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How did you feel about
11	that?
12	JUROR SCHUELLER: It was quite a lengthy
13	process.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: At any point were you
15	feeling like that you were being accused of
16	something?
17	JUROR SCHUELLER: Yes.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And when you felt that
19	way, how did what was your opinion of how that was
20	or how did you feel?
21	JUROR SCHUELLER: Uncomfortable.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And do you are
23	you do you think that's a good interrogation
24	method, bad interrogation method?
25	JUROR SCHUELLER: It got what was needed so

1	I I don't know either way.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Other people, did
3	anyone else raise their hands for that? Is anybody
4	else concerned about how the police interrogate
5	people?
6	Yes? I'm sorry. I should have mentioned your
7	name. Vandenberg?
8	JUROR VANDENBERG: Yes. I think that
9	people can feel pressured into saying something that
LO	they don't really believe.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. I saw another
12	hand. That's Miss Micke?
13	JUROR MICKE: Yeah. I had I don't have
L 4	any experience with it, I have based off of what I
15	saw.
L6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Can you talk up just a
L7	little louder?
L8	JUROR MICKE: I don't have experience with
L9	it, but based on what I saw through the Making a
20	Murderer, I wholeheartedly disagree with the way the
21	interrogation happens. I don't have any personal
22	experience with it though.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Why did you disagree with
24	it?
) F	TIIDOD MICKE. Bocause when they were

1	interrogating the boy, it was clear to to me it
2	was clear that his educational level, like he didn't
3	understand what they were talking to him about and he
4	should have had somebody there with him to explain
5	things.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Miss Elbe?
7	JUROR ELBE: Yeah. And my thoughts were
8	exactly what she said. He did not have anybody there
9	with him, and some of the documentation, I saw about
10	this much only, and what I saw of it was
11	horrendous.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
13	JUROR ELBE: He was not capable mentally
14	even to to be saying things or drawing things.
15	Un-hun.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. And I
17	want to ask you then, kind of still on this police,
18	you know, I want to focus on that right now, police
19	questioning, police interrogation, and I'm going to
20	ask a series of questions and try to shortcut this a
21	little bit. We'll actually, I have a bunch of
22	questions, but let me come back to police
23	interrogation.
24	I'm going to ask somebody to scale themselves on
25	one to seven, okay, one meaning you strongly agree

1	with what I'm saying, okay, seven being you strongly
2	disagree with what I'm saying. All right?
3	Everybody's got that? Okay. So if you're four,
4	you're kind of smack in the middle.
5	How many of you feel that you are a number one
6	and you strongly agree with the following statement:
7	Police are more believable as witnesses than
8	non-police.
9	If you're number one, raise your hand. Okay.
10	If you're a number two, raise your hand. All
11	those strongly agree.
12	If you're number three, raise your hand. You're
13	more on the agree side.
14	Okay. And so that would be let me just get
15	that. Mr. Parker and - I'm having these senior
16	moments - Mr. Calmes, Mr. Eggers. Now, see, when I
17	have to do this really fast I start failing. But I
18	have to I'm going to have to check the chart. Mr.
19	Fleming has his hand up. Okay. Nobody else in the
20	middle. Miss Lee, you have your hand up. Mr. Green,
21	you have your hand up. Mr. Webster, Mr. Buza. Okay.
22	So that you are all threes. I missed somebody. Mr.
23	Shea. Four. You're smack in the middle. You don't
24	strongly agree or you're completely neutral.
25	So the people who are completely neutral on this

1	are Miss Plamann, Miss Stockwell, Mr. Nichols, Mr.
2	Bloomer, Miss Steffen, Miss Meyer, Mr. Wells, Mr.
3	Maas, Mr. Van Dalen, Mr. Van Deurzen, Miss Dey, Miss
4	Hermus.
5	JUROR HERMUS: Hermus.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm getting it bad
7	because I'm thinking of that food hummus which I
8	really like. Sorry, I just couldn't help myself.
9	I'm probably getting hungry.
10	And Mr. Nieman.
11	Now we're going to keep going down the other
12	side of the scale. How many of you are a five? If
13	you're a five, raise your hand. That would be Miss
14	Micke and Miss Elbe and Mr. Schueller are fives.
15	If you're a six, okay, getting very close to
16	strongly disagree. That's Erickson. You're probably
17	going to hate me because I forgot your name three
18	times.
19	And a seven? Strongly disagree. Okay. And
20	that's Miss Vandenberg.
21	Okay. So how are you going to judge if police
22	have done a good investigation in a case? What kind
23	of things are you going to look for? Just think
24	about it for a second, take a second to think. What
25	Mr. Bloomer, you've got your hand up.

1	JUROR BLOOMER: Who, what, where, when and
2	why.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So if they've answered
4	those questions?
5	JUROR BLOOMER: If they answer those
6	thoroughly, you'll know, if it's possible.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Anybody else have any
8	ideas in the front two rows.
9	(No response.)
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How about in the back,
11	Miss Steffen? How would you decide?
12	JUROR STEFFEN: He said it very well, look
13	at all the evidence and then just I think he did a
14	good explanation.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Who thinks it's
16	important to have corroborating evidence to a witness
17	statement? Does anybody feel that that's important?
18	Okay. That would be Mr. Nichols. Why do you
19	think that?
20	JUROR NICHOLS: It just backs up his
21	story.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Shea?
23	JUROR SHEA: Makes a stronger case.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: In the back two rows.
25	That's Mr. Schueller?

1	JUROR SCHUELLER: The same reason, it gives
2	you a second backup.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Anybody else?
4	Yup. And Miss Elbe?
5	JUROR ELBE: Absolutely. You need to have
6	the basic facts, that backup, um-hum.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Buza, were you also
8	agreeing with that?
9	JUROR BUZA: Yes.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And, Mr. Calmes, why do
11	you think that?
12	JUROR CALMES: Just helps decide make a
13	decision.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Judge, can I
15	approach the bench?
16	THE COURT: You may.
17	(Bench conference.)
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How many of you actually
19	live in Appleton itself? Just raise your hand.
20	Okay. So most of you. I'm going to tell you,
21	without getting into the facts, that the level of the
22	quality of the police investigation is going to be an
23	issue in this case. It's going to be challenged by
24	the defense. I'm not going to get into any facts
25	beyond that, okay, but I'm just going to put that

1	right out there.
2	What I want to know is, because of that, does
3	anybody here who lives in Appleton and depends on the
4	Appleton Police Department as your police department
5	for protection, does that make you worried about
6	sitting on the jury where that might be questioned?
7	(No response.)
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And does anybody feel,
9	you know, I I would not feel comfortable ever
10	saying not guilty because the Appleton police might
11	think I'm questioning them and, you know, they're my
12	police department and I have to rely on them and
13	trust them? Is that a problem for anybody here?
14	(No response.)
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Has anybody ever
16	had an experience where you think police have done a
17	poor investigation of a crime? Whether you've been a
18	victim, somebody you know was arrested, just some
19	friends told you about it, doesn't really matter.
20	Has anybody ever felt that way about something you
21	know about or read about?
22	(No response.)
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No. No one has ever felt
24	that way. Okay. All right.
25	I'm going to go I'm going to come back to

1	some police issues, but I'm going to go to some other
2	things. Let's hit a light topic now after all this
3	heavy stuff.
4	Does anybody have any friends who are or
5	frenemies or people you know at work who are
6	braggers, who just like to boast a lot? Anybody know
7	people like that?
8	Now, if you all don't raise your hand, I'm going
9	to say that we're not living in the same world.
10	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: I'll admit it. I have
11	one.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And they don't have to be
13	your friend, it could be your coworker who you
14	couldn't stand.
15	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: It's a coworker.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. And are there
17	people who just brag a lot who you don't believe what
18	they say? Has anybody ever encountered that?
19	Let's okay. Yes, Mr. Nichols, you're raising
20	your hand.
21	JUROR NICHOLS: I work with a couple people
22	that always, they've done everything and they've done
23	it better.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. And they know it
2 E	211

1	JUROR NICHOLS: And they've got blogs to
2	prove it.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do they have book deals
4	too?
5	JUROR NICHOLS: I don't know. I didn't get
6	into that part.
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Anybody you know
8	see where people claim they have a lot more money
9	than they have?
LO	(No response.)
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No? Or drive fancy cars
12	or have more girlfriends or boyfriends? Yes. Has
L3	anybody known anybody who's ever bragged about
L 4	something that's not true but it's negative because
15	they want to impress somebody, like they're bragging
L6	like they're a big time criminal? I know this is
L 7	very odd, but has that ever have you ever run
L8	across that?
L9	(No response.)
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So fortunately you
21	haven't met those kind of people.
22	What I want to ask about that is do you believe
23	that there are people out there in this world who are
24	like that, who are just, you know, compulsively brag
) E	oven about doing had stuff but it's not true?

1	I hear I see people nodding their head. I'm
2	going to start in the back row.
3	Mr. Schueller, you said that
4	JUROR SCHUELLER: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You believe there are
6	people like that?
7	JUROR SCHUELLER: Yes.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Does anybody in the back
9	row believe there are never people like that?
10	Okay. Let's hit the second row. I saw several
11	of you nodding your head. Mr. Maas, you just raised
12	your hand.
13	JUROR MAAS: Yes.
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You know people to be
15	like that?
16	JUROR MAAS: Oh, yeah.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: It's pretty unusual but
18	it exists. And, let's see, who else was nodding
19	their head? Mr. Eggers, you were?
20	JUROR EGGERS: Yeah, I would think there is
21	people out there like that.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And Mr. Van Dalen?
23	JUROR VAN DALEN: Dalen.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Finally.
25	JUROR VAN DALEN: Yeah. Definitely I would

1	think that.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Again in this row, do any
3	of you believe there are people not like that, I mean
4	even crazy stuff like claiming they killed somebody
5	when they didn't or claiming they committed some
6	other horrible crime when they didn't do it?
7	(No response.)
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. What about ir
9	the next row? Anybody feel that there are just not
10	people like that in this world?
11	(No response.)
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Front row?
13	(No response.)
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Now, in this case
15	just a second. Just kind of look at my notes here
16	real quickly, because of course I didn't ask the
17	questions in order that I wrote them down. I mean I
18	couldn't probably do something that logical.
19	Do you think men ever brag more to women? Does
20	anybody think that? Yes? No?
21	(No response.)
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You know one of my
23	friends was saying, yeah, but they don't realize that
24	women don't fall for that stuff. Anybody disagree
25	with that?

Page 320 of 373

1	(No response.)
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Now, you're going
3	to hear a lot of testimony and the prosecutor
4	referred to that about things that Chong Lee said.
5	It's going to be part of the evidence in this case.
6	Okay? You're going to hear some phone calls and
7	you're going to, you know, maybe letters, things like
8	that were written and oral statements. And it's
9	going to be up to you as jurors to decide, just
10	because somebody said something, is it believable or
11	not. Does anybody have a problem with that?
12	(No response.)
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And is anybody
14	afraid to have to make that decision?
15	(No response.)
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. And is it
17	important to you that there be corroborating evidence
18	even of bragging or statements that people make on a
19	telephone or in a letter? Is that important to you?
20	Is there any let me flip that. Is there anybody
21	who it's not important to?
22	(No response.)
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Will anybody here
24	say, you know what, just because somebody made
25	statements on a phone and, you know, it could mean if

1	they made certain statements on a phone they might be
2	guilty of one thing but not another. Okay? So there
3	is a lot of, you know, you heard the charges read
4	when you walked in the courtroom, right, and you all
5	know that there are a lot of different charges here,
6	they're not all the same, and you're going to have to
7	make individual decisions as a group, I mean you're
8	going to make a group decision but you're going to
9	have to decide on each and every count separately.
10	All right?
11	So I want to ask you if you feel, you know, I've
12	heard these statements on the phone about witnesses
13	and can you independently judge from those statements
14	whether or not somebody has been proven guilty beyond
15	a reasonable doubt of killing somebody or do you
16	think, well, this person is guilty of one, they must
17	be guilty of the other? How many of you think if
18	they're guilty of one, you know, or more of the
19	counts they must be guilty of all of the counts? Is
20	there anybody who feels that way? And, again, it's
21	okay if you feel that way, we just want to know.
22	(No response.)
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Some of you have
24	talked about scientific evidence. Is scientific
25	evidence important in your opinion? Yes? Yes.

1	And Mr. Shea?
2	JUROR SHEA: It's certainly helpful.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yeah. It's not always
4	available but it's certainly helpful.
5	Is it important that the police do everything
6	early in an investigation to get whatever scientific
7	or physical evidence might be available right from
8	the beginning?
9	Okay. How many of you agree with the statement
10	time is of the essence in an investigation? Is there
11	anybody who disagrees with that?
12	(No response.)
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Has anybody here ever
14	said something out of frustration, you know, or let's
15	like you or somebody you know, let's say that,
16	have you ever have any of you said something out
17	of frustration or anger or being upset that you
18	really didn't mean? Like, okay, like I'd like to
19	kill my husband, or let's try something milder,
20	you're still married, I'd like a divorce. Okay? I
21	mean have there ever been where you have verbalized
22	thoughts or know people who verbalize thoughts even
23	though you don't mean it, you don't want to kill
24	them, you love them, or you don't want a divorce, you
25	want to commit to your marriage, you know, but has

1	anybody ever been in a situation like that where you
2	have said things because you're frustrated but you
3	may not mean them?
4	(No response.)
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And has anybody
6	not ever been in a situation like that? Or not know
7	people like that?
8	(No response.)
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: It's okay if you haven't
10	done it. We won't think you're odd or anything.
11	(No response.)
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. Just
13	thought I'd ask.
14	So, as I've said, you know, you're going to hear
15	a lot of things that Chong Lee said over the phone,
16	and they may not be the way you personally would
17	react, they can be different, and how do you feel
18	about people who do things or say things differently
19	than you do in your life?
20	(No response.)
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Nobody wants to
22	raise their hand right now. Which is okay. You guys
23	getting tired?
24	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Um-hum.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We're only going to go a

1	few more minutes before break. That's what I was
2	asking the judge about before.
3	Mr. Schueller.
4	JUROR SCHUELLER: I manage 30 plus people
5	with all different attitudes and everything, so I
6	understand people have different personalities and
7	it's just the way the world works.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Anybody else want
9	to comment on that?
10	(No response.)
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Will you find Chong Lee
12	guilty of a murder charge just because he reacted
13	differently than you might react? That's what I
14	really want to know. Okay? Because I know that we
15	each think, you know what, if it was me, I would do
16	this, I would react that way, but we all know
17	different people react differently. Is there anybody
18	who feels, and it's perfectly fine if you do, he
19	didn't react the way I would react or my mother would
20	react or my husband would react or wife, and
21	therefore I'm going to I think he's guilty just
22	because of that fact alone. Anybody?
23	(No response.)
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. How many of you
25	know a person who was convicted of a felony?

1	Okay. You can just raise your hands for a
2	minute. So we have one gentleman in the back two
3	people. That's Mr. Schueller and Mr. Van Deurzen in
4	the back, you two know people convicted of a felony.
5	Mr. Wells. Anybody else in the second row? Mr.
6	Maas, Mr. Fleming. Right. Did I get that one right
7	this time? Okay. That's Miss Stockwell, Mr.
8	Nichols, Miss Micke, Miss Erickson. And Miss
9	Vandenberg and Miss Plamann. Okay. So all of you
10	know people who have been convicted of a felony.
11	Is the fact that somebody has been previously
12	convicted of a felony, do you feel that if they are
13	accused of a different crime at a later date that
14	that means they're guilty because they committed a
15	felony once before? Does anybody feel that way?
16	(No response.)
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm just going to come
18	straight out and say it. You're going to hear one of
19	the charges that Mr. Lee is accused of is possession
20	of a firearm by a convicted felon. And we agree
21	we are going to agree, we're not going to contest the
22	fact that at a point in his life in the past he was
23	convicted of a felony. I'm just going to put that
24	right out there. All right? Now that I've said
25	that, you know, with all these things we've been

1	talking about, is there anybody who says, you know
2	what, this guy was convicted of a felony before and
3	therefore I think he is guilty of what he's being
4	accused of in this case?
5	(No response.)
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You know, meaning murder,
7	first and foremost. So is there anybody if you
8	think it, it's okay, we just want to know. Okay?
9	Does the fact that I have told you this now,
10	that he's been convicted of a felony, change your
11	opinion at all about any of the things that we've
12	talked about in this trial so far.
13	(No response.)
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No? Doesn't make any
15	difference to you?
16	Then I guess the next question I have is about
17	Mr. Lee and whether or not he testifies in this case.
18	Okay? And, you know, the judge will instruct you,
19	and again, I'm not trying to be the judge, but I
20	think we all know that an accused person has a right
21	to not have to take the stand. Right? Can anybody
22	here think of a reason why an innocent person would
23	not want to testify in the case?
24	JUROR O'BRIEN: They're scared.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That is Miss O'Brien says

1	they're scared. I have to repeat your name for the
2	court reporter. Miss O'Brien says because they're
3	scared.
4	What's another reason that people can think of?
5	JUROR PARKER: Communication skills.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm sorry. Mr. Parker?
7	JUROR PARKER: Communication skills.
8	JUROR WELLS: Get nervous, stumble over
9	words.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Wells says that.
11	Okay. Anybody else? Can anybody else think of
12	a reason an innocent person might not want to take
13	the stand?
14	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Schueller?
15	JUROR SCHUELLER: Don't want any inferences
16	on what he says for someone to take the wrong point
17	of it.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Bloomer, you
19	raised your hand?
20	JUROR BLOOMER: Cross-examination can
21	create a lot of doubt.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Cross-examination.
23	Miss Micke, you raised your hand?
24	JUROR MICKE: I was just going to say
) E	ATTORNEY VICHNY. I really do need you to

1	talk up.
2	JUROR MICKE: Sorry. He could say
3	something that could potentially make him look guilty
4	when he's not.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That could make him look
6	guilty even if he's not.
7	JUROR MICKE: Yes.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Anybody else?
9	JUROR ELBE: I think
L 0	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I'm sorry, I have to put
L1	your name down for the record. Elbe?
12	JUROR ELBE: Yup.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
L 4	JUROR ELBE: When when you get up there
15	to give your information, the nervousness alone can
16	put you into mentally trying to figure out what I'm
L 7	going to say and say it correctly, and so it could
L8	come across wrong even though they're saying or
19	trying very hard to give that information, it can
20	just be turned around in the wrong way.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Does anybody know
22	anyone first, can anybody any other reasons
23	anyone can think of?
24	(No response.)
) 5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. Does anybody

1	know anyone who might not want to testify because
2	they don't want to point the finger at another
3	person?
4	(No response.)
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Now , I'm going
6	to flip this question. Who here thinks that if he
7	doesn't take the stand and doesn't want to testify
8	that if people don't take the stand it means they're
9	guilty and that's the reason why they don't get up
10	there and tell their story? Nobody thinks that?
11	(No response.)
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Did any of you walk in
13	the courtroom today and say, gee, I wonder what Chong
14	Lee is innocent of?
15	(No response.)
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Not one of you has
17	raised your hand. And if you did raise your hand, I
18	would think there was something really unusual going
19	on here because that's just not how we think. We
20	wonder what did this guy do. All right? So is it
21	fair that we all kind of wondered and said, you know,
22	what's he here for, or you learned pretty quickly
23	what it was all about, but, you know, if I'm there
24	is an accused person, what did he do?
) E	INTREMETED THROD. Hm-hum

ATTORNEY VISHNY: So we've got that out of
the way. We all think like that, right? I pick up
the newspaper, I think the same thing as everyone
else thinks, right? So, thinking that and knowing
that you walked in here saying that and, you know,
does that at all affect you thinking, well, gee, if
he didn't take the stand then he must be a guilty
person?
(No response.)
ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Or, you know, how
do you feel then about, well, I came in here, I
wondered what he did, some people like say where
there's smoke there's fire, it's like a super old
cliche, and then so therefore, you know, I'm not
sure, or now that you know that the prosecutor has to
prove this to you beyond a reasonable doubt, the
burden of proof is completely on the prosecution
side, the defense doesn't have to question witnesses,
but believe me we will, okay, we don't have to call
witnesses but we will call somebody, okay, does
anybody say, you know, well, I walked in thinking,
you know, he's probably guilty, he probably did
something, and now this lawyer is here saying, well
they've got to prove it beyond a reasonable doubt and

I'm committed to not finding somebody guilty. Does

1	that cause any problems for anyone but
2	JUROR ELBE: That's
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Miss Elbe?
4	JUROR ELBE: That's where we come right
5	back around, we just came right back around, we're
6	back to this. We need to hear what happened, where
7	they were, what was going on, we need facts.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right.
9	JUROR ELBE: That's what we need. We went
LO	right around to go back to let's get the facts on
11	this, what happened. We need that.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. And that's why
13	we're all sitting here.
L 4	JUROR ELBE: Um-hum.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And I would be really
L 6	worried if you didn't need facts. Okay? So anybody
L7	have any disagreements with that?
L8	(No response.)
L9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Does anybody think it's
20	just really not fair that the prosecution has to
21	prove a case beyond a reasonable doubt? That just
22	it shouldn't be like that, it should be like more
23	likely than not, you know, because this is really
24	different, right, this is a lot more?
) 5	How many of you are Dackers fans? Okay How

1	many of you are not Packer fans? Okay. Well I
2	didn't become one. I grew up in a different state,
3	but I did become a Wisconsinite so it's like the old
4	football thing, you have to carry the ball all the
5	way down the goal line. That's kind of like what
6	beyond a reasonable doubt is. Does anybody say, you
7	know, that's just not right, that's not fair?
8	(No response.)
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Anybody have a problem
LO	with that?
L1	JUROR NICHOLS: I said everyone who watches
L2	football.
L3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Nichols. Yes.
L 4	Everybody does watch football, but, you know, but
L5	we're not really here to watch football. We started
L6	out with the Super Bowl and the halftime show, but
L 7	what about, you know, kind of I'm just using it as
L8	a pretty bad analogy for reasonable doubt, you know,
L9	pretty bad, pretty cliche, I'll totally cop to that,
20	so but but I'm really trying to explore your
21	views. You know, for those of you who said you're
22	more concerned, you know, you're more concerned about
23	whether or not a guilty person would go free, you
24	know, and what could the ramifications of that be,
) 5	you know, again. I'm kind of coming back in many ways

1	to that question, is this a problem. I don't want to
2	beat a dead horse, but it's something I got to be
3	sure about. Okay.
4	Judge, I'm kind of at a natural point where I
5	would completely change topics. I don't have that
6	much left, but I do have some other topics.
7	THE COURT: Sure. And we are it may not
8	be here quite yet. We are providing we have some
9	pizzas that are being brought in for you so we will
10	have that provided you in a moment. It will be in
11	the Branch III jury room, which is where we had
12	initially met, and so at this time why don't we begin
13	our break with the understanding pizza may not quite
14	be here yet, and then let's reconvene at let's say
15	6:15 six.
16	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Everybody stay in the
17	building?
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And I don't have that
19	much more left. I just wanted to let you know that.
20	THE COURT: We'll reconvene at 6:00.
21	(Court in recess.)
22	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny, whenever you
23	are ready, please resume your questioning.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	Okay. I don't have too much more, but you were

1	people were asked earlier whether you speak Hmong,
2	and nobody here does who is in this group of people
3	here. Do any of you speak a language other than
4	English?
5	(No response.)
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No. Okay.
7	And does anybody here feel that English should
8	be the official language of the United States? Okay.
9	So I'm going to start in the back, and I think I $$
10	Mr. Parker?
11	JUROR PARKER: Um-hum.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes. So, I mean, it kind
13	of is in a way anyway, but we're talking about
14	whether there should be a law passed. Why do you
15	feel that way?
16	JUROR PARKER: I guess that's just what I
17	grew up with and that's what I know it's changed
18	now and there is a lot of diversification in this
19	area too, but I just believe that if you live here
20	you should learn English and try to speak that
21	language.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How do you feel when
23	people are speaking in another language?
24	JUROR PARKER: I don't know. I I don't
2.5	want to say it bothors mo I just don't know what

25

1	they're saying. Like if I'm in line at the grocery
2	store and I hear something, you kind of wonder what
3	they're talking about, but I can't say it bothers me,
4	but I guess deep down I wish that everybody would
5	learn English and if they're living here.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Calmes, did
7	you raise your hand?
8	JUROR CALMES: I did.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Why don't you tell
10	me how you feel.
11	JUROR CALMES: About the English language?
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Well, we all love English
13	because we all speak it here, but, you know, it's all
14	of our language of course, but about would you
15	whether you think it should be the official language
16	or how you feel when you hear people speaking in a
17	different language, whether it's Hmong, Spanish?
18	JUROR CALMES: Doesn't bother me at all.
19	English language is what I'm accustomed to because
20	that's what's on TV, I guess, but it doesn't bother
21	${\tt me.}$
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Did anybody else raise
23	their hand in the back row? Yes? Okay. Mr. Van
24	Deurzen.

JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Why don't you tell
2	us what you think.
3	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: It's because we're in
4	America and that's what we speak here so I think
5	everybody should speak it.
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do you feel negative when
7	you hear people speaking in a foreign language?
8	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: I don't know if I feel
9	negative, you can do what you want, but it should be
10	the official language.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Miss Dey.
12	JUROR DEY: I feel it should be because if
13	you went and lived in their country, you would learn
14	to speak their language if you stayed there, so I
15	feel it should be.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do you feel negative when
17	you hear people speaking in a foreign language?
18	JUROR DEY: I guess it depends on their
19	body actions and stuff like that.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Their body actions?
21	JUROR DEY: Like how they're acting at the
22	time. Like if they're looking at you or something,
23	it might make you wonder if they're talking about you
24	or something.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Are you suspicious when

1	you near people speaking in a foreign language?
2	JUROR DEY: Not necessarily. It depends on
3	the situation.
4	THE COURT: Next row. Was there anybody
5	who raised their hand? All right. Mr. Eggers. Go
6	ahead.
7	JUROR EGGERS: English should be the
8	official language.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: I guess I won't ask you
10	that, because if you don't think that, what I want to
11	ask is how do you feel about when you hear speaking
12	in a foreign language?
13	JUROR EGGERS: Doesn't really bother me. I
14	can live with it.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Van Dalen?
16	JUROR VAN DALEN: Doesn't bother me when I
17	hear other languages, I just think they should
18	other cultures should learn English if they're going
19	to come and live in America.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Did anybody else raise
21	their hand? Miss Meyer.
22	JUROR MEYER: Yes. It doesn't bother me at
23	all, I just think it's better if they could learn it
24	so that we could communicate, understand the
25	English.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Anybody else in that row?
2	Okay. Mr. Shea.
3	JUROR SHEA: I don't think there is any
4	reason to make it a law or just if you want to get
5	a job and live in America, eventually you get around
6	to learning the language and it happens and it
7	happened 20 years ago, 150 years ago, hundred years
8	ago, Germans start speaking English. It's going to
9	happen. We don't need a law.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Anybody else in that row?
11	Mr. Green?
12	JUROR GREEN: I think they should learn
13	English, but I don't care if they speak a different
14	language.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Don't bother you.
16	JUROR GREEN: No.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Nichols?
18	JUROR NICHOLS: I agree with them. I don't
19	really have a problem with them as long as they're
20	not like body language kind of insinuating they're
21	talking about you.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: That was the only way you
23	feel offended by someone speaking a different
24	language.
25	Miss O'Brien.

1	JUROR O'BRIEN: I don't feel offended if
2	they're speaking a different language but by coming
3	here I think they should take the effort to learn the
4	English language.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: In the front row, anybody
6	raise their hand?
7	(No response.)
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No. All right. So how
9	many of you are friends with someone who is of a
10	different race or ethnicity than yourself?
11	Okay. Quite a few of you.
12	Are there people here on this jury panel who are
13	friends with people who are Asian or Hmong?
14	Okay. So there are several people who are.
15	Is there anybody here who feels resentful, like
16	Hmong people, you know, they come to this country,
17	they don't follow our ways, they're you know, they
18	live differently, they talk in a strange language,
19	they eat different food, you know, anybody feel that
20	way at all?
21	Again, there's nothing wrong, nobody is judging
22	you, but if you feel that way, we just need to know
23	about it now. Is there anyone who feels that way?
24	(No response.)
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Is there anyone who feels

1	negative about Hmong people, like the people have
2	large families, they live lots of people in one
3	house, you know, people don't have jobs, anything
4	like that?
5	(No response.)
6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. Has anybody
7	here ever been involved in helping Hmong refugees
8	settle in the United States through your church or
9	civics group?
10	(No response.)
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Does anybody here feel
12	that you are familiar with traditional Hmong cultural
13	or religious beliefs, ever learn anything about it?
14	Curious about it?
15	Yes? Mr. Van Dalen.
16	JUROR VAN DALEN: Yeah. I'm curious,
17	interested about it, yeah.
18	THE COURT: Anybody else? Miss Plamann?
19	JUROR PLAMANN: A friend in high school, I
20	interviewed him for a paper and a work-up that
21	learned about his culture and his background. He's
22	Hmong.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Miss Vandenberg,
24	you were going to say something.
25	JUROR VANDENBERG: Yeah. Just interested I

1	guess. No problem learning about different
2	cultures.
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Miss Erickson, you raised
4	your hand?
5	JUROR ERICKSON: I just talk to my friends
6	about random stuff about that. Just a little
7	little bit different than that from various talks,
8	nothing too deep.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Immigration has
10	changed our communities, and it's probably changed
11	Appleton just like it's changed other communities,
12	whether immigrants are from a Latin American country
13	or from an Asian country. Is there anybody who feels
14	negative about this and thinks it was better when it
15	was the old days and there weren't a lot of
16	immigrants here?
17	(No response.)
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: On the flip side, does
19	anybody think, you know, this is better, it's a more
20	diverse community, I like it more?
21	Yes. Mr. Schueller?
22	JUROR SCHUELLER: I like it. That's what
23	the country is formed on.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Miss Vandenberg?
25	JUROR VANDENBERG: I agree.

Τ	ATTORNET VISHNI: Okay. AND Mr I III
2	losing it now.
3	JUROR FLEMING: Fleming. I agree. Because
4	nationalities are sometimes I think (unintelligible).
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Anyone else wanted to
6	raise their hand? Yes, Miss Stockwell?
7	JUROR STOCKWELL: I think it's interesting
8	having like Hmongs and Mexicans and they can meet new
9	kind of people and learn their cultures and
10	traditions and stuff.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Anybody else?
12	Yes, Miss Erickson?
13	JUROR ERICKSON: I'm just used to it
14	growing up with it.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Growing up in a more
16	diverse society?
17	JUROR ERICKSON: Yeah. If you're younger,
18	it's just how you grew up.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right.
20	Now, there's five people here, I'm going to go
21	back to asking questions, and I'm going to ask the
22	five of you some specific questions because of what
23	you identified about yourself when it came to whether
24	the Making a Murderer documentary was overly critical
25	of the police. I don't want to talk about the

1	documentary at all. At all. What I want to talk
2	about is the fact that in the defense in this case
3	there's going to be criticism from the defense about
4	how the police investigated this case, and you may,
5	after feeling after watching that documentary,
6	think, you know what, they're just doing what the
7	defense did in the Making a Murderer case, you know,
8	they're just criticizing the police. And I will tell
9	you there is even going to be somebody who is an
10	expert in police practices who is going to come and
11	talk about police practices in this trial. So I'm
12	going to ask, Mr. Nieman, you're one of the people
13	who had raised your hand about that, and I $$ I just
14	want your honest answer. Do you feel it's unfair
15	that part of the defense in this case is going to be
16	critical of the investigation methods of the Appleton
17	Police Department?
18	JUROR NIEMAN: No.
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And, you know, do
20	you do you think it's appropriate to question
21	investigation methods?
22	JUROR NIEMAN: If the defense feels
23	necessary, yes.
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And is your
25	opinion when you hear that, oh, my God, that's just a

lot of hooey, they're just blowing smoke, or is that
something you think should be seriously questioned in
terms of whether or not the right person is on trial
here today?
JUROR NIEMAN: It depends on the evidence
and the facts.
ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
JUROR NIEMAN: As to what you're trying to
point out.
ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
Miss Dey, I think you were one of the people who
had raised your hand to that question too, and I have
the same questions to you. This is what's going to
happen in this trial. There is going to be some
pretty aggressive questioning here about the methods
that the Appleton Police Department used, including,
you know, some critique of it, and the purpose of
that isn't to, again, put anybody down but to talk
about whether or not there in fact whether the
right person is sitting here at the defense table and
on trial. How do you feel about that?
JUROR DEY: Can you restate the question?
ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes. Okay. So when I
talked to you about the documentary, you said you

thought it was unfair to law enforcement.

1	JUROR DEY: Um-hum.
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And just, you know,
3	nobody here is saying anybody planted evidence or is
4	lying, but there's going to be some vigorous
5	criticism of how this case was investigated and
6	whether it has led to a person who is innocent being
7	prosecuted. Okay? That's what's going to be talked
8	about in this trial. And, you know, given and I
9	kind of use the documentary not to talk about that
10	but as kind of a weather vane or a way to measure
11	your feelings on that. Okay? So given what you
12	said, are you feeling like, you know, that's not
13	that's not fair or they're just blowing smoke? Do
14	you understand what I'm saying?
15	JUROR DEY: Yeah. I'm I could I
16	guess I could be fair. It depends on the evidence
17	that is presented and the facts and stuff.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Is it appropriate to
19	question police and the way they question people, the
20	way they interrogate people?
21	JUROR DEY: Sure. Yes.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Does it concern
23	you at all that the way police interrogate somebody
24	might get false statements?
25	JUROR DEY: If the evidence shows that.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Mr. Van Deurzen,
2	same I think you're also one of the people who
3	raised your hand for that question.
4	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So why don't you
6	tell me, do you need me to rephrase my question or
7	are you prepared to comment?
8	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: No. I think it's fair
9	to question police actions.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay.
11	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: And the way they do
12	things.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And if you're
14	concerned about those tactics, would that lead you to
15	concern about whether a prosecution has proved its
16	case beyond a reasonable doubt?
17	JUROR VAN DEURZEN: I think the facts will
18	come out that if they show that they used wrong
19	tactics or whatever that we'll see that.
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Let's see. The
21	other people, I think it was Mr. Wells, you had
22	raised your hand for that too. Okay? I have kind of
23	the same questions. How do you feel about the fact
24	that this is going to be an issue in this trial, how
25	the Appleton Police Department investigated this case

1	and whether they went about it the wrong way?
2	JUROR WELLS: I'm fine with that, just make
3	sure they did their job correctly.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Do you think that
5	that is a proper thing for us to be asking in our
6	defense here?
7	JUROR WELLS: Yes, I do. If that's what
8	makes your case, I you have to do what has to be
9	done if that's the way you prove your innocence,
LO	beyond a reasonable doubt for the prosecutor, you can
11	use that as a
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: If you have doubts about
13	the methods that they used, will that impact how
L 4	you're going to decide the case?
15	JUROR WELLS: Yes.
L6	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And, Mr. Van
L7	Dalen, same questions. You're the other person. I
L8	think I've got everybody now when I come around to
L9	you.
20	JUROR VAN DALEN: I wouldn't have a problem
21	with it as long as law enforcement is here to defend
22	themselves. With the documentary I don't think law
23	enforcement had a chance to defend themselves, it was
24	all one-sided.
) E	ATTODNEY WIGHNY. Obay, So it was more

Τ	about the documentary as opposed to
2	JUROR VAN DALEN: That was the beginning of
3	your question. That's why I brought that up.
4	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. So,
5	you know, it comes down to that, and I'm going to
6	tell you that we are calling a witness who is I'm
7	not going to get very deeply into it, but it is a
8	retired detective who is a consultant from out of
9	state to talk about the methods that were used here,
LO	and without getting into the substance, I you
L1	know, I'm just going to be frank that, you know, this
L2	is the defense here, and does anybody feel, you know
L3	what, I don't want to hear that? I think it's
L 4	unfair, you know, I think the police did the best
L5	they could and they got the right guy and I'm going
L6	to find him guilty? Does anybody feel that way at
L 7	this point?
L8	(No response.)
L9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And because we are
20	raising this, does anybody feel, you know what, I
21	don't think anybody should be on this jury? If it
22	was my loved one I want to ask you all to put
23	yourself in this situation. If your loved one were
24	on trial today, is there any reason why you would
2.5	think you would not want somebody who thinks about

1	these issues we've talked about to be on the jury?
2	Is that question clear enough for people? Because if
3	it's not, I can clarify it. I know it's a little
4	complicated. If my brother, my sister, my son, my
5	daughter was on trial and a juror walked in kind of
6	thinking the way I'm thinking, I would not be
7	comfortable with that juror sitting on my loved one's
8	case. Is there anybody who feels that way and feels
9	that because of it you probably cannot be fair to Mr.
10	Lee in this trial and that it would just be better if
11	you didn't sit on it?
12	(No response.)
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Anybody at all.
14	JUROR O'BRIEN: I don't really
15	understand.
16	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Understand the question.
17	JUROR O'BRIEN: Yeah.
18	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Is there anyone else who
19	doesn't understand the question?
20	(No response.)
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. What I'm asking
22	you is this. You've come in, you have a certain set
23	of beliefs, they're not right or wrong. And if
24	somebody you know is accused of a serious crime, like
25	murder, like what we have here, would you be

1	comfortable with someone who has your beliefs and
2	your thoughts walking into the courtroom making a
3	decision whether your loved one was guilty or not
4	guilty? Did I clarify that?
5	JUROR O'BRIEN: No. Maybe I'm just really
6	slow but I'm not understanding.
7	JUROR NICHOLS: I have a question. We
8	don't know everything, we don't know the evidence, so
9	it's hard to know what I feel coming in without
10	hearing all the evidence.
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And I'm not asking what
12	you feel about this case, what I'm saying is we all
13	we just we walk through life, we are the
14	product of our experiences, right? We have certain
15	beliefs. I have certain beliefs, you have certain
16	beliefs, right? Each one of you go into the voting
17	booth and in the privacy of the booth you pull the
18	lever or mark the thing for who you think is right to
19	lead the country, right? And I'm not getting in or
20	asking you about that by the way. Not at all. But
21	you do. And what I'm saying is, given the kind of
22	beliefs you have, walking into a courtroom, seeing
23	the gentleman here who has been accused, seeing the
24	lawyers, the judge, knowing the police are going to
25	testify, that they spent a lot of time investigating,

1	that it's being criticized, do you think your set of
2	beliefs, if it was your someone you loved who was
3	on trial, do you think you would be a fair juror if
4	they were a stranger? You know, somebody with your
5	beliefs would be fair to your loved one. Does that
6	help clear it up for you?
7	JUROR O'BRIEN: Yeah.
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. I'm
9	going to take two people have their hands up. One
10	was first, Mr. Nichols, and I do see you, Mr. Eggers.
11	So, Mr. Nichols.
12	JUROR NICHOLS: You keep talking about if
13	we could take and make a verdict off of the evidence
14	if it was improperly handled. Now that raises a
15	question, if it's improperly handled, is it valid,
16	can it even be provided in court then?
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: If things aren't allowed
18	in court, then you never hear about them. Right.
19	You only hear about things that are allowed in
20	court.
21	JUROR NICHOLS: Exactly. That's why I was
22	wondering. If there is evidence provided, this
23	should be substantiated and not just something that's
24	hearsay.
25	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. Yeah. You have

1	to
2	JUROR NICHOLS: So you should be able to
3	take that evidence and make a verdict according to
4	it.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. Anything that
6	you're going to hear, it's proper, it belongs in a
7	courtroom, it's just a matter of how you judge it.
8	JUROR NICHOLS: Right.
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So did I answer your
10	question?
11	JUROR NICHOLS: Yes.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Did you have any other
13	comments on that?
14	JUROR NICHOLS: No.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Mr. Eggers, you had your
16	hand up before.
17	JUROR EGGERS: When I walked into this
18	courtroom, it's not my intention to get out of this
19	chosen jury field here, but what you stated earlier
20	was that he is a convicted felon already.
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes.
22	JUROR EGGERS: I'm not against a felon
23	getting a second chance, but he's here again, so it's
24	kind of like a pattern which gives me a little bit of
25	a gray area.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. So my question is,
2	you know and I'm really glad you brought this up.
3	Thank you very much for doing that. You know,
4	because of that fact, do you feel, you know what, I
5	really think he's guilty and I just think it would be
6	better if I didn't judge this trial because I'm
7	biased given that fact? There is nothing wrong with
8	that answer, I just want to know what you think.
9	JUROR EGGERS: I'm torn.
LO	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. And
L1	that's okay to be torn, but do you think under that
L2	let me just say this. Okay? You know judge's
L3	give instructions, they talk about being fair, but if
L 4	you say, you know what, he's a felon, did something
L5	before, something I mean there is lots of things
L6	that can be felonies from minor to major, right?
L 7	JUROR EGGERS: Yes.
L8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: So did something before
L9	so, you know, here's this guy again and, you know, I
20	really think he's guilty and it just it just
21	really influences me to think he's guilty of these
22	charges, you know, murder, having a gun when he
23	shouldn't have, you know, intimidation of witnesses,
24	et cetera, et cetera. Is it better that you not sit
2.5	on this jury because of that? I mean, let me ask

1	this. Is it a strongly held belief that somebody who
2	has done something wrong in the past is more likely
3	to do something wrong in the future?
4	JUROR EGGERS: No.
5	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And is it your
6	belief that because you know this person has done
7	something wrong in the past in their life that they
8	are more likely to be guilty?
9	JUROR EGGERS: Yes.
10	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Given that that's your
11	belief, do you think, since you believe that, I'm not
12	going to be able to change your mind, right? And I'm
13	not trying to, by the way.
14	JUROR EGGERS: No. You won't.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right.
16	JUROR EGGERS: I'm sorry. You won't.
17	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You won't. Okay. Good.
18	I like that. I like strong people. You know, nobody
19	is trying to change your mind or anything, but given
20	that that is your belief, is it better do you
21	think it's more fair to Mr. Lee given that you think
22	that that perhaps you not be on this jury?
23	JUROR EGGERS: I'm leaning to that fact. I
24	want to be here, but I'm leaning towards that fact
25	that I might not be able to be fair.

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. And, you know, so
2	what everybody is out after here is a fair trial.
3	Okay? We don't know what the result is going to be,
4	but everybody wants a fair trial here. So and
5	that's why we ask all these questions. And I bet you
6	if you guys are feeling like, I mean it's getting
7	pretty tedious, right, and late and but, you know,
8	if do you feel that because of that that it's just
9	something you can't be fair and it's better you not
10	sit on the jury? I mean, it's okay to say that.
11	Nobody is going to think badly of you.
12	JUROR EGGERS: Like I said, I'm torn right
13	now between both sides. I want to and yet there's
14	always that doubt in my mind now that seems to be a
15	pattern.
16	THE COURT: Let me ask this, Mr. Eggers.
17	At the end of the day, the the big question is
18	unfortunately, you haven't heard any evidence so it's
19	difficult to really come to concrete conclusions, but
20	at the end of the day do you believe that you would
21	be able to, notwithstanding any preconceived ideas
22	you may have, to set that aside and to say I will
23	judge this case based just on the evidence as I hear
24	it?
25	JUROR EGGERS: Yes.

1	THE COURT: And do you believe that you
2	would be able to, if the evidence showed that a
3	verdict of not guilty was appropriate, could you
4	render a not guilty verdict?
5	JUROR EGGERS: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And vice versa, if the evidence
7	showed the other the other way, would you be able to
8	render a guilty verdict?
9	JUROR EGGERS: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny, you may
11	continue.
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. Does anybody have
13	the same concerns that Mr. Eggers has raised?
14	(No response.)
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. Let me move
16	on to a different question then. And it's totally
17	different area.
18	How many of you think sometimes maybe the police
19	might pick on somebody because they do have a prior
20	felony conviction and make assumptions that that
21	means somebody's guilty?
22	Okay. Miss Micke, you think that. Miss
23	O'Brien, you think that. Mr. Parker, you think that.
24	Anybody who says, nah, that never happens?
25	(No response.)

1	ATTORNEY VISHNY: How many of you have ever
2	heard that people give false confessions?
3	Okay. And I'm not saying that that's what this
4	case is about, but is there anybody who believes that
5	that never happens, you know, nobody would ever give
6	false statements or false okay. All right.
7	Then I'm really getting close to the end here.
8	We're all getting excited about it. All right.
9	Here's my question. When we come to the end of the
LO	trial, for those of you who are sitting on the jury
11	panel, you're in the jury room and you don't agree,
L2	and it one by one eleven people want to vote one
L3	way and you want to vote the other way, and I don't
L 4	care which it is so I'm not going to say guilty or
L5	not guilty, but you're the one, it's very emotional.
L6	The other eleven people want to get out of there and
L 7	go home and put this behind them, but you have this
L8	different belief. Can you agree to stand on your own
L9	analysis and opinion and not be swayed by the emotion
20	of the situation? In other words, you can certainly
21	be swayed by arguments of the jurors about the facts,
22	but not be swayed by the emotion of, you know, gosh,
23	everyone is against me, everyone is mad at me, you
24	know, I'm sticking out like a sore thumb, I'm holding
25	everybody's life up. Is there anybody who feels that

1	that would just be too much pressure for them?
2	(No response.)
3	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Does everybody on the
4	jury understand that if you think there is something
5	wrong going on in the jury room, you know, people are
6	talking about evidence that wasn't in the trial or
7	you're being pressured to vote one way or another,
8	that you have a right to send a note to the judge and
9	let them know that there's a problem? Everybody
10	knows that? You do have that right.
11	(No response.)
12	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Okay. All right. I have
13	a final question, and I want an honest answer just
14	like everything else. Has anything that I have asked
15	or said today bothered you so much that it's like,
16	oh, my God, I can't stand that lawyer and I don't
17	want to be you know, I don't like her, I don't
18	like her client, you know, and I'm biased. Does
19	anybody feel that way at all?
20	(No response.)
21	ATTORNEY VISHNY: All right. Thank you
22	very much.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, Attorney Vishny.
24	As I had promised, the last question that would
25	be asked of you would come from me, and the question

1	being as follows: Is there any question that either
2	I, Attorney Schneider, Attorney Vishny have asked
3	that you have formed an opinion where you say I just
4	didn't feel comfortable saying that in a group
5	setting, I'd like to to address it at this time,
6	or perhaps you've had additional time to reflect and
7	you say, Judge, you know, I just I need to get
8	this off my chest, I got to tell you something. Is
9	there anybody who feels that they fit into that
10	category?
11	And if so, number one, that's perfectly
12	acceptable, and we would go through the same type of
13	format where we would do an individual discussion.
14	Is there anyone who who falls into that category?
15	Okay. And that would be Mr. Green. Correct?
16	JUROR GREEN: Yup.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And so is there anyone
18	else?
19	(No response.)
20	THE COURT: Okay. Then what we'll do is
21	why don't we convene in the jury room one more time,
22	and, Mr. Green, then we'll be with you momentarily.
23	Okay.
24	(Proceedings held outside the presence of
25	the jury panel.)

Page 360 of 373

1	(Mr. Green enters.)
2	THE COURT: Mr. Green, you had raised your
3	hand at the end, and certainly I appreciate that. I
4	don't know if it was a specific question or just
5	something you wanted to share. What would you like
6	to share with us?
7	JUROR GREEN: It was like your question
8	what would I feel like if I would let a murderer out
9	or a guilty not guilty man in jail, and it just
LO	kind of dawned on me that I think I'm probably the
11	youngest one in there and I think it kind of I
12	don't think I like having that power of having to put
L3	this man in jail. I don't think I have feel
L 4	comfortable with that much power on me right now.
L5	THE COURT: Okay. And with that
L6	understanding, let me ask this, do you feel that you
L7	would be unable to listen to the evidence and make a
L8	decision one way or the other?
L9	JUROR GREEN: No, I think I would be able
20	to listen to it, but I think, I don't know, I think
21	it would just kind of bother me a little bit
22	though.
23	THE COURT: And, certainly, it's a big
24	burden to be
) E	TUDOD CDFFN. Voah

THE COURT: to be charged with this
task. The I would not be asking you to I will
give I would give you instructions, I would
among other things, I would say that the State has
the burden of proof and they would have to prove
their case beyond a reasonable doubt, and if they
couldn't prove it that you must render a verdict of
not guilty, and I'd have various instructions such as
that. Okay? And so I would I would be giving
instructions on how you should analyze this case.
And do you feel at the end of the day the big
question is if the if the evidence came in and the
evidence said this is how the decision should come
down, do you feel that you could make that decision?
JUROR GREEN: I feel like if I'm in with
the other jurors and they all of agreed with my
opinion, then yeah, but it would be like 50/50 or a
few, I think it would slightly bother me.
THE COURT: Let me ask this another way.
If the jury were to if eleven of the jurors said
this is the way we should come down and this is the
right decision, and you you in your heart felt
that that was the wrong answer, would you be able to
stand strong and say I don't agree with you or would
you feel that you would give in to the eleven

1	because for whatever reason?
2	JUROR GREEN: I probably would give in to
3	the eleven.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Schneider, do
5	you have any questions for Mr. Green?
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: No.
7	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny?
8	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Green,
10	thank you very much, sir.
11	JUROR GREEN: Thank you.
12	(Mr. Green exits.)
13	THE COURT: So my take is let him go for
14	cause.
15	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Um-hum.
16	THE COURT: The the question that then
17	we get to is we've got our we don't really need to
18	do another one because we
19	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Put Victoria O'Brien,
20	Samantha Stockwell in his spot and then we don't have
21	to.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Right. I agree.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So we're okay with that?
24	ATTORNEY VISHNY: And we don't even need to
25	change the seat, right, I mean because is there some

1	reason we would have to tell him he's let go?
2	ATTORNEY WEITZ: I mean are we going to go
3	into strikes right away, so I mean you might as well
4	just have him sit there and
5	THE COURT: I mean we could.
6	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yeah. And if she
7	if you just change the chart.
8	THE COURT: I don't know, you were in or
9	out, did you catch that?
10	THE CLERK: No.
11	THE COURT: So Mr. Green is going to be
12	taken off the panel, but rather than doing additional
13	shifting, Miss Stockwell becomes part of the panel,
14	we're not going to move seats because we don't have
15	anymore questions, then we'll just go right into our
16	strikes.
17	THE CLERK: Okay.
18	THE COURT: Everybody is fine with that
19	approach? You're fine with that approach?
20	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So we won't shift
22	seats.
23	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We end at Stockwell, we
24	each get seven strikes, the DA goes first.
25	THE COURT: Right. And then I suppose we

1	can just do this right now since we're dealing with
2	it, rather than stay a lot later and go through all
3	the witnesses in terms of strikes, we had briefly
4	asked this idea, we'll start the jury at 9:00
5	tomorrow, we'll come in at 8:30, we'll do tomorrow's
6	witnesses in terms of
7	ATTORNEY VISHNY: You're not going to swear
8	the jury until tomorrow either, right?
9	THE COURT: The only reason I was going to
10	swear in the jury tonight is because I was going to
11	give them a brief order that says no media, no
12	newspapers.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Do they have to be sworn
14	for that?
15	THE COURT: I don't know. I mean I can do
16	it either way. I don't have a strong preference.
17	ATTORNEY MAIER: I mean I don't think you
18	need to swear them to do that, just as a multiday
19	voir dire.
20	THE COURT: We'll swear them in first thing
21	in the morning.
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Are you okay with that?
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yes. And tomorrow we
24	have, I looked, at least I think, I looked quickly, I
25	think we only have three witnesses tomorrow that

1	might have prior convictions, the other
2	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We're not going to have a
3	problem.
4	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: The other ones have
5	zero.
6	THE COURT: So it should be short.
7	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yeah.
8	THE COURT: So
9	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Because I don't get this.
10	We'll figure that out tomorrow. We'll probably come
11	to an agreement like that, right?
12	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I would think so.
13	ATTORNEY VISHNY: We basically agree on the
14	same principles. I'm not worried about that.
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: I just when I said
16	that, there's three people I think or no, there
17	might be four, I have to double-check, that have
18	anything so it's not going to be 15 we have to talk
19	about.
20	THE COURT: Then do we want to do this, do
21	we want to start at 8:45 if it's only a couple
22	minutes?
23	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: With the jury? Might
24	as well.
25	THE COURT: I'll tell them to be here at

1	8:40 because jurors are notoriously slow here. In
2	fact, when we I'm going to dismiss everybody, have
3	them stay, Wendy is going to show them where to go
4	tomorrow morning.
5	(In open court.)
6	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, at this
7	time we are have completed the questioning today,
8	and so what we will do is the parties will partake ir
9	a process of it's called striking the jurors; and
10	simply what that means is they will make a
11	determination as to who collectively they feel would
12	be the most appropriate panel.
13	And while I will have some brief comments after
14	the selection is done, I want to, first and foremost,
15	again, on behalf of myself and the parties, thank you
16	so very much. I know this has been a long day, but
17	your attention has been exemplary; and on that same
18	token, I want you to know that regardless of whether
19	or not you are selected, you have served an
20	invaluable service today. And so, again, it is with
21	great appreciation that I'm able to say thank you
22	today.
23	Also, for those who will need, and I imagine I
24	will give this instruction again as well, for those
25	of you who may need work excuse slips, we will have

Τ	those for you.
2	So at this time we will begin that process.
3	(Attorneys making selections.)
4	THE CLERK: When I call your name, please
5	stand.
6	Adam Schueller, Chris Parker, Mary Steffen,
7	Mannie Maas, John Eggers, Dennis Shea, Patricia
8	Erickson, Cassandra Lee, Frank Bloomer, Jonathon
9	Nichols, Emily Vandenberg, James Buza, Christine
10	Naumann, and Gina Paul.
11	The fourteen of you have been selected to serve
12	on this jury panel.
13	THE COURT: Miss Schneider, is this your
14	jury panel?
15	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yup. The notes
16	reflect it would be accurate, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Miss Vishny, is this your jury
18	panel?
19	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes.
20	THE COURT: All right. Then, for those
21	individuals who have been selected, I'd ask that you
22	please be seated, I'll have some additional comments
23	for you. For those of you who did not have your name
24	called, I would like to again, on behalf of myself
25	and the parties, express my sincere appreciation. It

T	has been a preasure and a privilege to be with you
2	today, and I do wish you the best. Again, thank you
3	for your time and for your service.
4	Likewise, if you do need excuse from work slips,
5	please come forward, we have those. And lastly, if
6	you did get a parking ticket, don't forget that those
7	can be dropped off with our clerk's office but be
8	sure to place your name on that ticket so that we car
9	measure it against our jury list.
10	So again, thank you, and at this time you are
11	excused with the exception of those who are still
12	part of our pool or panel.
13	(Court in recess.)
14	THE COURT: Just a couple items of
15	housekeeping. Number one is I will be expecting for
16	us to be able to start at nine a.m. tomorrow, so what
17	I would ask is that you be here about five to ten
18	minutes early so that we are able to start promptly
19	at that time.
20	Additionally, and as the parties had indicated,
21	there are a few beginning arguments or beginning
22	orders that I would be issuing. The first is that
23	you not read any of the newspapers or watch any of
24	the local media stations. Additionally, you should
25	not do any research that you think may be helpful to

1	you in the issues presented. That is the job of the
2	attorneys and the parties to present the evidence.
3	On that same token, you are not to investigate this
4	case, to go to any of the locations discussed. As
5	I've already indicated, do not read any newspaper
6	reports or listen to any news reports. Do not
7	consult dictionaries, computers or the Internet. And
8	the purpose of that is because any of that
9	information may be incomplete, it may be unreliable,
10	and the parties would not have the opportunity to
11	address those items. And so I will not I will be
12	issuing those orders, and those orders are to be
13	considered issued.
14	Also, while you are serving on the jury, and
15	again I will reiterate some of these rules to you,
16	but do not communicate with anyone about this case.
17	Once the case is completed you will have the
18	opportunity to discuss it as you desire, but while we
19	are in the process of trial, I would ask that you not
20	communicate with anyone about this case.
21	On that same token, and I'm issuing an order to
22	you as well as to the parties, you should not
23	communicate with them. And I say that because,
24	again, we want to preserve the integrity of the
25	process. And more importantly, I want you to

1	understand that I give these orders to the attorneys
2	so that if you by chance see them and you engage in
3	them with a pleasantry, which is normal and
4	customary, I don't want you to think they are being
5	rude, I'm instructing them not to interact with you
6	just as I'm asking you not to interact with the
7	parties.
8	With that, as I've indicated, we will begin at
9	9:00 tomorrow. What I would ask and you are free
LO	to leave at this time. However, before you leave, my
L1	wonderful bailiff Wendy here, she is going to take
L2	you and show you what is actually my courtroom, so we
L3	will be there tomorrow. Wendy, would you show them
L 4	where the courtroom is and where they should be
L5	meeting tomorrow morning please?
L6	THE BAILIFF: Okay.
L7	THE COURT: And they can Attorney
L8	Schneider, you're okay if our jury is excused at this
L9	time?
20	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Attorney Vishny?
22	ATTORNEY VISHNY: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. And again,
24	thank you. I know it's been a long day. I look
25	forward to hearing you tomorrow.

1	(The jury was escorted out of the
2	courtroom.)
3	THE COURT: The parties can be seated. The
4	we had discussed initially starting at 8:45.
5	Attorney Schneider I'm sorry, sir. Your name is?
6	MR. GATTON: Solomon.
7	THE COURT: And then, Solomon, I was
8	informed that there needs to be looking at some of
9	the exhibits beforehand and I thought we'd start it
LO	at 9:00. I'll be here by 8:00, and what we would do
L1	is I'll allow the parties to do what they need to do,
L2	just let me know, and then we can go on the record
L3	beforehand. So we'll have five or ten minutes to do
L 4	that. I don't know that we'll need more time than
L5	that.
L6	Once the jury comes in, we'll swear them in,
L 7	I'll give them a few brief opening instructions which
L8	I'll discuss with you in the morning. I know it's
L9	been a long day, so I'd just as soon not keep you
20	here any longer, and then we should be all set.
21	Anything else, Attorney Schneider?
22	ATTORNEY SCHNEIDER: The only thing I
23	request is that you make the give the fair warning
24	to the spectators and people who come that they
>5	shouldn't be using any of their electronic devices in

Т	Court.
2	THE COURT: I knew I forgot to tell the
3	jury something too. Yes. I will absolutely. And
4	I'm sure everyone I heard a few buzzings, but I
5	didn't want to disrupt what we were doing, so I will
6	make that instruction or admonishment tomorrow
7	morning certainly, and that will hold true to the
8	gallery. I'll make sure we have a sign up as well,
9	although those aren't usually read.
10	Attorney Vishny, anything more this evening?
11	ATTORNEY VISHNY: No. I just need to tell
12	something to Miss Schneider.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Then we are
14	adjourned for the evening; and I will see everyone
15	tomorrow morning.
16	(Proceedings concluded.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	

```
1
 2
                        CERTIFICATE
 3
 4
 5
     STATE OF WISCONSIN
                            ) ss.:
     COUNTY OF OUTAGAMIE
 6
 7
 8
                I, JOAN BIESE, RMR/CRR, do hereby certify that I
 9
     am the official court reporter for Branch IV of the
     Circuit Court of Outagamie County;
10
              That as such court reporter, I made full and
11
     correct stenographic notes of the foregoing proceedings;
12
              That the same was later reduced to typewritten
     form:
13
14
              And that the foregoing proceedings is a full and
     correct transcript of my stenographic notes so taken.
15
               Dated this 12th day of July, 2016.
16
17
18
19
                                   JOAN BIESE, RMR/CRR
20
21
22
23
24
25
```